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## Foreword

In recent years, noncommunicable diseases (NODs) have globally shown increasing impact on population health with leading cause of premature deaths. Nepal is facing increasing burden of NCDs resulting significant health, social and economic consequences. This increased burden is attributed to many social determinants like unhealthy lifestyles, globalization, trade and marketing, demographic and economic transitions. Nepal has taken several steps in the control of NODs through formulation of multisectoral NODs action plan for prevention and control of NODs (2014-2020). Implementing such policies into practice requires knowledge of the burden of NODs and its risk factors. Moreover, there are no routinely available nationwide prevalence studies on NCDs and its risk factors. Hence, this NCD STEPs risk factors survey provides very useful information for monitoring progress of NODs prevention and control of NCDs in Nepal.

As a measure of assessing the prevalence of risk factors of NCDs in the country, this National NCD risk factor survey using the WHO STEPS tool was carried out with the scientific standards, applying the standardized tools developed by the WHO, to study status and trends of the common risk factors of NCDs in Nepal.

I believe that this report provides evidence on status of NODs and its risk factors in Nepal which should prove useful for the concerned organizations to focus and contribute towards the prevention, control and reduction of NCD burden and its risk factors. The finding of this survey also helps to monitor and report on the progress of NCD related work as well as provides evidence for monitoring of the progress of multisectoral action plans 2014-2020. In the base of this evidence, policy makers and planner will be better equipped to make a new national action plan for the prevention and control of non-communicable diseases in Nepal.

On the behalf of Government of Nepal, Ministry of Health and Population, I would like to take this opportunity to reveal our commitment that we will routinely review the magnitude of NODs and their socioeconomic impact across the country, discuss the political and policy relevance addressing NCDs and identify the challenges, opportunities, and actions to be recommended for integrating the prevention and control of NCDs in Nepal. Finally, I would like to congratulate to team of NHRC and believes that this report will help Government of Nepal in developing new strategies to prevent and control the burden of non-communicable disease in Nepal.
$12^{\text {th }}$ January, 2020


चलानी न. (Dispatch No.):- $2 \mathbf{2} 9$

## Foreword

We are witnessing the high and growing burden of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) morbidity and mortality. Almost one member in every family in our community is suffered from NCDs. This burgeoning of NCDs has been attributed to changing demographics and lifestyles of the population, which includes rapid urbanization, increased industrialization, rising personal incomes, expanded education and improved health care. However, there is lack of adequate and reliable information on most of the NCDs and its risk factors in the federal context as these evidences are very useful for formulating polices and plans of federal, provincial and local Governments.

This NCD s STEPS survey conducted by Nepal Health Research Council (NHRC) with the support of Government of Nepal and World Health Organization (WHO) is aimed to assess prevalence of major risk factors for NCDs to establish baseline information for policy and program development in the federal context of Nepal. I hope that the output of this report will be taken into account by the government and all stakeholders to design evidence-based public health interventions to prevent and control the increasing burden of NCDs. The report helps policymakers find the best strategies for cost-effective and evidence-based NCD interventions.

I would like to take this opportunity to make a commitment of keeping NCDs as a political priority in Nepal. Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to all the people who directly or indirectly involved in successful completion of this important study and congratulate to the study team members of NHRC and WHO who contributed for successful completion of this study.


4H. Nabaraj Raut
State Minister for Health and Population


The global prevalence of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) is increasing, with the greatest burden occurring in low and middle income countries. As a leading cause of death globally, NCDs such as cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes and chronic respiratory disease are the leading causes of death and disability worldwide. Unless urgent and specific focus on preventing, treating and controlling NCDs are targeted, the burden of NCDs will soon be unbearable to a poor nation like Nepal. Recognizing global threat of NCDs, Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) targets one third reduction in some type of noncommunicable disease i.e. Cancer, CVD, Chronic Respiratory Disease and diabetes by 2030.

The majority of NCDS are considered preventable as they are predominantly caused by modifiable risk factors such as tobacco use, insufficient physical activity, raised cholesterol, raised blood pressure and alcohol consumption. The essential reduction of the NCDs requires focusing on reducing their risk factors and access to preventive and curative care for various types of NCDs. Besides World Health Organization (WHO) STEPs Survey, there are no available nationwide prevalence studies on NCDs risk factors. WHO recommends that it is necessary to undertake NCDs risk factors survey every five years to facilitate evidence informed planning and programming. In this regards, NCD Risk Factors: STEPS Survey 2019 undertaken by Nepal Health Research Council (NHRC) with the support of Ministry of Health and Population (MoHP) and WHO is praise worthy. This survey report provides the information regarding the prevalence of NCD risk factors throughout the country which is crucial for policy makers and planners to develop and update national NCDs risk factors policies strategies and action plans. This document serves as reference material for policy makers and planners and decision makers of Government of Nepal for evidence-based public health intervention plan and programmes.
I would like to use this opportunity to extend my gratitude to all who have contributed to this survey. My sincere appreciation goes to WHO for providing the assistance to carry out such an important survey which will provide valuable information for programmes to prevent and control NCDs in our country. Finally, I deeply appreciate NHRC team for their effort for successfully completion of the survey and bringing such a valyable report for the country timely.


Khaga Raj Baral
Secretary

Metropolitan Hotel, Bangla Sahib Road, Connaught Place, New Delhi-110001, Tel: 91-11-23370804, Fax: 91-11-23370197, Website: www.searo.who.int

## Foreword



Noncommunicable diseases (NCD) are a serious threat to the social and economic development of the WHO South-East Asia Region. Sixty-four per cent of all deaths in the Region are NCD-related, half of which occur to people between the economically productive ages of 30 and 70 years. The NCD burden is predicted to rise in the coming years, especially in lowand lower-middle-income countries. Since 2014, preventing and controlling NCDs has been one of the Region's Flagship Priorities.

Quality and timely data on trends in NCD risk factors are essential to developing sound policy. Data on the implementation status of policies, and on coverage and impact of different interventions are also needed. Member States are required to report progress on key indicators to the UN General Assembly as part of specific global commitments for NCD control and prevention, as well as the Sustainable Development Goals.

Given the public health importance of addressing NCDs, WHO is actively supporting Member States in the Region to implement integrated adult risk factor surveys - known as WHO STEP surveys - under the global "STEPwise approach to NCD surveillance". Since 2000, WHO has regularly updated a set of standardized tools that it has developed to meet the needs of NCD programmes. WHO continues to provide high-quality technical support to Member States to implement the WHO STEP surveys and has contributed to building country capacity in NCD surveillance.

I congratulate the Ministry of Health and Population of the Government of Nepal for regularly conducting STEP surveys. STEP surveys remain the best source of high-quality information on NCDs in most countries of the Region. Since Nepal began conducting STEP surveys in 2008, a wealth of information has been generated. I congratulate the National Health Research Council for implementing the 2019 Survey in a timely and efficient manner.

The results of the 2019 survey will be instrumental in evaluating the performance of Nepal's previous multisectoral action plan (2014-2020). They will also provide a baseline for Nepal's next multisectoral action plan (2021-2025). The survey findings suggest that action is required at several levels to achieve key NCD indicators and targets.

I have full confidence that the Ministry of Health and Population will fully institutionalize the WHO STEP surveys as part of NCD surveillance and the country's overall health information system. By ensuring that quality and timely data on NCDs are available, Nepal will ensure that it can meet today's challenges and anticipate and plan for tomorrow's. WHO will continue to support Nepal in its quest to prevent and control NCDs and build a healthier future for all.

## Plutingel

Dr Poonam Khetrapal Singh Regional Director WHO South-East Asia Region
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Nepal has completed the third round of Noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) Risk factors: STEPS survey in 2019. The survey collected data using the WHO STEP wise approach to Surveillance (STEPS) tool which is a simple, standardized method for collecting, analyzing and disseminating data for the NCDs and its risk factors.

The STEPS survey data can be used for not only monitoring NCD risk factors trends within Nepal, but also for making comparisons across countries in the Region. The population-based household survey of adults aged 15-69 years collected data on socio demographic and behavioral information, physical and biochemical measurements.

The Nepal NCD STEPS survey 2019, indicates tobacco use is high among men in Nepal with nearly $50 \%$ of men aged $15-69$ years using tobacco (smoke and smokeless). The survey also reports one third population was exposed to second hand smoke at home and two out of five at workplace. Data on unhealthy diet reported $97 \%$ of the population do not meet the WHO recommendation of consuming 5 servings of fruits and vegetables on a daily basis. Another concern is high level of salt consumption which is nearly double the WHO recommended maximum of 5 gms per day. Elevated blood pressure is a major risk factor for heart diseases and stroke. Data from the STEPS survey show that in Nepal one fourth of the population suffer from hypertension.

I am pleased the survey was completed successfully and on time. I congratulate the Ministry of Health and Population, Nepal Health Research Council for their leadership in conducting the survey.

I am confident that the report will be useful for evidence-based policy decisions and directing policy and programs across the whole of government and whole of society for the prevention and control of NCDs.

$1+1$
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## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATION

| BMI | Body Mass Index |
| :--- | :--- |
| BP | Blood Pressure |
| CBS | Central Bureau of Statistics |
| CI | Confidence Interval |
| CVD | Cardiovascular Disease |
| COPD | Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Diseases |
| DALYs | Disability Adjusted Life Years |
| DM | Diabetes Mellitus |
| HED | Heavy Episodic Drinking |
| HTN | Hypertension |
| ISH | International Society of Hypertension |
| LMIC | Low and Middle Income Countries |
| MET | Metabolic Equivalents of task |
| MoHP | Ministry of Health and Population |
| NCD | Noncommunicable Disease |
| NHRC | Nepal Health Research Council |
| NRT | Nicotine Replacement Therapy |
| PCA | Principal Component Analysis |
| PDA | Personal Digital Assistance |
| PEN | Package of Essential Non communicable Disease |
| POCT | Point of Care Testing |
| PPS | Probability Proportionate to Size |
| PSU | Primary Sampling Unit |
| RA | Rheumatoid arthritis |
| SHSH | Second Hand Smoke at Home |
| WC | Waist Circumference |
| WHO | World Health Organization |
| WHR | Waist Hip Ratio |
| WH |  |

## Nepal STEPS Survey 2019

## Fact Sheet

The STEPS survey of noncommunicable disease (NCD) risk factors in Nepal was carried out from February to May 2019. The survey collected socio demographic and behavioral information (tobacco, alcohol, diet, physical activity). Physical measurements such as height, weight and blood pressure were done to estimate obesity and raised BP prevalence. Biochemical measurements were collected to assess blood glucose and cholesterol levels. The survey was a population-based household survey of adults aged 15-69 years. A multistage sample design was used to produce representative data for that age range in Nepal. A total of 5593 adults participated in the survey. The overall response rate was $86.4 \%$. A repeat survey is planned for 2024.

| Results for adults aged 15-69 years (incl. 95\% CI) | Both Sexes | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tobacco Use |  |  |  |
| Percentage who currently use tobacco (smoked/smokeless) | $\begin{gathered} 28.9 \\ (26.3-31.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.3 \\ (43.5-53.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (9.8-13.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who currently use tobacco on daily basis | $\begin{gathered} 24.1 \\ (21.8-26.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.1 \\ (35.4-44.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ (8.4-11.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who currently smoke tobacco | $\begin{gathered} 17.1 \\ (15.1-19.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.0 \\ (24.5-31.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.5 \\ (6.1-8.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who currently smoke tobacco daily | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \\ (11.4-15.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ (17.4-24.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ (5.4-8.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who currently smoke cigarettes (manufactured/hand rolled cigarettes) | $\begin{gathered} 14.8 \\ (12.6-16.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.6 \\ (20.8-27.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ (4.6-7.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who currently use smokeless tobacco | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \\ (15.8-20.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.3 \\ (28.8-37.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ (3.3-6.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who currently use smokeless tobacco daily | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ (13.1-17.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.2 \\ (23.9-32.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ (2.6-5.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Average age at initiation of smoking (years) among those who smoke daily | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ (17.1-18.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.7 \\ (16.8-18.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ (17.3-19.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who currently use electronic cigarettes | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ (0.4-1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ (0.8-2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ (0.0-0.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Alcohol Consumption |  |  |  |
| Percentage who are lifetime abstainers | $\begin{gathered} 72.2 \\ (68.8-75.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.0 \\ (50.9-61.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.5 \\ (83.5-89.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who are former drinkers (drank in past but abstained in past 12 months) | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ (2.9-5.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ (3.9-6.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (1.5-4.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who currently drink (drank alcohol in the past 12 months) | $\begin{gathered} 23.9 \\ (21.0-27.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.6 \\ (34.0-43.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ (8.5-13.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who currently drink (drank alcohol in the past 30 days) | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ (18.2-23.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.4 \\ (30.2-38.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ (6.6-11.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who engage in heavy episodic drinking (6 or more drinks on any occasion in the past 30 days) (overall population) | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ (5.3-8.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \\ (9.8-15.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ (0.8-2.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who reported consuming unrecorded alcohol in past 7 days among current drinkers (past 30 days) | $\begin{gathered} 68.5 \\ (62.2-73.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.8 \\ (58.6-72.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.7 \\ (70.0-84.7) \end{gathered}$ |


| Results for adults aged 15-69 years (incl. 95\% CI) | Both Sexes | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diet |  |  |  |
| Mean number of servings of fruit and/or vegetables consumed on average per day | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ (1.9-2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ (1.9-2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ (1.8-2.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who ate less than 5 servings of fruit and/or vegetables on average per day | $\begin{gathered} 96.7 \\ (94.3-98.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97.0 \\ (94.8-98.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96.3 \\ (93.2-98.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Salt |  |  |  |
| Percentage who always or often add salt or salty sauce to their food before eating or as they are eating | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ (7.5-11.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.8 \\ (7.6-12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \\ (6.9-10.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who always or often eat processed foods high in salt | $\begin{gathered} 19.5 \\ (16.2-23.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.1 \\ (16.6-26.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.1 \\ (15.0-21.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentages who are doing something on regular basis to control salt intake (e.g. Avoid/minimize consumption of processed food, avoid eating food prepared outside of home. etc.) | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ (1.7-3.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ (1.7-5.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ (1.5-3.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean intake of salt per day (in grams) (based on spot urine examination*(based on intersalt equation for South-Europe) | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ (9.0-9.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \\ (9.4-9.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \\ (8.6-8.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Physical Activity |  |  |  |
| ercentage with insufficient physical activity defined as 1 miautes of moderate-intensity activity per week, or equivalent) * | $\begin{gathered} 7.4 \\ (5.7-10.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ (5.5-11.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \\ (5.2-10.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Median time spent in physical activity on average per day (in moderateintensity minutes) <br> (presented with inter-quartile range) | $\begin{gathered} 210 \\ (90.0-394.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 231.4 \\ (98.6-420.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 188.6 \\ (90.0-368.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Cervical Cancer Screening (women 30-49 years of age) |  |  |  |
| Percentage who ever had a test for cervical cancer |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ (6.3-10.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who had a test for cervical cancer in the last 5 years |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \\ (4.3-8.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of women (age 15-69 years) who received treatment because of test results |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 63.5 \\ (41.8-80.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Oral Health |  |  |  |
| Percentage who clean teeth once or more than once a day | $\begin{gathered} 89.9 \\ (87.6-91.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90.0 \\ (86.9-92.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89.9 \\ (87.5-91.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who reported an issue (pain, swelling, bleeding or discomfort) with teeth/gum/mouth | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ (11.5-17.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \\ (8.7-14.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ (13.5-21.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of who saw a dentist in last 12 month | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ (2.1-3.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ (0.9-2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ (2.9-5.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Violence and injuries |  |  |  |
| ercentage involved in road traffic crash in the past 12 months | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ (2.6-5.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \\ (3.4-7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ (1.7-4.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who wear seat belt all the time or sometimes when being a driver or passenger in a motor vehicle (among those who were in vehicle In the past 30 days) | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ (2.8-6.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ (3.9-8.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ (1.5-4.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who wore a helmet all the time or sometimes when drove or rode as a passenger on a motorcycle or motor-scooter | $\begin{gathered} 36.0 \\ (30.0-42.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.4 \\ (45.8-60.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ (8.4-18.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mental Health |  |  |  |
| Percentage who had some or high level of work/business stress | $\begin{gathered} 61.5 \\ (56.9-66.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.7 \\ (58.3-68.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.6 \\ (54.5-64.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who had some or high level general stress at home | $\begin{gathered} 62.3 \\ (57.8-66.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.8 \\ (54.3-64.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.6 \\ (60.0-69.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who had stressful life events in past year which disturbed a lot | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ (9.2-13.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0 \\ (8.5-14.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (9.3-14.4) \end{gathered}$ |

[^2]| Results for adults aged 15-69 years (incl. 95\% CI) | Both Sexes | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joint and back pain in last 12 months |  |  |  |
| Percentage who had pain, stiffness or swelling in or around a joint not related to injury and lasted for more than a month. | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ (14.3-20.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.6 \\ (11.0-16.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.1 \\ (16.7-23.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who had back pain that prevented them from doing usual household chores or going for work in last 30 days | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \\ (16.2-21.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ (11.9-17.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \\ (19.6,26.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who had severe headache that prevented them from doing usual household chores or going out for work | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (12.9-17.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ (8.5-13.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.2 \\ (16.2-22.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| BMI and Obesity |  |  |  |
| Mean body mass index- $\mathrm{BMI}\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.7 \\ (22.5-23.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.6 \\ (22.2-23.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \\ (22.6-23.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| ercentage who are overweight and obese $\left.M \quad 2{ }^{2}\right) \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.3 \\ (21.6-27.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.4 \\ (19.9-27.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.1 \\ (22.2-28.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| ercentage who are obese $\mathrm{M} \quad 30^{2} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ (3.5-5.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ (2.3-4.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ (4.2-6.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Hypertension, Diabetes and raised cholesterol levels |  |  |  |
| revalence of raised ercentage with raised 10 and or 90 mm g or currently on medication for raised | $\begin{gathered} 24.5 \\ (22.4-26.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.8 \\ (26.6-33.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.7 \\ (17.5-22.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| revalence of raised blood sugar ercentage with raised fastiblood glucose fasting blood glucose 12 mg ) oflcurrently on medication for raised blood glucose** | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ (4.3-7.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ (4.6-8.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ (4.1-6.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| ercentage with raised total cholesterol .0 mmot 190 mg dl or currently on medication for raised cholesterol) | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \\ (9.6-12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ (6.2-9.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.0 \\ (12.0-16.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk |  |  |  |
| ercentage aged <br> existing CVD*** $0 \quad 9$ years with a 10 year risk $30 \%$ or | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ (2.4-4.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ (1.9-4.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ (2.2-4.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Health system |  |  |  |
| Percentage of people (40-69 years of age) who ever got their BP measured from a health worker | $\begin{gathered} 60.8 \\ (56.0-65.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.5 \\ (55.7-67.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.2 \\ (55.0-65.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of people (40-69 years) who ever got their blood sugar measure from a health worker | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ (17.5-25.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.4 \\ (18.8-28.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.2 \\ (15.3-23.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of people measured to have raised BP and/or on medications who are on treatment/ medication | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ (7.5-12.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.9 \\ (5.5-11.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (9.1-14.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of people measured to have raised blood glucose and/on medications who were on treatment/medication | $\begin{gathered} 21.3 \\ (15.1-29.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.7 \\ (14.7-33.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.9 \\ (13.2-28.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who are member of a health insurance scheme | $\begin{gathered} 6.9 \\ (5.0-9.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ (5.4-11.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ (4.2-8.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who usually go to a government facility/provider for raised blood pressure | $\begin{gathered} 40.0 \\ (32.6-47.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.6 \\ (25.4-45.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.7 \\ (36.6-55.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage who usually go to government facility/provider for oral health issues | $\begin{gathered} 34.6 \\ (26.2-44.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.5 \\ (21.0-55.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.0 \\ (25.0-44.4) \end{gathered}$ |

orcomplete definitions of insufficient physical activity refer to the A Analysis uide http .wwwint chp steps A en inde .htmor to the O lobal recommendations on physical activity for health http www.who.int dietphysicalactivity factsheet_recommendations/en/index.html)
https .cliaxweived.com web items pdf 17 lucose estholeatendl 10 file1.pdf
A 10 year risk of $30 \%$ defined according to age se blood pressure smoking status curren\$mokers $O$ those who uismoking less than 1 year before the assessment total cholesterol and diabetes previouslydiagnosed $O$ a fasting plasma glucose concentration $>7.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}(126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$.

## Nepal STEPS Survey 2019

## Tobacco Fact Sheet

The national noncommunicable disease (NCD) risk factor survey (WHO-STEP survey) in Nepal was carried out from February to May 2019. It was a population-based household survey of adults aged 15-69 years. A multistage cluster sample design was used to produce representative data for that age range in Nepal. A total of 5593 adults participated in the survey. The overall response rate was $86.4 \%$. A repeat survey is planned for 2024.

The survey collected data on socio-demographic characteristics and on four major behavioral risk factors (tobacco, alcohol, diet, physical activity) and four physiological risk factors (overweight/obesity, raised blood pressure, raised blood sugar and cholesterol levels). This fact sheet summarizes the main tobacco indicators related to consumption patterns and tobacco policy. Data from periodic STEPS surveys can facilitate evaluation of existing tobacco-control policies and programs and track change over time.

## Highlights

## TOBACCO USE

- $28.9 \%$ of adults $15-69$ years of age ( $48.3 \%$ of men, $11.6 \%$ of women) were current users of tobacco, in any form. This is equal to 3.8 million adults.
- $17.1 \%$ of adults ( $28.0 \%$ of men, $7.5 \%$ of women) equivalent to 2.8 million adults were current smokers of tobacco.
- $18.3 \%$ of adults ( $33.3 \%$ of men, $4.9 \%$ of women) equivalent to 3 million adults were current users of smokeless tobacco.


## CESSATION

- 1 in 5 current smokers (19.4\%) and $17.9 \%$ of current smokeless users tried to stop smoking and use of smokeless tobacco, respectively in the last 12 months.
- $22.1 \%$ of smokers and $21 \%$ of smokeless tobacco users respectively reported being advised by a health care provider to stop smoking/use of smokeless tobacco in the last 12 months.


## SECONDHAND SMOKE

- $22.5 \%$ of adults ( 3.7 million) were exposed to second-hand smoke at work place.
- $33.5 \%$ of adults ( 5.5 million) were exposed to second-hand smoke at home.


## MEDIA

- $70.2 \%$ of adults noticed anti-cigarette smoking information on the television or radio.
- $44.8 \%$ of current smokers thought about quitting because of warning labels on cigarette packages.
- $20.9 \%$ of adults were exposed to tobacco advertising and promotions on any while media, while $11.2 \%$ of adults noticed cigarette marketing in stores where cigarettes are sold.


## E-CIGARETTES

- 11. \%of adults had ever heard about e cigarettesthough only 7. \%f them correctly identified them when shown different pictures.
- $18.8 \%$ and $14.1 \%$ of adults who have ever heard about e-cigarette, respectively, reported ever and currently using them.


## ECONOMICS

- Average monthly expenditure on manufactured cigarettes was Rs.1049.

| Results for adults aged 15-69 years (incl. 95\% CI) | Both Sexes | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tobacco Use |  |  |  |
| Current tobacco users (smoked and/or smokeless) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Current tobacco users | $\begin{gathered} 28.9 \\ (26.3-31.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.3 \\ (43.5-53.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (9.8-13.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Current daily tobacco users | $\begin{gathered} 24.1 \\ (21.8-26.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.1 \\ (35.4-44.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ (8.4-11.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Current tobacco smokers |  |  |  |
| Current tobacco smokers | $\begin{gathered} 17.1 \\ (15.1-19.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.0 \\ (24.5-31.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.5 \\ (6.1-8.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Current cigarette smokers ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ (12.6-16.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.2 \\ (20.8-27.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \\ (4.6-7.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Current daily tobacco smokers | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \\ (11.4-15.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ (17.4-24.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ (5.4-8.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Current daily cigarette smokers | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (9.7-13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.6 \\ (15.3-21.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \\ (4.2-6.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Average age at initiation of tobacco smoking (years) | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \\ (17.1-18.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.7 \\ (16.8-18.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ (17.3-19.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Average number of cigarettes smoked per day (among daily cigarette smokers) | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ (5.6-7.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ (5.5-7.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ (5.7-7.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Current smokeless tobacco |  |  |  |
| Current smokeless tobacco users | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \\ (15.8-20.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.3 \\ (28.8-37.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ (3.3-6.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Current daily smokeless tobacco users | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ (13.1-17.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.2 \\ (23.9-32.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ (2.6-5.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Former users / Never users |  |  |  |
| Former tobacco users ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ (3.6-5.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \\ (3.6-6.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ (2.9-5.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Former tobacco smokers ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ (5.1-7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ (6.5-10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ (3.3-5.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Never users | $\begin{gathered} 66.6 \\ (63.9-69.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.6 \\ (41.7-51.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.5 \\ (82.1-86.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Secondhand Smoke |  |  |  |
| Adults exposed to second-hand smoke at home* | $\begin{gathered} 33.5 \\ (29.9-37.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.8 \\ (31.2-40.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.5 \\ (27.1-35.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Adults exposed to second-hand smoke at work place* | $\begin{gathered} 22.5 \\ (19.6-25.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.9 \\ (20.4-27.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.4 \\ (17.7-25.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Cessation |  |  |  |
| Current smokers who tried to stop smoking in past 12 months | $\begin{gathered} 19.4 \\ (15.5-23.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.3 \\ (14.9-23.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.4 \\ (13.7-25.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Current users of smokeless tobacco who tried to stop smoking in past 12 months | $\begin{gathered} 17.9 \\ (13.8-23.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.3 \\ (15.0-24.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.7 \\ (4.0-21.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Current smokers advised by a health care provider to stop smoking in past 12 months ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.1 \\ (15.7-28.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.6 \\ (14.1-29.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.7 \\ (15.3-32.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Current smokeless tobacco users advised by health care providers to quit smokeless tobacco | $\begin{gathered} 21.0 \\ (15.0-28.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.5 \\ (13.8-26.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.6 \\ (14.4-51.2) \end{gathered}$ |

NEPAL-Noncommunicable disease risk factors STEPS Survey 2019 - Tobacco Factsheet

| Results for adults aged 15-69 years (incl. $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) | Both Sexes | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Warnings |  |  |  |
| Current tobacco user who thought about quitting because of a warning label* | $\begin{gathered} 44.8 \\ (38.1-52.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.5 \\ (38.1-53.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.8 \\ (32.0-52.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Adults who noticed anti-cigarette smoking information on the television or radio | $\begin{gathered} 70.2 \\ (75.0-82.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.6 \\ (76.1-85.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67.1 \\ (73.1-81.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Adults who noticed anti-cigarette smoking information in newspapers or magazines* | $\begin{gathered} 43.6 \\ (47.8-59.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.3 \\ (52.8-65.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.6 \\ (42.0-54.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tobacco Advertisement and Promotion |  |  |  |
| Adults who notices any advertisements or signs promoting any tobacco products on television or radio (or any media?) | 14.3 | 15.8 | 13.1 |
| Adults who noticed tobacco marketing in stores where tobacco products are sold" | $\begin{gathered} 11.2 \\ (9.3-16.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.6 \\ (10.7-19.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ (7.4-14.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Adults who noticed any cigarette promotions* | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \\ (5.7-11.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.8 \\ (5.8-13.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \\ (4.8-10.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Economics | Local Currency |  |  |
| Average amount spent on 20 manufactured cigarettes | 151.5 |  |  |
| Average monthly expenditure on manufactured cigarettes | 1049.3 |  |  |
| Cost of 100 packs of manufactured cigarettes as a percentage of per capita Gross Domestic Product (GDP) [2018] ${ }^{6}$ | 11 |  |  |
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## Nepal STEPS Survey 2019

## Alcohol Consumption and Policy Fact Sheet

The STEPS survey of noncommunicable disease (NCD) risk factors in Nepal was carried out from February to May 2019. The survey collected socio demographic and behavioral information (tobacco, alcohol, diet, physical activity). Physical measurements such as height, weight and blood pressure were done to estimate obesity and raised BP prevalence. Biochemical measurements were collected to assess blood glucose and cholesterol levels. The survey was a population-based household survey of adults aged 15-69 years. A multistage sample design was used to produce representative data for that age range in Nepal. A total of 5593 adults participated in the survey. The overall response rate was $86.4 \%$. A repeat survey is planned for 2024.

The survey collected data on socio-demographic characteristics and on four major behavioral risk factors (tobacco, alcohol, diet, physical activity) and four physiological risk factors (overweight/obesity, raised blood pressure, raised blood sugar and cholesterol levels). This fact sheet summarizes the main alcohol indicators related to consumption patterns and alcohol policy. Data from periodic STEPS surveys can facilitate evaluation of existing alcohol-control policies and programs and track change over time.

## Highlights

Alcohol consumption patterns among adults (15-69 years)

- $72.2 \%$ of adults n\%en and . Women were life timebstainers with significant differences between men and women Only $4 \%$ of the adults were former drinkers (drank in past but did not consume in past 12 months).
- $23.9 \%$ of adults ( $38.6 \%$ of men, $10.8 \%$ of women) were current drinkers (consumed alcohol in the past 12 months). This was equivalent to 4.8 million adults ( 3.7 million men and 1.1 million women) in 2019.
- Almost 1 in 8 men ( $11.7 \%$ ) drink daily or almost daily. This was equivalent to 1.4 million adults ( 1.1 million men and 0.3 million women).


## Heavy episodic drinking

- $6.8 \%$ of adults ( $12.4 \%$ of men, $1.7 \%$ of women) engaged in heavy episodic drinking (consumed 6 standard drinks or 60 g of pure alcohol or more drinks on any single occasion in the past 30 days). This was equivalent to 1.1 million adults in Nepal in 2019.
- More than one-fourth ( $28.4 \%$ ) of current drinkers ( $32.2 \%$ men, $16.2 \%$ women) engaged in heavy episodic drinking.


## Consumption of unrecorded alcohol

- Among current drinkers (past 30 days), $65.3 \%$ of men, $77.3 \%$ of women, and $68.5 \%$ overall reported consuming unrecorded alcohol in past 7 days.
- Unrecorded alcohol constitutes almost $66.3 \%$ of total alcohol consumed in the past 7 days. Majority of the unrecorded alcohol comprises of homebrewed spirits (Aila/Raksi) (57.4\%) or wines (Jaad) (36.7\%). Alcohol smuggled over the border constitutes $5.7 \%$ of total unrecorded alcohol.


## Most common types of alcohol consumed

- Raksi-a traditional homebrewed spirit-was the most consumed alcoholic drink reported by $50.9 \%$ of people who consumed alcohol in past 30 days, followed by Jaad (home-brewed wine) (24.5\%).


## Access to alcohol

- Only 1 in 10 1. \% people who ever consumed alcohol perceived obtaining alcohol for drinking difficult or very difficult.
- Only 1 in 3 ever drinker (27.9\%) perceived that alcohol has become less affordable than before.
- None of the underage respondents (15-18 years of age) who tried to buy alcohol reported that they were refused alcoholic beverages due to their age. The legal minimum purchasing age for alcohol is 18 years in Nepal.


## Exposure to advertising and marketing and anti-alcohol messages

- Nearly 1 in 5 respondents (18.7\%) noticed advertisements promoting alcohol on the television, print media, radio etc., though a decree issued in 1999 bans alcohol advertising in all electronic media (TV and radio)
- More than 1 in 5 respondents (21.9\%) who attended social events such as sports events, fairs, concerts, etc.) saw alcohol advertisements or got free beer/discounted alcohol sometimes/most of the times/always.
- Nearly 1 in 2 (47.9\%) reported seeing or hearing any messages that discourage drinking alcohol.


## Drink-driving

- Only $3.9 \%$ percent of who drove a vehicle in the past 12 months reported being checked by a traffic police for alcohol while driving.
- Almost $17.2 \%$ of reported that they drove vehicle under the influence of alcohol in the past 30 days.

| Results for adults age 15-69 years (incl. 95\% CI) | Both Sexes | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alcohol Use |  |  |  |
| Abstainers |  |  |  |
| Life-time abstainers ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.2 \\ (68.7-75.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.0 \\ (50.9-61.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.5 \\ (83.5-89.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Former drinkers ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ (2.9-5.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ (3.9-6.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (1.5-4.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Abstainers in the past 12 months ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.1 \\ (73.0-79.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.4 \\ (56.5-65.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89.2 \\ (86.4-91.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Current drinkers |  |  |  |
| Percentage of persons who consumed alcohol in the past 12 months | $\begin{gathered} 23.9 \\ (21.0-27.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.6 \\ (34.0-43.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ (8.5-13.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of persons who consumed alcohol in the past 30 days | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ (18.3-23.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.4 \\ (30.2-38.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ (6.6-11.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of persons who are daily or almost daily drinkers | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ (5.7-8.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \\ (9.5-14.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ (1.9-4.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Heavy episodic drinking ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |
| Percentage of people who consumed 6 or more standard drinks on a single drinking occasion | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ (5.3-8.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \\ (9.8-15.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ (0.8-2.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of heavy episodic drinking among current drinkers (among current drinkers) | $\begin{gathered} 28.4 \\ (23.2-34.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.2 \\ (26.7-38.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.2 \\ (9.5-26.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Consumption of unrecorded alcohol ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |
| Percentage of people who consumed unrecorded alcohol in the past 7 days | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ (12.2-16.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.6 \\ (19.1-26.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ (5.2-9.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of current drinkers who drank unrecorded alcohol in the past 7 days | $\begin{gathered} 68.5 \\ (62.2-73.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.8 \\ (58.6-72.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.7 \\ (70.0-84.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean percentage of total unrecorded alcohol out of total alcohol drank in the last 7 days | $\begin{gathered} 66.3 \\ (57.7-74.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.0 \\ (54.0-72.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.5 \\ (62.0-93.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean percentage of specific type of unrecorded alcohol out of the total unrecorded alcohol drinks consumed by current drink ers who drank unrecorded alcohol in the past 7 days |  |  |  |
| Homebrewed spirits like Aila, Raksi | $\begin{gathered} 57.4 \\ (49.3-65.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.8 \\ (52.8-70.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.6 \\ (34.1-55.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Homebrewed beer or wine, like Jaad, Chyang, Tungba | $\begin{gathered} 36.7 \\ (28.7-44.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.8 \\ (22.9-38.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.1 \\ (43.5-64.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Alcohol brought over the border/from another country | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ (-1.9-13.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \\ (-2.7-17.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ (-.01-2.4) \end{gathered}$ |


| Results for adults age 15-69 years (incl. 95\% CI) | Both Sexes | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alcohol not intended for drinking, like alcohol-based medicines, like cough syrup, perfumes, after shaves | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ (0-0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ (-0.03-0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ (-0.06-0.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Others untaxed alcohol in the country Specify | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Type of alcohol most often consumed among those who reported consuming alcohol in past 30 days |  |  |  |
| Beer | $\begin{gathered} 16.8 \\ (12.5-22.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.7 \\ (15.6-26.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ (1.6-5.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Wine | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ (0.6-4.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.9 \\ (0.6-5.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ (0.3-3.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spirit (whiskey, vodka, gin) | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ (2.6-10.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \\ (3.3-13.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ (0.15-3.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Jaad (a traditional alcohol beverages-wine)) | $\begin{gathered} 24.5 \\ (18.4-31.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ (12.1-23.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.8 \\ (39.9-61.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Raksi (a traditional alcohol beverage-spirit) | $\begin{gathered} 50.9 \\ (44.2-57.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.2 \\ (45.9-60.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.1 \\ (33.3-53.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other traditional (Aila/Tungba) | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ (0.3-2.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ (0.2-2.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ (0.4-4.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Alcohol dependence or problem drinking (past 12 months) |  |  |  |
| Percentage of current drinkers (past 12 months) who were not able to stop drinking once they started (daily or almost daily or weekly) | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ (6.7-12.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ (7.4-14.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ (3.0-8.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of current drinkers (past 12 months) who failed to do what was normally expected because of drinking (daily or almost daily or weekly) | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ (3.0-6.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ (3.3-7.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ (1.0-5.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| ercentage of current drinkers past 12 months who needed a fadsink in the morning to get going after a heavy drinking session (daily or almost daily or weekly) | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ (3.2-6.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ (3.4-6.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ (1.7-6.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Harm from someone else drinking |  |  |  |
| Percentage of people who had family problems or problems with their partner due to someone else drinking in the past 12 months (daily or almost daily or weekly) | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ (8.5-12.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \\ (10.4-16.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ (6.1-9.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Access to alcohol |  |  |  |
| Percentage of ever drinker who perceived obtaining alcohol for drinking difficult or very difficult | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ (8.4-16.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (7.8-16.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ (7.3-20.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of ever drinker who perceived alcohol has become less affordable than before | $\begin{gathered} 27.9 \\ (21.5-35.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.9 \\ (22.1-36.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.8 \\ (16.8-34.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of respondents 18 year or younger who were refused alcoholic beverages due to their age. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exposure to advertisements and marketing of alcohol |  |  |  |
| Percentage of persons who noticed any advertisements or signs promoting any alcoholic beverage on TV, newspaper/ magazines, radio, billboards, point of sale or local cinema films | $\begin{gathered} 18.7 \\ (14.3-24.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.7 \\ (18.0-30.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.1 \\ (10.5-18.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Percentage of persons who sometimes/most of the times/always see advertisements/free beer/alcohol or discounted sale at social events, fairs, concerts, community events | $\begin{gathered} 21.9 \\ (17.4-27.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.7 \\ (20.4-31.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \\ (14.1-24.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Exposure to anti-alcohol messages |  |  |  |
| Percentage of persons who saw or heard any messages to discourage drinking alcohol on TV, radio, billboard, posters, newspapers, magazines, or movies, internet or social media | $\begin{gathered} 47.9 \\ (42.0-53.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.4 \\ (46.9-59.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.0 \\ (37.0-49.2) \end{gathered}$ |


| Results for adults age 15-69 years (incl. 95\% CI) | Both Sexes | Males | Females |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drunk-driving |  |  |  |
| ercentage of persons stopped checkedy traffic police for alcohol while <br> driving (among all population who drive) | 3.9 <br> $(2.4-6.2)$ | 5.8 <br> $(3.7-9.0)$ | 0.7 <br> $(0.2-1.7)$ |
| ercentage of people who drove a vehicle after intake under the influence <br> of alcohol in past 30 days (among those who ever drank alcohol and who <br> drive) | 17.2 <br> $(11.9-24.3)$ | 19.1 <br> $(13.3-26.7)$ | 1.7 <br> $(0.4-6.5)$ |
| Percentage of people who had rode in a motorized vehicle where the driver <br> had had 2 or more alcoholic drinks | 8.9 <br> $(6.0-13.0)$ | 13.8 <br> $(9.4-19.8)$ | 4.3 <br> $(2.3-8.1)$ |

${ }^{1}$ who have never consumed alcohol; ${ }^{2}$ persons who ever drank alcoholic beverages but have not done so in the past 12 months; ${ }^{3}$ includes both the lifetime abstainers and former drinkers. ${ }^{4}$ eavyepisodic drinking is defined as consumption of Oor more grams of pure alcohol standard drinks in most countries) on at least one single occasion in the 30 days prior to survey; ${ }^{5}$ refers to alcohol that is not taxed in the country because it is usually produced, distributed and sold outside the formal channels under government control.
Data have been weighted to be nationally representative of all men and women age 15-69 years. * The sample size " $n$ " is less 50 . Technical assistance for the survey was provided by the World Health Organization (WHO).

Data presented in this fact sheet relate only to selected alcohol indicators. Additional information on alcohol or other NCD risk factors from the survey is available from sources listed below.

For additional information, please contact:
Nepal Health Research Council (NHRC) Ramshah Path, Kathmandu, Nepal
STEPS country focal point name and email:
Dr. Meghnath Dhimal, meghdhimal@nhrc.gov.np
Mr. Bihungum Bista, bistabihungum@gmail.com
World Health Organization (WHO)
WHO Country representative to Nepal [senepwr@who.int]
O egional office for outh ast A who.int WHO STEPS Team [Steps@who.int]


## Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION



Nepal is a land locked country situated in Southern Asia between India and China. In the South lies flat river plains and in the north is the Himalayas. Nepal has an estimated total population of 28.1 million people ${ }^{1}$ with $80.3 \%$ of the total population residing in rural areas in $2018^{2}$. Nepal's estimated Gross National Income per capita (GNI) was 960 (current USD) in $2018^{3}$ and ranked 149 globally in United Nation's Human Development Index $(0.574)^{4}$. Hinduism is the main religion followed by Buddhist, Muslim and Kirant. Nepal undergone significant change after the Constitution of Nepal was revised in September 2015 and led to administrative division of Nepal into 753 local government units under 7 Provincial governments and 1 central government. At the time of the writing of the report, only 3 provinces have established names: Gandaki (Province 4), Karnali (Province 6) and Sudoorpashchim (Province 7). Local governments can be categorized into urban municipality (Metro city, sub-metro city, municipality) and rural municipalities and assume key responsibility for implementing and prioritizing national health policies and programs ${ }^{5}$. Nepal government introduced the National Health

[^4]Insurance Policy in 2013 including a voluntary health insurance plan that aims to fund the poor ${ }^{667}$. Currently existing government health services include tertiary level hospitals, regional and sub-regional hospitals, district hospitals, primary health care centres and health post.

### 1.1 Background

The global burden of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) continues to increase, accounting for $73.4 \%$ ( 41 million) of all deaths in 2017 with the greatest burden occurring in developing countries with significant health, social and economic consequences ${ }^{8}$. In Nepal, NCDs are estimated to account for $66 \%$ of all deaths in 2016. Four main groups of NCDs-CVD ( $30 \%$ ), cancers ( $9 \%$ ), chronic respiratory diseases ( $4 \%$ ), and diabetes mellitus (4\%)--are responsible for majority of these NCD related deaths?

The Sustainable Development Goals 3.4 targets to reduce by one-third premature mortality from NCDs and promote mental health and well-being ${ }^{10}$. This is further supplemented by the Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of NCDs 2013-2020 with 9 voluntary global targets to be attained by 2025 with 2010 as the reference year (Figure 1.1) ${ }^{11}$. Nepal has incorporated all 9 targets in its 5-year multisectoral action plan for 2014-2020 ${ }^{12}$.

Figure 1.1 Nine targets in Nepal Multisectoral Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of NCDs 20142020


The key to controlling the global epidemics of NCDs is primary prevention based on comprehensive population-wide programmes. This requires the identification and surveillance of the most common NCD risk factors identified by the World Health Organization (WHO) which are shared between most common NCDs: tobacco use, harmful use of alcohol, unhealthy diet (low fruits and vegetables consumption, high salt intake), physical inactivity, overweight and obesity, raised blood pressure, raised blood glucose and cholesterol.

The WHO STEPS-wise approach to noncommunicable disease risk factor surveillance facilitates countries to track national NCDs status including the 25 key indicators (except the indicator on NCD mortality and per capital alcohol consumption) highlighted in the NCD Global Monitoring Framework which will help Nepal track progress and guide policy and program planning in NCD prevention and control ${ }^{13}$.

[^5]
## STEPS survey and NCD surveillance

STEPS surveys are an integral part of nationwide NCD surveillance to track trends in key NCD risk factors and health system response including service coverage and utilization. As part of this surveillance system, this is Nepal's 3rd national STEPs survey conducted from since 2007. The previous two rounds were conducted in 2012-2013 and 2007-08, respectively ${ }^{14}$. In addition, Nepal conducted two subnational surveys before 2007. However, since the country has modified its federal structure, government is planning NCD related activities at the newly established provincial levels. Therefore, the 2019 STEPS survey also provides estimates for key indicators not only at the national level but also at provincial levels. Nepal Health Research Council (NHRC) in collaboration with Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP) and World Health Organization (WHO).

### 1.2 Objectives of STEPS Survey (2019)

## General Objective

- To assess the prevalence of selected NCD risk factors among 15-69 years old population in Nepal


## Specific Objective

- To measure the prevalence of behavioral risk factors (tobacco use, harmful use of alcohol, low fruits and vegetable consumptions,average population salt intake, and physical inactivity)
- To assess the implementation of tobacco and alcohol- related policies
- To measure the prevalence of biological risk factors (raised blood pressure, overweight, obesity, raised blood glucose and total cholesterol)
- To assess responses of national health system in terms of coverage with early detection and treatment of key physiological risk factors (i.e. raised blood pressure, raised blood glucose and total cholesterol)
- To assess the oral health practices of the adult population
- To assess the stress level among the adult population (15-69 years of age)
- To measure the prevalence of low back and join pain in adult population
- To assess the coverage, availability and use of cervical cancer screening/testing services and reasons for not getting screened or treated
- To assess the status of violence and injury level among 15-69 years aged population
- To assess the coverage of Health Insurance Scheme (SHI) among the adult population (15-69 years of age)

[^6]
## Chapter 2

## SURVEY METHODOLOGY

STEPS-2019 is national cross-sectional population-based household survey that used multi-stage cluster sampling design to sample households and eligible adult men and women (15-69 years of age) for questionnaire interview and physical examination (anthropometry, blood pressure measurement, blood glucose and cholesterol and urine sample for salt).

### 2.1 Survey population

Survey population included men and women aged 15-69 years who have been the usual residents of the household for at least six months and have stayed in the household the night before the survey. People with the following characteristics were not included:

- Those whose primary place of residence was in a military base or group quarters
- Those residing in hospitals, prisons, nursing homes and other institutions
- Those too frail and mentally unfit to participate in the study
- Those with any physical disability
- Those unable or unwilling to give informed consent


### 2.2 Sample size

## Sample Size:

To ensure generalization and reliability of the survey results to the entire target population in Nepal, the sample size calculator as recommended by WHO (sample size calculator STEPS) was used to derive a sample size. Considering the creation of new administrative divisions- 7 Provinces-a need was felt to generate the estimates for key indicators at the Province level in addition to generating reliable estimates at national level for men and women and for urban and rural municipalities. Hence, the sample size was calculated that is sufficient to produce reliable estimates for all the key indicators at Province level giving 7 strata at the first stage.
$1^{\text {st }}$ Step: Minimum sample size needed per Province (the sampling domain)

Minimum sample size was calculated using following formula where a conservative estimate of prevalence of 0.5 of key indicator was considered at the Province level.

$$
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{Z}_{1-\mathrm{a}}^{2} P(1+P)
$$

Where:

## $d^{2}$

$\mathrm{Z}=$ level of confidence measure and represents the number of standard errors away from the mean. This describes the uncertainty in the sample mean or prevalence as an estimate of the population mean (normal deviate if alpha equals $0.05, Z=1.96$, for $95 \%$ confidence level).
$\mathrm{P}=$ Prevalence of 0.5 was considered for most indicators as the conservative estimate.
$d=$ margin of error. This is the expected half width of the confidence interval and is taken as 0.05 for this study.

$$
n=\frac{\left.3.84\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0.5 & (1- & 0.5
\end{array}\right)\right)=384.16}{0.05} \quad * 0.05
$$

The calculated sample size for each Province was $n=384.16$, without taking into account the non-response and design effect
$\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }} \mathbf{S t e p}$ : adjusting for design effect and non-response:

In calculation of sample size, to achieve a more robust estimate, the sample size was adjusted for non-response ( $15 \%$ ) and design effect of 2 .
$\mathrm{n}=384.16 / 0.85 * 2=903.9$ per domain/Province
$3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Step: Sample size at the national level: Furthermore, since the data is supposed to be analyzed in 7 domains, the sample size was multiplied by 7 and the final calculated sample size was rounded up to 6328 .
$\mathrm{n}=903.9 * 7$ (Provinces) $=6327.34$ (sample size at national level)

One adult was sampled for each sampled household. 925 survey participants were sampled from each of seven Provinces with the total sample size of 6475 household adults at the national level. This sample size allows national estimated disaggregated by gender, residence and 4 main age groups, in addition to overall provincial level estimates.

### 2.3 Sampling strategy:

Sampling of Primary sampling units (clusters):

This national representative sample was selected through multistage cluster sampling. Sampling frame consisting of the distribution of old wards as in census 2011 was obtained from Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS). Then, in each of the Province, the old wards were compared with current classification of metropolitan, sub metropolitan, municipality and rural municipalities and recorded as per new classification which has been recently updated by the government of Nepal. The location of the new classifications were matched with the old wards and, finally, used as the sampling frame for selecting Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) for 2019 STEPS survey.

As a trade-off between survey costs and reducing the standard error, it was decided to sample 25 survey participants from each cluster, requiring sampling of $36.12 \sim 37$ clusters in each of 7 Provinces i.e. 259 clusters at national level.

Within each Province, the numbers of clusters were assigned to the three sub-strata in metropolitan, sub metropolitan, municipality and rural municipality in proportion to the share of population in each of these 3 substrata in the total Province population.

Sampling of households and individuals from clusters:

A total of 25 households were sampled from each of the cluster. A sampling frame of all the households in the sampled PSUs was obtained through a complete household listing and mapping carried out in the sampled PSUs in September 6 to December 62018.

### 2.4 Household listing and mapping

Sampling frame for selection of households from each PSU was prepared by conducting household listing and mapping. The team of enumerators visited the sampled PSUs and carried out a complete mapping of all the households in the PSU. If the sampled cluster were large, (if the population exceeds 300), cluster was segmented. In that case, field team started from northeast corner of each PSU and prepared an enumeration area of 300 households with at least one person aged 15 years or more. Household listing questionnaire was used to list all of the household's members in selected PSUs. The listing was carried out electronically using Android ODK software. Mapping was done along with household listing. Drawing a location map of the cluster as well a detailed sketch map of all structures residing in the cluster was done. These materials guided the interviewers to return to the pre-selected households for interview.

The lists of the households so prepared from all the sampled PSUs served as the sampling frame for the selection of households in the next stage. From the prepared list, 25 households per PSU were sampled using equal systematic random sampling after determining the sampling interval by dividing the number of listed household by 25 and by randomly selecting the starting number between 0 and the sampling interval.

From each of the selected household, one adult member was sampled randomly for participation in the survey using the android tablet.

### 2.5 Questionnaires: Data collection tools

The survey was conducted using the standardized WHO NCD STEPS questionnaire version 3.2. The questionnaire consisted of a number of core, expanded and country specific questions that were modified to suit local needs. Nepal included all core modules and some of the optional modules such as, tobacco policy, violence and injury, oral health, and cervical cancer screening. In addition, Nepal included an alcohol policy module and household asset module (as part of demographic information) in technical consultation with WHO Regional office for South-east Asia. Several country-specific questions such service utilization and sources of care for management of hypertension diabetes mellitus and cholesterol were included in consultation with WHO regional office for South-east Asia in almost all the modules to asses the new policies and programs that have evolved in Nepal over the years. Apart from WHO STEPS instrument modules, the survey also included a country-specific module to asses the stress level and joint and back pain. After the questionnaire were translated and administered in local Nepali language.

The survey process consisted of three steps for measuring the NCDs risk factors.

## Step I included administration of a questionnaire to elicit

- Demographic information: date of birth/ age, sex, ethnicity, marital status, years at school, primary occupation and possession of specific household assets (to compute household wealth index as a proxy for economic status in place of income/expenditure).
- Tobacco use and related policies
- Alcohol consumption and related policies
- Fruit and vegetable consumption
- Dietary salt consumption practices, knowledge, and perceptions
- Physical activity levels in three key domain (work, commute and leisure) and sedentary habits
- Mental stress, musculoskeletal pain (joint pain, and back pain) and membership in any health insurance scheme (country-specific module)
- Oral health
- Cervical cancer screening
- Violence and injury
- History of raised blood pressure and raised blood glucose, and sources of care and reasons for non-treatment

STEP II included physical measurements: weight, height, waist/hip circumference and Blood pressure, heart rate. These measurements were carried out at the home of the survey participants immediately after conclusion of the STEP 1.

STEP III included biochemical measurements: fasting blood glucose, total cholesterol and urine sample for testing of sodium, potassium and creatinine levels. The blood tests were carried out the next day at a common place for all the participants of a cluster. Urine samples were sent to a regional lab for testing.

### 2.6 Data Collection Technique

Each field survey team was provided the list of sampled households along with the detailed map of the cluster. Field survey teams visited the sampled households and were followed up at least twice in case of non-availability of the participants on the first visit. A respondent who could not be contacted even after the second attempt was counted as a non-response.

An interview tracking form was completed to record brief information about the respondent. If the sampled household member was present on the first visit, $s /$ he was requested to participate in the survey and written informed consent was obtained. If $\mathrm{s} /$ he was not available at home during the first visit, a second visit was made. Once the consent was obtained, the STEP I and II were completed, urine container with QR code was given to the participant. The questionnaires were administered by trained interviewers and data collection was done digitally using android application of STEPS i.e. android tablets. Data from the tablets were submitted to cloud-based server after completing the data collection. Assistive pictorial show cards were shown to the participants during the interview to provide visual reference including various tobacco, alcohol products, servings of different fruits and vegetables and corresponding servings sizes (one standard serving of fruit or vegetables equals 80 grams), various salty sauces and processed foods, various levels of physical activity and sedentary activities (Annex III).

After completing STEPS I and II, a feedback form was given to participant which included information on their height, weight, hip and waist circumferences, blood pressure (third reading) and heart rate (third reading).

An appointment/clinic card was also given to every participant for biochemical measurement containing fasting instruction. This card contained the appointment date, time and place for blood glucose measurement. On the given date and time, the enumerators made biochemical assessment (fasting blood glucose and lipid) using Cardiocheck ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$. Participants were instructed to fast overnight for 12 hours and diabetic patients on medication were requested to bring their medicine/insulin with them and take their medicine after providing the blood sample. To ensure high response rate for STEP3, the enumerators called the participants on the day of testing if he/she failed to come as per the appointment.

Similarly for purpose of population salt estimation urine containers with QR code pasted on them were provided to participants to collect spot urine. The instruction for spot urine collection was given and asked them to bring the urine sample with them to the appointment for blood testing the next morning.

### 2.7 Physical measurements: Anthropometry and Blood pressure

Anthropometry

Height, weight, hip and waist circumference were measured for all sampled individuals who gave their consent for STEP 2.

Height was measured with a portable standard stature tape (Seca, Germany). For the height measurement, participants were asked to remove footwear (shoes, slippers, sandals) and any hat or hair ties. Participants were requested to stand on a flat surface facing the interviewer with their feet together and knees straight. They were asked to look straight ahead and not tilt their head up, making sure that their eyes are at the same level as their ears. Height was recorded in centimeters.

Weight was measured with a portable digital weighing scale (Seca, Germany). The instrument was placed on a firm, flat surface. Participants were requested to remove their footwear and socks, wear light clothes, stand on the scale with one foot on each side of the scale, face forward, place arms idly at their side and wait until asked to step off. Weight was recorded in kilograms.

Waist and hip circumference were measured using a constant tension tape (Seca, Germany). A private area, such as a separate room with in the house, was used and the measurement was taken over light clothing. Waist circumference was taken at the end of a normal expiration with the arms relaxed at the sides at the midpoint between the lower margin of the last palpable rib and the top of the iliac crest (hip bone). Hip circumference was taken at the maximum circumference over the buttocks. Participants were requested to wrap the tape around them. The measurement was read at the level of the tape to the nearest 0.1 cm , making sure to keep the measuring tape snug.

## Blood pressure

Blood pressure was measured with a digital, automated blood pressure monitor (OMRON digital device) with an universal size cuff. Before taking the measurements, participants were asked to sit quietly and rest for 15 minutes with legs uncrossed. Three readings of the systolic and diastolic blood pressure were obtained. Participants were requested to rest for three minutes between each reading. The mean of the second and third readings was calculated. The sphygmomanometer cuff was placed on the left arm while the participant rests their forearm on a table with the palm facing upward. Participants were requested to remove or rollup clothing on the arm. The cuff was kept above the elbow aligning the mark for artery (ART) on the cuff with the brachial artery and making sure the lower edge of the cuff is placed 1.2 to 2.5 cm above the inner side of the elbow joint and with the level of the cuff at the same level as the heart.

### 2.8 Biochemical measurements: Blood sugar and lipids measurement

Blood sugar and lipid

After STEP 1 and STEP 2 of data collection at sampled individual home, biochemical assessments were performed the next day at a designated place of the PSU for blood glucose and total cholesterol, measured through dry chemistry using CardioCheck PA Analyser as recommended and supported by WHO. Concentrations of glucose, total cholesterol were measured in capillary whole blood. Fasting samples was taken to measure raised blood glucose. Participants were instructed to fast overnight for 12 hours at the time of household visit for Step 1 and 2 .
*Note: The methods adopted for measurements of blood sugar and cholesterol for 2019 is different in comparison to $2013^{1}$ STEPS survey. In 2013 measurements of cholesterol was carried out by clinical diagnostic laboratory methods i.e. wet methods. However, in 2019, we used CardioChek PA point-of-care testing (POCT) for analyzing blood glucose and lipids i.e. dry methods.

CardioChek PA has clear advantages over the laboratory-based approach for delivering population based health care screening programs ${ }^{2}$. CardioChek PA is easy to use and rapid determination of lipid value that can be used for the application of clinical screening anywhere ${ }^{234}$. For NCDs STEPS survey, besides Nepal, many countries have adopted CardioChek PA for population based screening of glucose and cholesterol levels ${ }^{567}$.

[^7]
### 2.9 Estimation of 24-hour salt intake based on sport urine testing

The STEPs survey utilizes spot urine sample as a proxy to 24 h urine samples for the estimation of mean population salt intake. WHO has long supported the use of 24-hour urine sample as the preferred method for the assessment of population mean salt intake, despite so, the challenges faced during sample collection due to high participant burden has significantly reduced the use of the tool. The relative convenience of spot urine samples has provided a more appealing alternative. Current literature supports the use of spot urine samples to estimating mean population salt intake ${ }^{8}$. Spot urine collection was done to identify the level of sodium (Na), potassium (K) and creatinine.

Spot urine sample collection process

Urine sample were collected from all participants age 15-69 years who consented to STEP 3-biochemical measures component of the survey. Urine samples were self-collected by participants at the night of the survey interview at home before fasting for blood sample collection the next day during their scheduled appointment. The participants were requested to void into the urine containers provided, fill half of the container and record time of collection. Instructions were given to store the sample in a cool, dark place without direct sunlight before they brought the sample container to the collection centre the next morning during their appointment. The collected urine sample was stored in dark place in normal room temperature until they were transported to the lab.

Laboratory setup was done in every Province headquarters and nearly located places (Figure 2. 1). Urine samples were matched with participants using QR codes attached to each urine sample container that corresponds to respondent's unique ID. Determination of Na and K in urine is carried with Ion-Selective Electrodes in an Automated Analyzer. Similarly, determination of creatinine was carried out using semi-automated creatinine analyzer. The unit of measurements for Na and K was $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$, while creatinine was $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$.

At time of analysis of data, participants were excluded if they were pregnant; were fasting before collecting the urine sample; have contaminated urine samples with blood.

Figure 2.1 Laboratory location used for urinary sample analysis and corresponding analyzers used for analysis

| Province | Hospital Name | Na, K analyzer | Creatinine analyzer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Province 1 and 2 | Koshi Provincial Hospital, Biratnagar | Jokoh, Japan | Standbio, USA |
| Province 3 | Nepal Health Research Council, <br> Kathmandu | Jokoh, Japan | Standbio,USA |
| Gandaki Province | Pokhara Academy of Health Sciences, <br> Pokhara | Jokoh, Japan | Standbio,USA |
| Province 5 | Health Post, Butwal | Jokoh, Japan | Standbio,USA |
| Karnali Province | Karnali Provincial Hospital | Jokoh, Japan | Standbio,USA |
| Sudoorpashchim <br> Province | Seti Provincial Hospital | Jokoh, Japan | Standbio,USA |

[^8]
## 24-h salt intake estimation

Three main studies developed the estimation of 24-h urinary sodium intake from spot urine samples that are used in our STEPS survey: Kawasaki ${ }^{9}$, INTERSALT ${ }^{10}$ and Tanaka ${ }^{11}$. However, limited evidence support the preferential use of one equation over another in a given population/context. Nepal estimated the 24 hours salt intake for the first time, and it was not included in 2013 survey. For this survey, Nepal used the INTERSALT Southern European equation to estimate 24 hour mean salt intake.

## INTERSALT

## For North America (HQ):

Male:
$\left(23.51+0.46 \times \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{L}\right)\right)-3.09 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{L}\right)+4.16 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+0.26 \times$ Age $($ year $)$
Female:
$\left(3.74+0.33 \times \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{L}\right)\right)-2.44 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{L}\right)+2.42 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+2.34 \times$ Age $($ year $)-0.03 \times \mathrm{Age}^{2}($ year $)$

## For Southern Europe:

Male:
$\left(20.861+0.45 \times \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m \text { mol }}{L}\right)\right)-3.09 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{L}}\right)+4.16 \times \operatorname{BMI}\left(\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{m}^{2}}\right)+0.22 \times \operatorname{Age}($ year $)$
Female:
$\left(21.98+0.33 \times \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{L}\right)\right)-2.44 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{L}\right)+2.42 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+2.34 \times \operatorname{Age}($ year $)-0.03 \times \mathrm{Age}^{2}(y e a r)$

## For Eastern Europe:

Male:
$\left(39.58+0.45 \times \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{L}\right)\right)-3.09 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{L}}\right)+4.16 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+0.22 \times$ Age $($ year $)$
Female:
$\left(17.02+0.33 \times \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{L}\right)\right)-2.44 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{L}\right)+2.42 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+2.34 \times$ Age $($ year $)-0.03 \times$ Age $^{2}($ year $)$

## Tanaka

$21.98 \times\left(\frac{\operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{L}\right)}{\operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{m g}{d L}\right) * 10} \times \operatorname{PrUXr} 24 h\left(\frac{m g}{d a y}\right)\right)^{0.392}$
$\operatorname{PrUCr} 24 h=14.89 \times W e i g h t(k g)+16.14 \times \operatorname{Height}(c m)-2.04 \times \operatorname{Age}($ year $)-2244.45$

[^9]
## Kawasaki

```
\(16.3 \times\left(\operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{L}\right) /\left(\operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{m g}{d L}\right) * 10\right) \times \operatorname{Pr} U \operatorname{Cr} 24 h(m g / \text { day })\right)^{0.5}\)
\(\operatorname{PrUCr} 24 h=15.12 \times\) Weight \((\mathrm{kg})+7.39 \times \operatorname{Height}(\mathrm{cm})-12.63 \times\) Age \((\) year \()-79.90(\) Male \()\)
\(\operatorname{PrUCr} 24 h=8.58 \times\) Weight \((\mathrm{kg})+5.09 \times \operatorname{Height}(\mathrm{cm})-4.72 \times\) Age \((\) year \()-74.50(\) Female \()\)
```

Additional information that are required by the equations include respondent weight, height, age, sex. Participants whose height was less than 100 cm or above 270 cm ; weight was less than 20 kg or above 350 kg were excluded. When conversion of creatinine from $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ to $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ was called for, creatinine in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ was multiplied with a conversion factor of 0.0884 . The equations given above compute 24 hour 'sodium' intake, which is then converted to 'salt' intake by the division of 17.1 (or multiplication of $2.54 / 1000 * 23$ ) as a conversion factor to obtain the final estimated 24 -hour salt intake in grams.

### 2.10 Quality control and pretest

This study adopted the validated WHO STEPS instrument version 3.2. The English version of the instrument was translated into Nepali. Pretest was conducted with technical support of a team from Nepal Health Research Council (NHRC). About 8 households from kritipur municipality were selected for pretests. Feedbacks from pretests were then collected and used for finalization of questionnaire, field procedures, and show-cards and for finalization of an overall data collection Guideline for STEPS. The revised instruments were also endorsed by the Steering Committee which comprises of experts in the field of non-communicable disease prior to use in the field.

### 2.11 Field Staff and Field work

## Field Staff

Sixty field research assistants with a background of bachelor's degree in public health, nursing, laboratory, and health sciences were mobilized. These 60 field research assistants participated in a four-day-long training workshop provided by WHO technical experts (from HQ, South-East Asia Regional office) from January 15 to January 18, 2019 at NHRC, Kathmandu, Nepal.

## Field work

The field work was carried out between Feb 9, 2019 to May 8, 2019. Sixty enumerators were divided into 30 teams comprising two enumerators in each. To retain the enumerators, till last of the survey, team was mobilized from very difficult train to relatively easier ones. Data collection was started from Sudoor pashchim Province (relatively difficult terrain compared to other Province), as the team completed their assigned PSUs, they were informed about the next PSUs (assigning both easy and difficult PSUs equally). Any technical and field related issues were solved by STEPS team. Frequent monitoring and supervision was done during data collection period from NHRC and WHO Country Office, Nepal.

### 2.12 Data processing and analysis

## Data processing

The survey data was entered directly in the ODK software on the android tablets. As soon as data entry for STEPS 1 and 2 and STEPs 3 was completed, data were sent electronically and stored in ONA data base server. The same applied to urine test results. Furthermore, field team uploaded the data on daily basis to the server and the data were downloaded at central office for consistency check. The central data management team checked
the data for any inconsistencies and incompleteness. The enumerators were alerted and advised in every step of data collection and provided guidance if any inconsistency was noted and persisted. The data from server were downloaded into Microsoft Excel® files. Each survey participants had a unique identifier QR-code and personal identification number (PID) which was used to merge data for steps 1,2,3 and urine testing results. Once the survey was completed the data were cleaned and analyzed according to guidelines of WHO STEPS wise approach to surveillance. For the validity of study, all steps were followed as per the guideline of WHO STEPS wise approach to surveillance.

## Weighting of data

Data weighting was carried out to represent the target population. Weight is calculated with technical support of WHO experts. Thus, sample weighting and adjustments were carried out for probabilities of selection of PSUs, selection of households and non-response rate using 2011 population for Nepal retrieved form CBS.

## Data analysis:

Data analysis was primarily performed using STATA version 15.0 and Epi Info version 3.4 with appropriate methods for the complex sample design of the survey. The prevalence and measures of central tendency of NCD risk factors were estimated. Outcome measures (prevalence and mean variance) and differences between groups were calculated with a $95 \%$ confidence interval. Data analysis and report writing was carried out by NHRC STEPS team with technical support of WHO Regional office for South-east Asia. WHO regional office provided the standardized table templates for organizing the results as well as for the main chapters and provided the data analysis program files to compute the main indicators in both epi-info as well in STATA (do files).
*Note: Bar diagram presented in whole reports for age, education and wealth index is displayed as increasing order which means:
Increasing age: 15-24, 25-39, 40-54, and 55-69 years
Increasing level of education: None less than primary, primary, secondary, and more than secondary
Increasing level of wealth: Lowest, second, middle, fourth, and highest
Similarly, the province name that were assigned before the date $15^{\text {th }}$ December, 2019 were only consider in the report

### 2.13 Response rates

Amongst the initially planned 6475 sample size, 1 PSU with 25 participants was dropped, leaving 6450 as our total sample size.

| Total Sample size = 6450 | Number of participants | Response rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STEP 1 | 5593 | $86.7 \%$ |
| STEP 2 | 5582 | $86.5 \%$ |
| STEP 3 | 5350 | $82.6 \%$ |

### 2.14 Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of Nepal Health Research Council. Written informed consent was obtained from each of participant. Participants were informed regarding their right to withdraw from the survey at any time without penalty and issues concerning confidentiality and consent will be upheld in accordance with ethical research standards. Data obtained from the survey participants will not be used for any other purpose than to inform national NCD policy and programs. Participants were also informed about their anthropometry and test results (BMI, BP, fasting blood glucose and total cholesterol). Participants with out of range values were advised and referred to nearby health facilities for further evaluation and necessary care.

## Chapter 3

## CHARACTERISTICS OF PARTICIPANTS AND HOUSEHOLDS

## Key Findings

- Age: half of all participants were less than 40 years of age.
- Gender: $64.3 \%$ of participants were women and $35.7 \%$ were men.
- Marital status: $81.67 \%$ of women and $73.4 \%$ of men were currently married, while $14.6 \%$ of women and $25.2 \%$ of men have never married.
- Education: $39.7 \%$ of participants have no education or have completed less than primary level, and only $15.3 \%$ have attained higher than secondary-level of education.
- Occupation: $14.7 \%$ of women and $53.5 \%$ of men were currently employed either in government or private jobs.
- Ethnicity: $46.3 \%$ of participants belonged to disadvantaged groups (Dalits, disadvantaged Janajati and disadvantaged non-dalit tarai caste groups), $5.2 \%$ to religious minorities and $33.6 \%$ to upper caste groups.
- Household wealth: A vast majority (91.2\%) of households in Nepal have access to electricity, and a similar proportion


### 3.1 Basic characteristics of survey participants

The 2019 STEPS Survey interviewed 3,595 women and 1,998 men age 15-69 years (Table 3.1). More than half of the participants were less than 40 years of age. Younger age groups participants (15-39 years) were more educated than older age groups. A majority of participants belonging to 25-54 years were employed (Table 3.2). While one-third of participants belonged to upper-caste groups, more than $40 \%$ of participants belonged to disadvantaged groups-dalits (10.5\%), disadvantaged Janajati (18.2\%) and disadvantaged non-Dalit Tarai caste groups (17.6\%). Five percent of participants belonged to religious minorities (Table 3.5).

A majority of women ( $81.7 \%$ ) and men ( $73.4 \%$ ) were currently married, while $14.6 \%$ of women and $25.2 \%$ of men were never married. Nearly four percentage of women and $1.5 \%$ of men were divorced, separated or widowed (Table 3.1).

Almost $54 \%$ of participants lived in municipalities, while $8.9 \%$ of participants lived in metropolitan or submetropolitan states and $37.2 \%$ of participants lived in rural municipalities.

### 3.2 Education

Nearly $40 \%$ of adults ( $46.3 \%$ of women and $32.2 \%$ of men) reported none or less than primary level of education. Over $40 \%$ of adults reported secondary ( $24.9 \%$ ) or higher than secondary level of education ( $15.3 \%$ ) (Table 3.1).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 3.2)

- Age and educational level: Younger age cohorts had fewer participants with none or less than primary education ( $11.7 \%$ in 15-24 years) compared to older age groups ( $80.1 \%$ in 55-69 year age group).
- Household wealth and educational level: The likelihood of no or less then primary education decreased with increasing wealth from $57.2 \%$ of participants in lowest wealth quintile to $20.2 \%$ in the highest wealth quintile. Higher wealth quintiles had more number of participants with secondary and more than secondary level of education.
- Residence and educational level: Adults who lived in rural municipalities were more likely to report lower education levels. $42.6 \%$ of adults in rural municipalities reported no or less than primary education compared to $36.8 \%$ in metropolitan/sub-metropolitan areas.


### 3.3 Employment

More than half of men (53.5\%) and $14.7 \%$ of women-overall $33 \%$ were currently employed either as government ( $1.6 \%$ overall), non-governmental ( $8.2 \%$ ) or self-employed ( $23.1 \%$ ). $4 \%$ of women and $8.4 \%$ of men were unemployed. $68.4 \%$ of women and $19.8 \%$ of men reported as homemakers (Table 3.2).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 3.2)

- Age, sex and occupational status: Participants at the extremes of age groups i.e. 15-24 years and 55-69 years were less likely to report being employed. Highest proportion of participants reported being employed in $25-39$ years age group followed by 40-54 years age group. More men were employed (53.5\%) than women (14.7\%).
- Household wealth and occupation status: The likelihood of being employed increased with increasing wealth from $19.7 \%$ of participants in lowest wealth quintile to $46.4 \%$ in the highest wealth quintile. The reverse relationship was seen with being a home-maker.
- Residence and occupational status: Adults who lived in rural municipalities were less likely to report being employed. The likelihood of being employed also varied by Province from 27.5\% in Province 1 to $36.8 \%$ in Province 5.


### 3.4 Household characteristics and assets

The survey collected data on type of household roof, access to electricity, and selected household durable goods (mobile phones, televisions, radio) and means of transportation to assess the overall household wealth. A vast majority ( $91.2 \%$ ) of households in Nepal have access to electricity ( $82.2 \%$ in rural municipalities and $99.8 \%$ in metropolitan or sub-metropolitan areas (Table 3.3). A variety of roofing materials are used in Nepalese households-the most common being metal/galvanized sheets ( $42.7 \%$ ), cement ( $30.3 \%$ ) and ceramic tiles (10.4\%), thatched/palm leaves (6.6\%).

## Household consumer goods:

Almost 9 in 10 houshoeld (89.6\%) have at least one mobile phone. In addition to the mobile phones, $5.7 \%$ of households also have fixed land-line telephones ( $18.6 \%$ in metropolitan areas and $1.7 \%$ in rural municipalities). Overall nearly $60 \%$ of housholds each reported to own a TV and radio, while the ownership of TV is much higer in metropolitan areas compared to municipalities ( $87.3 \%$ versus $41.8 \%$ ), differences in radio ownership are less stark by residence ( $73.8 \%$ versus $51.9 \%$ ). $11.4 \%$ of households reported having a computer, much higher in metropolitan areas than in other areas (Table 3.3).

### 3.5 Household wealth index

Household wealth assessed on the basis of selected household characteristics (e.g. type of roof, access to electricity), means of transporation used and possession of selected consumer goods was used as indicator of economic status rather than direct assessment of household income or other traditional measurs of income-consumption/expenditure levels, as the former is easier to assess in household surveys and was found to be
a valid marker of economic status ${ }^{1}$. Household wealth index has been used as a key stratifier to assess socioeconomic differentials in prevalence of NCD risk factors and care-seeking behaviors.

## Computation of household wealth index:

Households were given scores based on the number and kind of consumer goods they owned ranging from a television to a bicycle or a car and housing roof characteristic. These scores are derived using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). National wealth quintiles are compiled by assigning the household score to sample individuals, ranking them by his/her household score, and then dividing the distribution into five equal categories, each comprising $20 \%$ of the population.

While $42.8 \%$ of individuals living in metropolitan/submetropolitan areas were categorized under the highest wealth quintile, only $9.7 \%$ from rural municipalities were in the lowest wealth quintile. Province 2 and 3 have the highest proportion of individuals in the highest wealth quintile and lowest proportion was observed in Karnali Province and Sudoorpashchim Province (Table 3.4).

Figure 3.1 Distribution of sampled individuals by wealth quintile and residence


[^10]Figure 3.2 Percent of households in the poorest quintile by Province
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Table 3.1 Background characteristics of participants by sex
Table 3.2 Educational and occupation status of participants
Table 3.3 Characteristics of sampled households
Table 3.4 Household Wealth quintiles
Table 3.5 Ethnicity

| Table 3.1 Background characteristics of participants by sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of participants age 15-69 years by selected background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Women |  | Men |  | Total |  |
| Background characteristic | weighted percent | N | weighted percent | N | weighted percent | N |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 26.5 | 568 | 27.5 | 275 | 27.0 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 40.2 | 1472 | 37.1 | 615 | 38.7 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 20.7 | 965 | 21.0 | 609 | 20.8 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 12.6 | 590 | 14.4 | 499 | 13.5 | 1089 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 8.4 | 429 | 9.5 | 276 | 8.9 | 705 |
| Municipality | 54.0 | 1791 | 53.7 | 964 | 53.8 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 37.6 | 1375 | 36.8 | 758 | 37.2 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 18.2 | 519 | 18.4 | 285 | 18.3 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 18.2 | 450 | 20.9 | 353 | 19.5 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 15.3 | 457 | 17.2 | 302 | 16.2 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 8.1 | 526 | 8.1 | 267 | 8.1 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 21.5 | 529 | 19.5 | 268 | 20.6 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 5.8 | 547 | 5.4 | 261 | 5.6 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 12.9 | 567 | 10.6 | 262 | 11.8 | 829 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 14.6 | 288 | 25.2 | 250 | 19.5 | 538 |
| Currently married | 81.7 | 3067 | 73.4 | 1685 | 77.8 | 4752 |
| Ever married ${ }^{4}$ | 3.8 | 239 | 1.5 | 63 | 2.7 | 302 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 46.3 | 2000 | 32.2 | 792 | 39.7 | 2792 |
| Primary | 19.2 | 627 | 21.1 | 424 | 20.1 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 20.1 | 622 | 30.3 | 466 | 24.9 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 14.4 | 345 | 16.4 | 316 | 15.3 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 22.6 | 1149 | 17.1 | 504 | 20.0 | 1653 |
| Second | 21.5 | 696 | 18.3 | 366 | 20.0 | 1062 |
| Middle | 20.4 | 604 | 19.7 | 345 | 20.1 | 949 |
| Fourth | 17.9 | 540 | 22.5 | 338 | 20.1 | 878 |
| Highest | 17.6 | 606 | 22.4 | 445 | 19.9 | 1051 |
| Total (15-69) |  | 3595 |  | 1998 |  |  |

[^11]| Table 3.2 Educational and occupation status of participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of educational and occupational status of participants age 15-69 years by selected background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Education |  |  |  | Occupation |  |  |  |  | Number of adults |
| Bac | No education | Primary | Secondary | More than secondary | Employed ${ }^{1}$ | Student | Homemaker | Unemployed ${ }^{2}$ | Others ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 11.7 | 25.3 | 39.2 | 23.8 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 25.4 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 33.5 | 20.5 | 28.1 | 17.9 | 42.1 | 2.0 | 48.6 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 61.2 | 17.5 | 13.5 | 7.9 | 38.7 | 0.1 | 54.4 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 80.1 | 12.6 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 23.5 | 0.2 | 63.2 | 10.1 | 2.9 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 46.3 | 19.2 | 20.1 | 14.4 | 14.7 | 12.4 | 68.4 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 3595 |
| Men | 32.2 | 21.1 | 30.3 | 16.4 | 53.5 | 16.5 | 19.8 | 8.4 | 1.6 | 1998 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 36.8 | 10.6 | 30.7 | 21.9 | 35.0 | 17.0 | 33.9 | 12.2 | 1.3 | 705 |
| Municipality | 38.1 | 20.6 | 26.4 | 15.0 | 34.1 | 13.4 | 46.4 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 42.6 | 21.7 | 21.4 | 14.3 | 30.8 | 15.0 | 47.0 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 33.9 | 26.5 | 27.8 | 11.8 | 27.5 | 15.9 | 48.3 | 7.2 | 0.7 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 47.7 | 15.9 | 20.2 | 16.2 | 33.6 | 9.8 | 51.0 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 38.4 | 17.8 | 23.8 | 20.0 | 33.3 | 12.2 | 41.4 | 11.3 | 1.8 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 29.1 | 25.2 | 28.2 | 17.5 | 35.0 | 14.6 | 44.7 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 42.8 | 19.3 | 23.5 | 14.5 | 36.8 | 14.5 | 43.6 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 33.4 | 19.3 | 29.3 | 18.0 | 28.6 | 21.7 | 44.8 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 42.0 | 18.5 | 27.8 | 11.7 | 33.6 | 18.1 | 42.1 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 829 |


| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Never married | 8.4 | 22.7 | 40.6 | 28.4 | 18.3 | 67.1 | 8.4 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 538 |
| Currently married | 45.9 | 45.9 | 19.9 | 21.7 | 37.3 | 1.5 | 54.3 | 5.7 | 1.1 | 4752 |
| Ever married ${ }^{4}$ | 86.3 | 86.3 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 15.4 | 2.5 | 61.8 | 16.6 | 3.4 | 302 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 57.2 | 18.6 | 17.8 | 6.4 | 19.7 | 11.6 | 61.2 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 1653 |
| Second | 44.8 | 24.0 | 22.6 | 8.7 | 30.5 | 16.3 | 47.6 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 1062 |
| Middle | 43.4 | 22.3 | 23.6 | 10.7 | 30.0 | 16.2 | 46.4 | 6.6 | 0.5 | 949 |
| Fourth | 32.8 | 21.0 | 30.1 | 16.2 | 38.2 | 13.5 | 40.7 | 6.1 | 1.4 | 878 |
| Highest | 20.2 | 14.6 | 30.4 | 34.8 | 46.4 | 14.0 | 31.7 | 5.6 | 1.8 | 1051 |
| Total (15-69) | 39.7 | 20.1 | 24.9 | 15.3 | 32.9 | 14.3 | 45.5 | 6.1 | 1.0 | 5,593 |


| Table 3.3 Characteristics of sampled households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of households having different roof types and household possessions by residence and household wealth quintile, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residence |  |  | Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| Household characteristic | Metropolitan / submetorpolitan | Municipality | Rural municipality | Lowest | Second | Middle | Fourth | Highest | weighted percent | unweighted number |
| Roofing material |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No roof | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Thatched/Palm leaf | 0.3 | 4.9 | 10.6 | 13.4 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 6.6 | 462 |
| Rustic mat | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 43 |
| Bamboo | 4.1 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 137 |
| Wood Planks | 2.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 33 |
| Cardboard | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 |
| Metal/Galvanized sheet | 14.7 | 42.7 | 49.3 | 55.6 | 59.8 | 49.2 | 38.4 | 10.1 | 42.7 | 2263 |
| Wood | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 58 |
| Calamine/cement fiber | 5.4 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 309 |
| Ceramic tiles | 14.5 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 10.3 | 11.8 | 6.3 | 10.4 | 694 |
| Cement | 58.0 | 31.4 | 22.0 | 6.9 | 9.2 | 23.4 | 35.3 | 76.9 | 30.3 | 1580 |
| Roofing singles | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 |
| Household possessions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity | 99.8 | 96.0 | 82.2 | 67.9 | 91.5 | 97.7 | 99.3 | 99.7 | 91.2 | 4873 |
| Radio | 73.8 | 62.0 | 51.9 | 49.6 | 52.7 | 51.8 | 65.2 | 77.2 | 59.3 | 3287 |
| Television | 87.3 | 66.2 | 41.8 | 11.4 | 37.4 | 69.2 | 79.4 | 97.8 | 59.0 | 2882 |
| Landline | 18.6 | 6.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 26.5 | 5.7 | 392 |
| Mobile phone | 92.9 | 92.8 | 84.3 | 74.4 | 85.3 | 93.2 | 96.5 | 98.8 | 89.6 | 4866 |
| Computer | 22.0 | 14.5 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 8.0 | 41.2 | 11.4 | 617 |
| Refrigerator | 22.9 | 19.3 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 14.1 | 57.2 | 14.9 | 839 |
| Inverter | 16.9 | 9.6 | 9.1 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 31.9 | 10.0 | 559 |
| Bed | 85.9 | 90.2 | 84.2 | 67.5 | 85.7 | 91.8 | 93.8 | 99.1 | 87.6 | 4613 |
| Sofa | 42.6 | 28.5 | 11.6 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 12.5 | 28.0 | 74.3 | 23.5 | 1269 |
| Table | 84.3 | 72.8 | 65.4 | 16.7 | 67.2 | 80.6 | 91.6 | 99.5 | 71.1 | 3662 |


| Fan | 84.5 | 68.5 | 40.2 | 3.8 | 31.0 | 73.0 | 91.8 | 97.4 | 59.4 | 2783 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chair | 87.9 | 82.7 | 75.6 | 34.4 | 81.9 | 90.2 | 96.8 | 99.3 | 80.5 | 4145 |
| Watch / Clock | 87.2 | 69.2 | 71.5 | 36.7 | 61.1 | 72.3 | 90.8 | 97.4 | 71.6 | 3774 |
| Dhiki /Janto | 8.9 | 22.3 | 24.9 | 42.2 | 28.7 | 20.8 | 12.1 | 6.5 | 22.1 | 1502 |
| Means of transport |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle | 60.3 | 53.5 | 44.1 | 12.4 | 35.0 | 66.2 | 76.1 | 63.2 | 50.6 | 2010 |
| Motor cycle / Scooter | 46.0 | 31.3 | 23.2 | 0.5 | 6.1 | 18.6 | 45.7 | 77.3 | 29.6 | 1246 |
| Car/Truck/Jeep/Tractor | 4.8 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 15.5 | 4.9 | 209 |
| Animal drawn cart | 41.4 | 64.4 | 73.8 | 91.5 | 81.6 | 78.2 | 54.2 | 23.3 | 65.8 | 3604 |
| Ownership of domestic animal ${ }^{1}$ | 40.9 | 66.2 | 77.2 | 93.9 | 85.6 | 79.5 | 56.8 | 24.0 | 68.0 | 3722 |


| Table 3.4 Household Wealth quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of the sampled individuals in different wealth quintiles by residence and Province, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residence / Province | Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lowest | Second | Middle | Fourth | Highest |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 2.6 | 10.0 | 16.2 | 28.5 | 42.8 |
| Municipality | 16.0 | 18.2 | 21.9 | 20.9 | 23.1 |
| Rural Municipality | 30.0 | 25.0 | 18.3 | 16.9 | 9.7 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 18.1 | 24.0 | 22.8 | 19.0 | 16.1 |
| Province 2 | 8.1 | 13.7 | 21.0 | 31.4 | 25.7 |
| Province 3 | 20.3 | 18.3 | 15.2 | 13.4 | 32.8 |
| Gandaki Province | 15.6 | 23.1 | 23.4 | 20.2 | 17.7 |
| Province 5 | 16.6 | 20.1 | 19.4 | 22.8 | 21.1 |
| Karnali Province | 53.2 | 23.9 | 11.6 | 6.6 | 4.8 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 35.4 | 22.4 | 23.8 | 13.6 | 4.8 |


| Table 3.5 Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of the sampled individuals by ethnicity, residence and Province [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 4

TOBACCO

## Key Findings

- Tobacco use
o In 2019, $28.9 \%$ of adults aged $15-69$ years ( $48.3 \%$ of men, $11.6 \%$ women) currently used either smoked tobacco or smokeless tobacco products.
o $17.1 \%$ of adults ( $28.0 \%$ men, $7.5 \%$ women) were current tobacco smokers and $18.3 \%$ ( $33.3 \%$ men, $4.9 \%$ women) were current users of smokeless tobacco products and $6.5 \%$ of adults used both smoke and smokeless tobacco products.
o Between 2013 and 2019 STEPS survey, no significant change was observed in overall tobacco use and in use of smoking or smokeless tobacco products.
- Tobacco use status
o Smoked tobacco-76.4\% adults never smoked tobacco, $6.5 \%$ smoked formerly (4.4\%-daily and $2.1 \%$-non-daily) and $17 \%$ were current smokers ( $13.3 \%$-daily and $3.7 \%$-non-daily).
o Smokeless tobacco - 80.8\% never used smokeless tobacco, $1 \%$ used formerly ( $0.7 \%$-daily and $0.3 \%$-non-daily) and $18.3 \%$ were current users ( $15.3 \%$-daily and $3 \%$-non-daily).
o No significant change in former tobacco use (either smoked or smokeless) was observed between 2013 and 2019.
- Type of Tobacco products used
o Cigarettes and bidis were the most commonly used smoked tobacco product used by $86.7 \%$ and $23.8 \%$ of adults, respectively, were the most popular products across all the adults (15-69 years), who were current smokers.
o Smokeless tobacco products - 71.4\% of the current users of smokeless tobacco aged 15-69 years, used Surti or khaini. This was followed by $45.3 \%$ of the users consuming gutkha.


## - Age at initiation of tobacco use

o The average age at initiation of smoking tobacco in Nepal is 17.8 years (men-17.7 years, and women-18.4 years).
o While the overall age at initiation of tobacco smoking did not change much between 2013 and 2019 (18.1 years in 2013 to 17.8 in 2019), it seems to have increased among women (17.7 years in 2013 and 18.4 years in 2019), and decreased for men.

- Tobacco cessation and cessation methods
o Among the current users of tobacco (15-69 years) - 19.4\% of smokers and $17.9 \%$ of smokeless tobacco users have tried to stop smoking and smokeless tobacco use, respectively.
o $22.1 \%$ of current smokers of tobacco have received advice to quit smoking and $21 \%$ of current users of smokeless tobacco have received advice to stop using smokeless tobacco products
o Most of the tobacco users who tried to quit did so unassisted, only $1.2 \%$ and $3.9 \%$, respectively reported using NRT and traditional medicines.
o Between 2013 and 2019, the proportion of current smokers who attempted quitting declined from $26.1 \%$ to $19.4 \%$, while the proportion of current smokers who were advised to quit by health care providers remained unchanged at low levels of $22 \%$.
- Second hand smoke
o $33.5 \%$ of all adults, aged 15-69 years, were exposed to second hand smoke at home (SHSH) and $66.2 \%$ of them were exposed on a daily basis.
o Amongst the adults who visited different public places, $22.5 \%$ of adults were exposed to second hand smoke at work, $68.5 \%$ at restaurants, $49.8 \%$ in public transport, $7.5 \%$ in schools and universities and $1.6 \%$ at healthcare facilities.
o Between 2013 and 2019, while the second-hand exposure at home decreased from $36.1 \%$ to $33.5 \%$, and at work from $37.2 \%$ to $22.5 \%$.
- Graphic health warning on tobacco package
o $75.7 \%$ of adults noticed the health warnings on tobacco packages. Amongst the current users who noticed these health warnings, $44.8 \%$ thought of quitting because of the large health warnings.
- Exposure to tobacco advertising and promotion and anti-tobacco messages
o $11.3 \%$ of adults were exposed to tobacco advertising on television, $10.3 \%$ through on radio, $7.4 \%$ from newspapers and $4.5 \%$ on internet/websites.
o Of all the adults, $59 \%$ noticed anti-tobacco messages on television, $58.1 \%$ noticed on radio, $43.5 \%$ noticed in newspapers and magazines and $24.4 \%$ on internet/websites
- Economic aspects of tobacco use
o In Nepal, the average number of cigarettes smoked per month per smoker was 151 and monthly expenditure about Rs. 1049.3. The annual expenditure as a percentage of GDP per capita was $11 \%$.


## 4. Introduction

Tobacco use is a leading modifiable behavioural risk factor contributing to NCDs. Tobacco use kills more than 8 million people each year. More than 7 million of those deaths are the result of direct tobacco use while around 1.2 million are the result of non-smokers being exposed to second-hand smoke. ${ }^{1}$ In 2003, WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (WHO FCTC) was the first evidence based treaty developed for tobacco control and currently there are 180 signatories, including Nepal, to the convention. In 2007, MPOWER (Figure 4.1) policy package was developed and adopted by countries to end the tobacco epidemic and to enable implementation of WHO FCTC. As a signatory to FCTC, Nepal has also taken steps to monitor tobacco use and prevention policies, protect people from tobacco smoke, offer assistance in quitting, raise awareness about the dangers of tobacco and curtail the creation of new demand by enforcing bans on advertisements and by raising taxes on various tobacco products.

Strengthening the implementation of WHO FCTC is recognised as an important means to achieve SDG 3 - Good health and well-being. Furthermore, Nepal has also set a target of $30 \%$ relative reduction in prevalence of current tobacco use in persons aged $15+$ years by 2025 in its current multisectoral action plan (2014-2020) aligned with target set in WHO's Global Action plan for the prevention and control

Figure 4.1 MPOWER Policy Package
 of NCDs. ${ }^{23}$

[^12]Tobacco use and Tobacco policy are standardized modules in STEP survey. This chapter focuses on indicators related to tobacco use and tobacco policy implementation in Nepal. This data will help Nepal to analyse the trends across various stratification - gender, age, wealth quintile and geographic regions, which can then strengthen the various programs designed for the implementation of the tobacco control programs and policies.

## Current relevant policies and programs in Nepal for tobacco control

- Tobacco Product Control and Regulatory Bill (TPCRB) in 2011
- Multisectoral Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Non-Communicable Diseases (2014-2020)


### 4.1 Tobacco use

The tobacco-related questions recommended for the STEPS approach were based on the core tobacco module of STEPS Survey and were aligned with the Tobacco Questions for Surveys (TQS). The participants were men and women between the ages of 15-69 years, and the analysis has been presented for the said age group, unless otherwise stated.

### 4.1.1 Tobacco use, smoked tobacco, smokeless tobacco use

The prevalence of tobacco use has been estimated by asking all adults if they currently smoked any tobacco products (cigarettes, bidis, cigars, pipes, hukahs, or tamakhus) or used any smokeless tobacco products (snuff, chewing tobacco, nasal snuffs, khaini, surti, gutkha)

- In 2019, the prevalence of tobacco use (tobacco product of any kind) amongst all adults was $28.9 \%$;
- $17.1 \%$ of all adults reported current use of any smoked tobacco product and $18.3 \%$ reported current use of any smokeless tobacco product;
- $6.5 \%$ of participants used both smoke and smokeless tobacco products (Figure 4.2).

Figure 4.2 Percent of adults(15-69 years) that currently use any tobacco product, smoke tobacco, smokeless tobacco and use both smoked and smokeless tobacco, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


## Patterns by background characteristics

- The reported current tobacco use increased with age, lowest among 15-24 years of age (15.1\%) and increasing to $42.7 \%$ among 55-69 years of age. Similar patterns were seen with use of both smoked and smokeless tobacco.
- Prevalence of any tobacco use was significantly higher among men (48.3\%) than women (11.6\%). Similar differentials were observed for smoked and smokeless tobacco (Figure 4.3).
- Rural municipalities had a higher prevalence of any tobacco use, $31.7 \%$ as compared to metropolitan/sub metropolitan regions, $24.6 \%$.
- Province $1 \& 3$ had the lowest prevalence of any tobacco use, compared to the national average of $28.9 \%$. Province 5 had the highest prevalence of any tobacco use, 36.4\% (Figure 4.4).
- The reported tobacco use decreased with increase in education levels, with highest usage amongst people with no or less than primary education (34.3\%), decreasing to $21 \%$ for people with more than secondary education. Similar patterns were seen with both use of smoked and smokeless tobacco.
- The reported tobacco use decreased with an increase in wealth, the highest amongst those belonging to the lowest wealth quintile (33.4\%) and lowest amongst those in highest wealth quintile ( $25.3 \%$ ). Similar patterns were seen with both use of smoke and smokeless tobacco (Figure 4.5).

Figure 4.3 Prevalence of tobacco use amongst men and women aged 15-69 years, Nepal STEPS survey, 2019


## - Men ■ Women

Figure 4.4 Tobacco use amongst population aged 15-69 years, across the Provinces of Nepal, Nepal STEPS survey, 2019


Figure 4.5 Differentials in tobacco use amongst adults, aged 15-69 years, by levels of education (A) and by wealth (B), Nepal STEPS survey, 2019


B


Trends in tobacco use between $2013^{4}$ and 2019:

Tobacco use did not change much between 2013 and 2019 (Figure 4.5a) either for smoked or smokeless tobacco or among women or men.

Figure 4.5a Trends in tobacco use by sex between 2013 and 2019 Nepal STEPS Surveys, 2013 and 2019
Trends in Tobacco use between 2013 and 2019


### 4.2 Tobacco use status - current, former, and never

All adults, aged 15-69 years were asked if they were current users of smoked tobacco and of smokeless tobacco products, respectively. Those that answered in the affirmative were then further enquired if they smoked tobacco

[^13]or used smokeless tobacco products on a daily basis. The participants, who were not current users, were asked about their former tobacco use status (separately for smoked and smokeless products) and the frequency of use in the past (daily or non-daily).
$76.4 \%$ adults never smoked tobacco, $6.5 \%$ smoked formerly (4.4\%-daily and $2.1 \%$-non-daily) and $17 \%$ were current smokers ( $13.3 \%$-daily and $3.7 \%$-non-daily). A majority of the adults, ( $80.8 \%$ ) never used smokeless tobacco, $1 \%$ used formerly ( $0.7 \%$ daily and $0.3 \%$ non-daily) and $18.3 \%$ were current users of smokeless tobacco (15.3\% daily and 3\% non-daily) (Figure 4.6).

Figure 4.6 Tobacco use status - current, former and never, by smoke and smokeless tobacco product, Nepal STEPS survey, 2019


### 4.2.1 Tobacco smoking status - current, former, and never (Also see Figure 4.6)

## Patterns by background characteristics

- With an increase in age, the proportion of adults that currently smoked or were former smokers increased (Figure 4.7); the proportion of adults who never smoked decreased with increasing age, $87.7 \%$ of adults in the age group 15-24 years had never smoked tobacco, as compared to $53.4 \%$ in the older age group of 55-69 years (Table 4.2.1).
- $88.1 \%$ of women never smoked, compared to $63.3 \%$ of men; a higher proportion of adults living in metropolitan-sub-metropolitan areas never smoked as compared to rural municipality ( $83.7 \%$ versus $76.8 \%$ ) (Table 4.2.1).
- With increasing levels of education and wealth, there is a decline in the proportion of adults who currently smoked daily or formerly smoked (daily and non-daily), correspondingly the percentage of adults who never smoked increases with an increase in levels of education and wealth (Figure 4.8).

Figure 4.7 Differentials in prevalence of current and former smoking by age, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 4.8 Differentials in prevalence of current and former smoking, amongst adults age 15-69 years- by levels of education (A) and by wealth (B), Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019



### 4.2.2 Smokeless tobacco use status - current former and never (Also see Figure 4.6)

## Patterns by background characteristics

- With increasing age, the percentage of smokeless tobacco use increased, with lowest being, $6.2 \%$ among age group of 15-24 years and highest being $18.8 \%$ in the older age group of 55-69 years (Figure 4.9).
- $28.2 \%$ of men use smokeless tobacco daily, compared to only $3.8 \%$ of women.
- With an increase in levels of education, the proportion of adults who use smokeless tobacco declined $17 \%$ of adults with no or less than primary education used smokeless tobacco daily, whereas only $11.2 \%$ of adults with more than secondary education used it on a daily basis (Figure 4.10). There weren't any significant trends in use of smokeless tobacco or adults who never smoked with an increase in household wealth (Figure 4.10, Table 4.2.2).

Figure 4.9 Differentials in current and former use of smokeless tobacco by age, Nepal STEPS survey, 2019


Figure 4.10 Differentials in current and former use of smokeless tobacco, amongst adults age 15-69 years- by levels of education (A) and by wealth (B), Nepal STEPS survey, 2019



Trends in former use of smoked and smokeless tobacco products between $2013{ }^{4}$ and 2019

Similar to the current tobacco use, no significant change was observed between 2013 and 2019 in the prevalence of former users of either smoked or smokeless tobacco products (Figure 4.10a).

Figure 4.10a Change in former use of tobacco products between 2013 and 2019, Nepal STEPS Survey 2013 and 2019


### 4.3 Types of Tobacco products use

STEPS Survey collected the data on different types of tobacco products used (smoke and smokeless) on a daily or a weekly basis. The product mix was analysed both for all the participants and amongst the current tobacco users (Table 4.3.1 and 4.3.2).

### 4.3.1 Tobacco products smoked

Information was elicited on daily/weekly use of cigarettes (manufactured and hand rolled), pipes, cigars, bidis, and hukka. Cigarettes and bidis were the most commonly used smoked tobacco products reported by $86.7 \%$ and $23.8 \%$ of current tobacco smokers, respectively (Figure 4.11).

Figure 4.11 Use of different tobacco smoking products amongst current smokers, aged 15-69 years, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


## Patterns by background characteristics

- Among current tobacco users, cigarettes were the most commonly smoked tobacco product across all ages. Usage of hukkah was interestingly much higher in younger age group ( $12.6 \%$ among 15-24 year old) compared to in the older age groups ( $3.9 \%$ among 55-69 years old) (Figure 4.12).

Figure 4.12 Differentials in use on different smoking tobacco products, amongst current tobacco smokers, age 15-69 years, by age, Nepal STEPS survey, 2019


- While, cigarettes were the most popular smoking tobacco products used by both men ( $88 \%$ ) and women ( $82 \%$ ), $39 \%$ of women smokers used bidis compared to $19 \%$ of men smokers
- While, cigarettes were the most commonly used product across all wealth quintiles ( $>80 \%$ ) and levels of education, the use of bidis declined with increasing levels of education and wealth and use of pipes, and hukka increased (Figure 4.13)
- While, cigarettes were the most commonly used product across all wealth quintiles ( $>80 \%$ ) and levels of education, the use of bidis declined with increasing levels of education and wealth and use of pipes, and hukka increased (Figure 4.14).

Figure 4.13 Differentials in use of different smoking tobacco products among current tobacco smokers (15-69 years) by sex, Nepal STEPS survey, 2019


Note 3: The total across different products may not add to $100 \%$ due to dual use

Figure 4.14 Differentials in use of different smoking tobacco products, amongst current tobacco smokers, age 15-69 years, by levels of education (A) and wealth (B), Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Changes in use of different smoked tobacco products between $2013{ }^{4}$ and 2019

The 2013 STEPS survey had not specifically asked for use of bidis and hukka. Hence, changes were examined in use of only cigarettes and cigars/cigarellos/pipes. The use of cigarettes seems to have declined, especially among women, but the use of pipes/cigars/cigarellos seems to have increased (Figure 14.4a).

Figure 4.14 a Percent of adults (15-69 years) reporting use of different smoked tobacco products in $2013^{4}$ and 2019, Nepal STEPS Surveys 2013 and 2019


### 4.3.2 Smokeless Tobacco products

Information was elicited on use of Gutkha, Surti or khaini, betel leaves with tobacco, chewing tobacco and snuff by mouth or nose. $71 \%$ of the current users of smokeless tobacco aged $15-69$ years, reported use of Surti or khaini. This was followed by $45 \%$ of the users consuming gutkha (Figure 4.15).

Figure 4.15 Percent of adults (15-69 years) reporting use of different smoked tobacco products in 20134 and 2019, Nepal STEPS Surveys 2013 and 2019


## Patterns by background characteristics

- The use of surti or khaini increased with increasing age of smokeless tobacco users with lowest consumption in adults aged $15-24$ years, $(33.9 \%)$ and highest in adults aged 55-69 years (84.1\%)
- The use of gutkha and snuff by mouth or nose declined with an increase in age (Figure 4.16).
- $73 \%$ of men who used smokeless tobacco products, used surti or khaini compared to $64 \%$ of women. Consumption of all the other smokeless tobacco products, by women was less than $16 \%$ (Figure 4.17) ${ }^{5}$.
- Percent of current smokeless users that consumed surti, khaini or chewing tobacco declined with increase in levels of education, however, reverse trend was seen with use of gutkha and snuff by mouth or nose (Figure 4.18).

[^14]- With an increase in household wealth there is an increase in use of gutkha, a slight increase in use of betel leaves with tobacco, and a decline in use of chewing tobacco (Figure 4.18).

Figure 4.16 Differentials in use of different smokeless tobacco products, amongst current smokeless tobacco users by age, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 4.17 Percentage of men and women (15-69 years), who currently use different smokeless tobacco products, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 4.18 Differentials in use of different smokeless tobacco products, amongst current smokeless tobacco users, aged 15-69 years, by levels of education (A) and wealth (B), Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019

A



### 4.4 Age at initiation of tobacco use

Reducing initiation in adolescents is critical to reducing the prevalence of tobacco, since youngsters are particularly vulnerable to nicotine addiction and adverse effects of tobacco. ${ }^{6}$ In LMIC, about $90 \%$ of smokers begin to consume tobacco before the age of 18 years and because of the strongly addictive nature of tobacco use, smoking during adolescence tends to track into adulthood ${ }^{7}$.

In addition to long-term consequences of tobacco use in terms of increased risk of different non-communicable diseases, smoking at a young age also increases the risk of many diseases among adolescents including respiratory illness, asthma, and reduced pulmonary function. ${ }^{8}$ Article 16 of FCTC requires parties to prohibit the sales of tobacco products to or by persons under the age set by domestic law, national law or 18 years, as well as other measures limiting the access of underage persons to tobacco products.

In STEPS Survey, all adults, 15-69 years that reported currently smoking any tobacco product were asked about the age at which they started smoking. The average age at initiation of smoking tobacco in Nepal was 17.8 years ( 17.7 years for men and 18.4 years for women). The median age, or the age by which $50 \%$ of current smokers started smoking was 17 years, for both men and women.

## Patterns by background characteristics

- It is noteworthy that the age of initiation for population between 15-24 years (population growing up post the enforcement of TPCRB, 2011) was around 16 years (Figure 4.19).
- With increasing levels of education and wealth the mean and median age at initiation of smoking increased (Figure 4.20).


## Trends in age of initiation of smoking between $2013^{4}$ and 2019

While the mean and median age of initiation of smoking increased for women, it declined for men between 2013 and 2019 (Figure 4.20a).

[^15]Figure 4.19 Differential in median age at initiation of smoking, by age, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 4.20 Differential in median age at initiation of smoking, by levels of education (A) and wealth (B), Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 4.20a Change in mean and median age at initiation of smoking, between 2013 and 2019, Nepal STEPS survey 2013 and 2019


### 4.5 Tobacco cessation

Article 14 of FCTC concerns the provision of support for reducing tobacco dependence and cessation, including counselling, psychological support, nicotine replacement, and education programmes. To assist the population in quitting smoking, the most effective combination of interventions is face-to-face behavioural support together with combination nicotine replacement therapy (NRT). ${ }^{9}$ Nonetheless, a brief advice from a health-care worker, telephone helplines, automated text messaging, printed self-help materials are recommended health-care interventions to promote and assist smoking cessation. ${ }^{11}$ Among the current users of tobacco, the survey asked if they tried to stop smoking/use of smokeless tobacco products in the past 12 months, and if yes, what did they do to stop smoking or use of smokeless tobacco - tried to quit without assistance, counselling by any health worker, NRT, traditional medicines and a telephone support line etc.

Among the current users of tobacco - 19.4\% of smokers and $17.9 \%$ of smokeless tobacco users had tried to stop smoking. $22.1 \%$ and $21 \%$ of current smokers and current users of smokeless tobacco, respectively received advice to quit tobacco use (Figure 4.21).

In addition, most adults who attempted to quit, tried to quit without assistance ( $86.5 \%$ ). Only $14.2 \%$ of current smokers who attempted to quit reported using counselling by any health care providers, following by use of traditional medicines (3.9\%) and Nicotine replacement therapy (1.2\%) (Table 4.5.1).

Figure 4.21 Percentage of current tobacco users (15-69 years) who tried to quit tobacco use and who have been advised to quit by a health care provider (among those visited a provider in last 12 months), Nepal STEPS survey, 2019


## Patterns by background characteristics

- The percentage of current tobacco smokers, who tried to quit didn't vary significantly with age. However, with an increase in age, an increasing proportion of current tobacco smokers received advice to quit smoking, the highest being $32 \%$ of current tobacco smokers in the age group $55-69$ years compared to $12 \%$ of smokers in age group 15-24 years (Figure 4.22).
- With an increase in age, there was an increase in the proportion of current smokeless tobacco users who tried to quit and who received advice from health care providers (Figure 4.23).
- While most people, who attempted quitting, tried to do so without any assistance, counselling by any health care worker was the most used method for cessation with an increase in age, more tobacco users used counselling by any health worker to assist their quit attempts ( $13 \%$ of adults in 15-24 years age group versus $17 \%$ of adults in age group 55-69 years) (Figure 4.24).
- A much higher proportion of current tobacco users (19.6\%) in rural municipalities who tried to quit, reported using counselling by any healthcare workers compared to only $5.1 \%$ users in metropolitan/sub-metropolitan regions.

9 West R, Raw M, McNeill A, Stead L, Aveyard P, Bitton J, et al. Health-care interventions to promote and assist tobacco cessation: a review of efficacy, effectiveness and affordability for use in national guideline development. Addiction. 2015;110(9):1388-403.

- With an increase in the levels of education, a higher proportion of current tobacco users sought counselling by any health workers to help quit tobacco use (Figure 4.25). No significant differentials were found with an increase in household wealth.

Figure 4.22 Differentials in tobacco cessation (attempt to stop and advice received to quit), by age, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 4.23 Differentials in tobacco cessation (attempt to stop and advice received to quit), among current smokeless tobacco uesrs by age, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 4.24 Differentials in use of different methods of cessation by current tobacco users who have tried to quit tobacco use, by age, Nepal STEP Survey, 2019


Figure 4.25 Differentials in use of different methods of cessation adopted by current tobacco users who have tried to quit tobacco use, by levels of education, Nepal STEPS survey, 2019


## Changes in cessation efforts between $2013{ }^{4}$ and 2019

No information was elicited in cessation attempts and advice for smokeless tobacco in 2013 survey. In addition, the 2013 survey did not ask about method of quitting. For smoking, the percentage of current smokers who attempted to quit in the past 12 months declined slightly between 2013 and 2019. In addition, the percentage of current smokers who were advised to quit smoking during the visit to a health care provider remained unchanged at low-levels around 22\% (Figure 4.25a).

Figure 4.25a Percent of current smokers that attempted quitting smoking and advised to quit smoking by Health Worker in 2013 and 2019, Nepal STEPS survey, 20134 and 2019


### 4.6 Second hand smoke

Article 8 addresses the adoption and implementation of effective measures to provide protection from exposure to tobacco smoke in indoor workplaces, public transport, indoor public places and, as appropriate, other public places. In Nepal, by law, all public places are supposed to be completely smoke-free; at least $90 \%$ of the population should be covered by complete subnational smoke-free legislation. STEPS survey asked all participants if in the past 30 days, anyone smoked at home in their presence. The survey also asked the participants if they experienced second hand smoke in the past 30 days, at the indoor place of their work or at restaurants, health care facilities, schools/university or public transportation visited or used by them.
$33.5 \%$ of all adults were exposed to second hand smoke at home (SHSH) and $66.2 \%$ of these were exposed on a daily basis. $22.5 \%$ of all adults were exposed to second hand smoke at work, $68.5 \%$ at restaurants, $49.8 \%$ in public transport, $7.5 \%$ in schools and universities and $1.6 \%$ at healthcare facilities (Table 4.6.2).

## Patterns by background characteristics ${ }^{10}$

- A higher proportion of residents in rural municipality (36.5\%) were exposed to SHSH, compared to $17.6 \%$ of residents in metropolitan/sub-metropolitan regions. Similarly, Province 2 residents are less exposed to SHSH ( $21 \%$ ), compared to ( $53.3 \%$ ) of residents in Sudoorpaschim Province and (51\%) of residents in Karnali Province (Figure 4.26).
- With an increase in levels of education and wealth, there is a decline in proportion of adults exposed to SHS at home and at work place; however, this trend is reversed when considering restaurants, public transportation, and educational institutions (Figure 4.27 \& Figure 4.28).
- Restaurants and public transport were the biggest avenues for second hand smoke in public places.

Figure 4.26 Differentials in exposure to second hand smoke at home and outside, by residence and Province, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 4.27 Differentials in exposure to second hand smoke at home and outside, by levels of education, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


[^16]Figure 4.28 Differentials in trends on exposure to second hand smoke at home and outside, by wealth ${ }^{12}$, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


## Changes in second-hand exposure to tobacco smoke between $2013{ }^{4}$ and 2019

The 2013 survey only elicited exposure to SHSH and at indoor office place. In addition, the question asked to elicit this second-hand exposure is different in 2013 (enquired the exposure in the last 7 days) and 2019 (enquired the exposure in the last 30 days) and hence the results should be interpreted keeping in mind this change in question. There seems to be some evidence of decline in second hand exposure to tobacco smoke at home and work, though the decline seems to be much more for "indoor work places" than for home (Figure 4.28a).

Figure 4.28a Change in percentage of adults (15-69 years) reporting exposure to second hand smoke at home and work between 2013 and 2019, Nepal STEPS Surveys 2013 and 2019
$-2013 \square 2019$


### 4.7 Graphic health warning on tobacco package

Article 11 of FCTC requires each of the parties to prohibit misleading tobacco packaging and labelling; ensure that tobacco product packages carry large health warnings and messages describing the harmful effects of tobacco use; ensure that such warnings cover $50 \%$ or more, but not less than $30 \%$, of principal display areas and that they are in the Parties' principal language. In 2014, Nepal enacted the tobacco packaging and labelling
legislation which made it mandatory to cover 90 percent of the surface area (front and back) of packages of tobacco products (smoke and smokeless) with health warnings in text and graphic format.

STEPS survey asked all participants if they noticed health warnings on smoke and smokeless tobacco products in the past 30 days. Furthermore, for the current tobacco users, an additional question was asked about their intent to quit upon noticing these health warnings. $75.7 \%$ of all adults reported noticing the warnings on tobacco packages. Amongst the current users who noticed these health warnings, $44.8 \%$ thought about quitting because of the package warnings (Table 4.7).

## Patterns by background characteristics

- The proportion of adults that noticed warning on tobacco packages declined with increase in age. And the proportion of current tobacco users who thought of quitting because of the package warning decreased with age ( $55.8 \%$ of current users $15-24$ years of age compared to $38.6 \%$ among $55-64$ years old) (Figure 4.29).
- Current tobacco users in rural areas, who noticed package health warnings, thought of quitting much more often than in metropolitan/sub-metropolitan ( $55.3 \%$ versus $23 \%$ ).
- The proportion of adults that noticed the package health warning messages increased with an increase in levels of education. Similarly, the proportion of current tobacco users who thought of quitting because of the package health warning increased with education ( $58.3 \%$ of users with more than secondary education compared to $39.4 \%$ of users with no or less than primary education. (Figure 4.30).
- The proportion of adults that noticed warning on tobacco packages increased with an increase in household wealth. However, no significant trend was observed with change in wealth in the intention to quit tobacco use because of these warnings (Figure 4.30).

Figure 4.29 Differentials in propensity to notice warning on tobacco packages and thoughts for quitting because of warning, by age, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


[^17]Figure 4.30 Differentials in propensity to notice warning on tobacco packages and thoughts of quitting because of warning, by levels of education (A) and wealth (B), Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


### 4.8 Exposure to tobacco advertising and promotion Versus Exposure to anti-tobacco messages

Article 12 of FCTC focuses on provision of anti-tobacco messages - education, communication, training and public awareness, concerns raising public awareness of tobacco control issues through all available communication tools, such as media campaigns, educational programmes and training. Article 13 of FCTC requires parties to undertake a comprehensive ban of all tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship. STEPS collected information by asking all participants if in the past 30 days, they saw any promotions for tobacco products and also, if they noticed information about the dangers of using tobacco products.

Of all the participants, $59 \%$ noticed anti-tobacco messages on television, $58.1 \%$ noticed on radio, $43.5 \%$ noticed these in newspapers and magazines and $24.4 \%$ on internet/websites (Table 4.8.2).

On the other hand, $11.3 \%$ of adults were exposed to tobacco advertising on television, $10.3 \%$ on radio, $7.4 \%$ in newspapers and $4.5 \%$ on internet/websites (Table 4.8.1).

## Patterns by background characteristics

- The level of exposure to tobacco advertisement and promotions messages is significantly lower as compared to exposure to anti-tobacco messages across all background characteristics.
- With an increase in age the exposure to anti-tobacco messages decreases. The exposure to advertisement and tobacco promotions also declines with increasing age (Figure 4.31).
- With an increase in levels of education and wealth, exposure to tobacco advertisement and promotions and anti-tobacco messages increased through all forms of media, including internet/websites (Figure 4.32).

Figure 4.31 Differentials in exposure to tobacco advertisements or promotions and exposure to anti-tobacco messages through various forms of media, by age, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 4.32 Differentials exposure to tobacco advertisements or promotions and exposure to anti-tobacco messages through various forms of media, by levels of education (A) and wealth (B), Nepal STEPS Survey
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### 4.9 Economic aspects of tobacco use

Article 6 of FCTC encourages price and tax measures as effective means to reduce the demand for tobacco. These include tax increases that result in an increase of the sales price of tobacco products; and prohibiting or restricting sales of tax- and duty-free tobacco products. Raising taxes recommended as one of the most-costeffective intervention under FCTC (article 6) as well as for overall NCD prevention and control. An international benchmark was set at $75 \%$ of taxes as proportion of final retail price.

STEPS collected data on how many manufactured cigarettes the participants smoked weekly/daily, and about their last purchase - number of cigarettes and amount paid for them to calculate the total monthly expenditures incurred on cigarette smoking.

On an average, a cigarette smoker smoked 151 cigarettes per month and spent on average Rs. 1049 Nepali rupees per month. The average price of cigarettes was estimated to be about 151.5 Nepali rupees for twenty cigarettes. Taking into account the 2018 GDP per capita, the average expenditure per year on cigarettes amounted to $11 \%$ as a percentage of GDP per capita. In addition, the percentage of GDP required purchasing 100 packs of 20 cigarettes each was $13.6 \%$.

## Patterns by background characteristics

- The average number of cigarettes smoked per person per month and the monthly expenditure increased with increasing age (Figure 4.33).
- Average number of cigarettes smoked per person/month was much higher in metropolitan/sub-metropolitan region than in rural municipalities (206 versus 144). Similarly, the monthly expenditure in metropolitan/ sub-metropolitan region was Rs. 1953, compared to Rs. 862 in rural municipality (Figure 4.34).
- While the average number of cigarettes smoked per person/month decreased with increase in levels of education and wealth, no consistent trends were seen in monthly expenditure with education or household wealth (Figure 4.35).

Figure 4.33 Differentials in average number of cigarettes smoked per month, per person and annual expenditure on cigarettes, by age, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 4.34 Differentials in average number of cigarettes smoked per month, per person and annual expenditure on cigarettes, by residence, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 4.35 Differentials in average number of cigarettes smoked per person per month and annual expenditure on cigarettes, by levels of education (A) and wealth (B), Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019



### 4.10 Electronic cigarettes

Electronic cigarettes include any product that uses batteries or other methods to produce a vapour which contains nicotine. They have various other names such as e-cigarette, vape-pen, e-shisha, e-pipes. All participants were asked if they had heard of e-cigarette, and if they had, they were asked if they have ever used it or were using it at the time regularly. $11.4 \%$ of all adults (15-69 years) reported that they have heard of e-cigarette and $14.1 \%$ amongst them were using the product.

## Patterns by background characteristics

- Awareness and usage of e-cigarettes decline with age. While $16.9 \%$ of $15-24$ years old have heard about e- cigarettes, only $2.4 \%$ of 55-69 years have heard about them. Similar pattern was observed with use of e-cigarettes (Figure 4.36).
- Awareness and usage were much higher amongst men ( $18.8 \%, 16.9 \%$ ), compared to women $(4.7 \%, 3.8 \%)$. Awareness about cigarettes was much higher in metropolitan/sub-metropolitan region as compared to rural municipality.
- While there is an increase in the awareness about e-cigarettes with an increase in levels of education, there isn't a significant increase in its usage.
- With an increase in wealth, the awareness and usage of e-cigarettes increased as well $-26.3 \%$ of all participants belonging to the highest wealth quintiles had heard of e-cigarettes, and of them, $19 \%$ were using the product. $2.7 \%$ of the participants belonging to the lowest wealth quintile had ever heard about e-cigarettes and only $2.5 \%$ of them were using the product (Figure 4.37).

Figure 4.36 Differentials in awareness and usage of electronic cigarettes, by age group, Nepal STEPS survey, 2019


Figure 4.37 Differentials in awareness and usage of electronic cigarettes, by levels of education (A) and wealth (B), Nepal STEPS survey, 2019
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| Table 4.1 Tobacco use: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of people age 15-69 who currently use any tobacco product, any smoked, smokeless tobacco product by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Currently use any tobacco product | Currently smoke any tobacco product | Currently use any smokeless tobacco product | Currently use both smoking and smokeless tobacco product | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 15.1 | 10.4 | 8.4 | 3.7 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 28.7 | 16.3 | 19.5 | 7.1 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 38.1 | 21.1 | 25.4 | 8.3 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 42.7 | 27.0 | 23.2 | 7.5 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 48.3 | 28.0 | 33.3 | 13.0 | 1998 |
| Women | 11.6 | 7.5 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 3595 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/Submetropolitan | 24.6 | 12.5 | 15.9 | 3.8 | 705 |
| Municipality | 27.6 | 17.2 | 16.7 | 6.3 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 31.7 | 18.1 | 21.1 | 7.5 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 23.2 | 10.4 | 16.6 | 3.8 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 29.1 | 13.9 | 23.3 | 8.1 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 22.9 | 18.8 | 8.1 | 4.1 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 26.0 | 18.9 | 11.1 | 4.0 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 36.4 | 17.6 | 26.9 | 8.1 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 30.4 | 21.6 | 17.2 | 8.4 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 33.7 | 26.4 | 16.8 | 9.5 | 829 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 34.3 | 21.6 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 2792 |
| Primary | 29.5 | 16.0 | 21.3 | 7.8 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 24.6 | 15.4 | 16.4 | 7.2 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 21.0 | 9.8 | 13.6 | 2.4 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 33.4 | 23.2 | 17.3 | 7.1 | 1653 |
| Second | 28.2 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 6.1 | 1062 |
| Middle | 27.5 | 15.7 | 21.1 | 9.3 | 949 |
| Fourth | 30.0 | 15.8 | 18.9 | 4.7 | 878 |
| Highest | 25.3 | 13.8 | 16.8 | 5.3 | 1051 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 18.8 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 4.5 | 1466 |
| 30-44 | 32.4 | 17.6 | 22.7 | 7.9 | 2039 |
| 45-69 | 42.3 | 25.8 | 24.9 | 8.4 | 2088 |
| Total (15-39) | 23.1 | 13.9 | 15.0 | 5.7 | 2930 |
| Total (40-69) | 39.9 | 23.4 | 24.5 | 8.0 | 2663 |
| Total (15-69) | 28.9 | 17.1 | 18.3 | 6.5 | 5593 |

Table 4.2.1 Tobacco smoking status (current, former, never)

| Percentage of adults age 15-69 years who currently use any tobacco product daily or non-daily, percentage who formerly smoked tobacco daily or non-daily, and percentage never smoke among current smokers by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Among current smokers |  | Number of participants | Among former smokers |  | Number of participants |
| Background characteristics | Currently smoke tobacco |  | Formerly smoke tobacco |  | Never smoked tobacco | Total | Number of participants | Among | nt smokers |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily | Non-daily | Daily | Non-daily |  |  |  | Daily | Non-daily |  | Daily | Non-daily |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 87.7 | 100.0 | 843 | 61.9 | 38.1 | 68 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 43 |
| 25-39 | 11.8 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 2087 | 72.9 | 27.1 | 299 | 32.3 | 67.7 | 135 |
| 40-54 | 17.5 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 70.2 | 100.0 | 1574 | 83.6 | 16.4 | 355 | 59.2 | 40.8 | 208 |
| 55-69 | 24.5 | 2.1 | 17.0 | 3.1 | 53.4 | 100.0 | 1089 | 91.9 | 8.1 | 343 | 82.2 | 17.8 | 215 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 20.7 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 63.3 | 100.0 | 1998 | 74.2 | 25.8 | 415 | 47.5 | 52.5 | 360 |
| Women | 6.7 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 3595 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 650 | 69.8 | 30.2 | 241 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 9.6 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 83.7 | 100.0 | 705 | 76.8 | 23.2 | 93 | 39.5 | 60.5 | 76 |
| Municipality | 13.1 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 2755 | 76.2 | 23.8 | 515 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 315 |
| Rural Municipality | 14.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 76.8 | 100.0 | 2133 | 80.4 | 19.6 | 457 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 210 |
| Province |  |  |  |  | 16.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 804 | 67.9 | 32.1 | 101 | 58.8 | 41.2 | 76 |
| Province 2 | 12.6 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 82.3 | 100.0 | 803 | 90.1 | 9.9 | 115 | 47.6 | 52.4 | 44 |
| Province 3 | 15.7 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 75.7 | 100.0 | 759 | 84.9 | 15.1 | 139 | 71.7 | 28.3 | 73 |
| Gandaki Province | 16.1 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 74.1 | 100.0 | 793 | 85.4 | 14.6 | 140 | 42.0 | 58.0 | 78 |
| Province 5 | 12.9 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 76.1 | 100.0 | 797 | 73.0 | 27.0 | 134 | 48.4 | 51.6 | 82 |
| Karnali Province | 16.3 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 70.2 | 100.0 | 808 | 75.4 | 24.6 | 205 | 56.8 | 43.2 | 113 |


| Sudoorpashchim Province | 18.3 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 62.2 | 100.0 | 829 | 69.2 | 30.8 | 231 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 135 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 17.8 | 3.6 | 7.7 | 3.0 | 67.9 | 100.0 | 2792 | 83.0 | 17.0 | 689 | 63.5 | 36.5 | 372 |
| Primary | 13.7 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 77.8 | 100.0 | 1051 | 85.5 | 14.5 | 154 | 51.7 | 48.3 | 94 |
| Secondary | 10.4 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 82.4 | 100.0 | 1088 | 67.9 | 32.1 | 149 | 30.4 | 69.6 | 79 |
| More than secondary | 5.6 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 87.3 | 100.0 | 661 | 57.5 | 42.5 | 72 | 43.6 | 56.4 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5592 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 19.2 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 69.4 | 100.0 | 1653 | 82.8 | 17.2 | 435 | 57.9 | 42.1 | 194 |
| Second | 13.6 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 76.5 | 100.0 | 1062 | 81.0 | 19.0 | 211 | 49.0 | 51.0 | 110 |
| Middle | 11.8 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 76.2 | 100.0 | 949 | 75.4 | 24.6 | 162 | 58.4 | 41.6 | 105 |
| Fourth | 11.5 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 77.8 | 100.0 | 878 | 72.7 | 27.3 | 121 | 48.3 | 51.8 | 95 |
| Highest | 10.3 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 82.3 | 100.0 | 1051 | 74.5 | 25.5 | 136 | 52.4 | 47.6 | 97 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 7.0 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 86.0 | 100.0 | 1466 | 59.7 | 40.4 | 132 | 33.4 | 66.6 | 79 |
| 30-44 | 14.4 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 77.5 | 100.0 | 2039 | 81.8 | 18.2 | 339 | 36.2 | 63.8 | 158 |
| 45-69 | 22.8 | 2.7 | 12.6 | 2.9 | 58.9 | 100.0 | 1088 | 89.1 | 10.9 | 594 | 75.5 | 24.6 | 364 |
| Total (15-39) | 9.6 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 83.2 | 100.0 | 2930 | 69.5 | 30.5 | 367.0 | 32.7 | 67.3 | 178 |
| Total (40-69) | 20.3 | 2.9 | 10.4 | 2.9 | 63.6 | 100.0 | 2663 | 87.4 | 12.6 | 698.0 | 71.5 | 28.5 | 423 |
| Total (15-69) | 13.3 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 76.4 | 100.0 | 5593 | 77.9 | 22.1 | 1065 | 53.5 | 46.5 | 601 |


| Table 4.2.2 Smokeless Tobacco use (current, former, never) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of adults age 15-69 years who currently use any smokeless tobacco product daily or non-daily, percentage who formerly used smokeless tobacco products daily or non-daily, smokeless tobacco among all participants and among current users by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Among all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  | Among current users of smokeless tobacco |  | Number of participants | Among former users of smokeless tobacco |  | Number of participants |
|  |  | ly use tobacco ucts | Form smoke p | y used tobacco ucts | Never used smokeless tobacco products | Total | Number of participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Daily | Non-daily | Daily | Non-daily |  |  |  | Daily | Non-daily |  | Daily | Non-daily |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 6.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 91.4 | 100.0 | 843 | 73.6 | 26.4 | 56 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2 |
| 25-39 | 16.9 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 79.8 | 100.0 | 2087 | 86.4 | 13.6 | 297 | 69.3 | 30.7 | 12 |
| 40-54 | 21.8 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 73.2 | 100.0 | 1574 | 85.8 | 14.3 | 332 | 86.2 | 13.9 | 32 |
| 55-69 | 18.8 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 73.9 | 100.0 | 1089 | 81.0 | 19.0 | 228 | 68.4 | 31.6 | 35 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 28.2 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 65.1 | 100.0 | 1998 | 78.1 | 21.9 | 731 | 72.1 | 27.9 | 58 |
| Women | 3.8 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 94.7 | 100.0 | 3595 | 84.6 | 15.4 | 182 | 66.0 | 34.0 | 23 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 13.8 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 705 | 87.1 | 12.9 | 96 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 10 |
| Municipality | 13.6 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 82.4 | 100.0 | 2755 | 81.5 | 18.5 | 427 | 77.9 | 22.1 | 38 |
| Rural Municipality | 18.1 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 77.8 | 100.0 | 2133 | 85.6 | 14.4 | 390 | 57.5 | 42.5 | 33 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 14.0 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 82.7 | 100.0 | 804 | 84.2 | 15.8 | 134 | 85.8 | 14.2 | 11 |
| Province 2 | 19.9 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 75.4 | 100.0 | 803 | 85.5 | 14.5 | 187 | 58.7 | 41.3 | 11 |
| Province 3 | 7.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 759 | 86.5 | 13.5 | 60 | 75.4 | 24.6 | 13 |
| Gandaki Province | 9.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 88.3 | 100.0 | 793 | 89.6 | 10.4 | 102 | 85.9 | 14.1 | 8 |
| Province 5 | 21.7 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 72.5 | 100.0 | 797 | 80.7 | 19.3 | 188 | 97.7 | 2.3 | 9 |
| Karnali Province | 14.9 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 81.1 | 100.0 | 808 | 86.5 | 13.5 | 112 | 56.7 | 43.3 | 11 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 13.7 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 81.8 | 100.0 | 829 | 81.3 | 18.7 | 130 | 57.3 | 42.8 | 18 |


| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None/less than primary | 17.0 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 78.8 | 100.0 | 2792 | 86.6 | 13.4 | 460 | 71.9 | 28.2 | 53 |
| Primary | 18.0 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 77.6 | 100.0 | 1051 | 84.3 | 15.7 | 202 | 72.3 | 27.7 | 13 |
| Secondary | 12.9 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 83.4 | 100.0 | 1088 | 78.5 | 21.5 | 164 | 96.7 | 3.3 | 6 |
| More than secondary | 11.2 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 85.8 | 100.0 | 661 | 81.8 | 18.2 | 87 | 46.6 | 53.5 | 9 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 13.8 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 81.7 | 100.0 | 1653 | 79.8 | 20.2 | 253 | 70.7 | 29.3 | 23 |
| Second | 14.2 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 81.5 | 100.0 | 1062 | 82.6 | 17.4 | 186 | 72.8 | 27.2 | 17 |
| Middle | 18.9 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 78.3 | 100.0 | 949 | 89.4 | 10.6 | 164 | 78.0 | 22.0 | 10 |
| Fourth | 16.1 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 79.7 | 100.0 | 878 | 85.0 | 15.0 | 155 | 75.6 | 24.4 | 17 |
| Highest | 13.4 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 82.7 | 100.0 | 1051 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 155 | 44.0 | 56.0 | 14 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 8.8 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 88.2 | 100.0 | 1466 | 76.3 | 23.7 | 120 | 45.4 | 54.6 | 4 |
| 30-44 | 20.1 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 76.4 | 100.0 | 2039 | 88.6 | 11.5 | 339 | 74.1 | 25.9 | 18 |
| 45-69 | 21.1 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 72.8 | 100.0 | 1088 | 84.7 | 15.3 | 454 | 74.0 | 26.0 | 59 |
| Total (15-39) | 12.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 84.6 | 100.0 | 2930 | 83.4 | 16.6 | 353.0 | 59.0 | 41.0 | 14 |
| Total (40-69) | 20.6 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 73.5 | 100.0 | 2663 | 84.0 | 16.0 | 560.0 | 75.9 | 24.1 | 67 |
| Total (15-69) | 15.3 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 80.8 | 100.0 | 5593 | 83.7 | 16.3 | 913 | 70.9 | 29.1 | 81 |


| Table 4.3.1 Use of different tobacco smoking products: all participants and current smokers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of adults age 15-69 years who currently use different smoking tobacco products by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Among all participants |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Any } \\ \text { product } \end{gathered}$ | Number of participants | Among current smokers |  |  |  |  | Number of participants |
| Background characteristics | Cigarette (manufactured or hand-rolled) | Bidis | Pipes/cigars/ cigarellos | Hukka sessions | Others |  |  | Cigarette (manufactured or hand-rolled) | Bidis | Pipes/cigars/ cigarellos | Hukkah sessions | Other |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 8.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 843 | 79.4 | 11.6 | 9.3 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 68 |
| 25-39 | 14.7 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 15.4 | 2087 | 90.3 | 21.6 | 17.0 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 299 |
| 40-54 | 19.2 | 5.9 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 20.6 | 1574 | 91.0 | 27.9 | 17.3 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 355 |
| 55-69 | 21.8 | 8.7 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 26.2 | 1089 | 80.7 | 32.1 | 15.3 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 343 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 24.6 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 26.6 | 1998 | 88.0 | 19.3 | 14.2 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 650 |
| Women | 6.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 3595 | 82.2 | 38.7 | 19.5 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 415 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 11.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 12.1 | 705 | 88.0 | 23.0 | 22.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 93 |
| Municipality | 14.6 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 16.3 | 2755 | 85.0 | 26.9 | 14.9 | 8.9 | 5.0 | 515 |
| Rural Municipality | 16.1 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 17.3 | 2133 | 88.8 | 19.7 | 15.0 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 457 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 9.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 10.3 | 804 | 95.7 | 11.8 | 8.3 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 101 |
| Province 2 | 12.2 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 13.7 | 803 | 87.7 | 41.3 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 5.8 | 115 |
| Province 3 | 17.1 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 17.6 | 759 | 90.6 | 10.9 | 18.1 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 139 |
| Gandaki Province | 17.2 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 18.1 | 793 | 90.8 | 9.7 | 24.2 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 140 |
| Province 5 | 14.7 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 16.2 | 797 | 83.6 | 13.2 | 15.1 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 134 |
| Karnali Province | 20.3 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 20.9 | 808 | 94.2 | 13.7 | 15.0 | 8.1 | 3.5 | 205 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 19.8 | 13.7 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 25.2 | 829 | 74.9 | 51.8 | 17.4 | 9.5 | 5.6 | 231 |


| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None/less than primary | 18.5 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 20.8 | 2792 | 85.4 | 31.9 | 17.1 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 689 |
| Primary | 14.9 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 15.8 | 1051 | 93.2 | 16.8 | 10.2 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 154 |
| Secondary | 13.3 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 14.5 | 1088 | 86.2 | 18.6 | 12.8 | 8.5 | 2.6 | 149 |
| More than secondary | 7.9 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 8.4 | 661 | 80.9 | 5.5 | 23.7 | 16.7 | 7.9 | 72 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 20.1 | 7.6 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 22.5 | 1653 | 86.4 | 32.9 | 18.0 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 435 |
| Second | 15.1 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 16.6 | 1062 | 88.0 | 26.4 | 18.7 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 211 |
| Middle | 13.3 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 14.8 | 949 | 84.9 | 26.4 | 10.9 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 162 |
| Fourth | 12.9 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 14.6 | 878 | 81.8 | 18.9 | 15.4 | 11.9 | 0.4 | 121 |
| Highest | 12.8 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 13.0 | 1051 | 93.1 | 7.8 | 12.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 136 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 9.7 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 10.6 | 1466 | 82.9 | 11.6 | 13.3 | 8.7 | 1.9 | 132 |
| 30-44 | 16.2 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 17.1 | 2039 | 92.2 | 29.1 | 17.8 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 339 |
| 45-69 | 22.1 | 7.6 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 25.3 | 1088 | 85.4 | 29.3 | 15.4 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 594 |
| Total (15-39) | 12.1 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 12.9 | 2930 | 87.0 | 18.5 | 14.6 | 8.4 | 3.4 | 367 |
| Total (40-69) | 20.2 | 7.0 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 22.8 | 2663 | 86.3 | 29.8 | 16.4 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 698 |
| Total (15-69) | 14.8 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 16.3 | 5593 | 86.7 | 23.8 | 15.5 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 1065 |
| Note: Use of different smoking tobacco products with denominator of all participants; the total across different products may not add to $100 \%$ due to dual use; don't' know and missing obser analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4.3.2 Use of different smokeless tobacco products: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of adults age 15-69 years who currently use different smokeless tobacco products, among all participants and among current users by background characteristics, Noncommu STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Among all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  | Among current smokers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Snuff by mouth or nose | Chewing tobacco | Betel leves with tobacco | Gutkha | Surti or khaini | Any product | Number of participants | Snuff by  <br> mouth or Chewing <br> nose tobacco |  | Betel leves with tobacco | Gutkha | Surti or khaini | Other | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 6.9 | 2.9 | 8.4 | 843 | 20.1 | 20.8 | 21.4 | 81.4 | 33.9 | 8.0 | 56 |
| 25-39 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 10.8 | 13.6 | 19.3 | 2087 | 14.1 | 21.2 | 27.3 | 55.1 | 69.4 | 3.4 | 297 |
| 40-54 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 21.1 | 25.0 | 1574 | 13.3 | 21.0 | 22.7 | 27.1 | 83.0 | 2.2 | 332 |
| 55-69 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 19.5 | 22.8 | 1089 | 9.2 | 20.7 | 17.5 | 26.4 | 84.1 | 6.5 | 228 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 4.9 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 16.9 | 24.2 | 32.9 | 1998 | 14.8 | 21.9 | 24.8 | 50.7 | 72.7 | 4.8 | 713 |
| Women | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 3595 | 7.4 | 15.1 | 15.8 | 13.3 | 63.8 | 0.3 | 182 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 2.3 | 2.0 | 9.9 | 3.6 | 8.7 | 15.8 | 705 | 14.6 | 12.5 | 62.4 | 22.9 | 55.0 | 0.0 | 96 |
| Municipality | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 7.7 | 11.7 | 16.4 | 2755 | 16.1 | 20.8 | 23.5 | 46.2 | 70.3 | 4.7 | 427 |
| Rural Municipality | 2.3 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 10.2 | 16.0 | 21.0 | 2133 | 11.0 | 22.7 | 16.6 | 48.4 | 75.7 | 4.3 | 390 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 14.0 | 16.2 | 804 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 12.3 | 21.9 | 84.5 | 2.7 | 134 |
| Province 2 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 8.8 | 13.7 | 18.7 | 23.2 | 803 | 20.8 | 24.8 | 37.7 | 58.8 | 80.1 | 5.3 | 187 |
| Province 3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 759 | 11.8 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 37.0 | 71.9 | 0.0 | 60 |
| Gandaki Province | 2.0 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 8.7 | 10.8 | 793 | 18.0 | 31.6 | 15.4 | 51.2 | 78.5 | 9.4 | 102 |
| Province 5 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 7.7 | 10.9 | 15.4 | 26.9 | 797 | 12.2 | 19.0 | 28.5 | 40.4 | 57.3 | 4.2 | 188 |
| Karnali Province | 2.7 | 7.2 | 2.8 | 10.4 | 11.7 | 17.0 | 808 | 15.7 | 42.1 | 16.2 | 60.4 | 68.2 | 2.7 | 112 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 3.1 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 10.0 | 11.6 | 16.8 | 829 | 18.3 | 34.4 | 13.0 | 59.8 | 69.3 | 4.7 | 130 |


| None/less than primary | 2.7 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 16.0 | 19.5 | 2792 | 13.9 | 24.9 | 22.3 | 31.6 | 81.1 | 4.7 | 460 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 2.2 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 10.4 | 14.5 | 21.1 | 1051 | 10.3 | 22.8 | 19.2 | 48.7 | 67.9 | 5.6 | 202 |
| Secondary | 2.4 | 2.1 | 4.9 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 16.1 | 1088 | 14.6 | 12.5 | 29.7 | 58.1 | 60.2 | 2.5 | 164 |
| More than secondary | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 13.2 | 661 | 18.6 | 18.9 | 25.4 | 64.8 | 64.4 | 2.0 | 87 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 2.9 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 7.2 | 11.9 | 16.9 | 1653 | 16.8 | 31.3 | 19.6 | 41.8 | 68.9 | 3.7 | 253 |
| Second | 2.7 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 7.2 | 13.7 | 16.8 | 1062 | 16.0 | 23.2 | 20.7 | 42.1 | 79.4 | 6.1 | 186 |
| Middle | 1.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 9.3 | 15.1 | 21.1 | 949 | 7.2 | 16.7 | 17.0 | 44.2 | 71.4 | 4.5 | 164 |
| Fourth | 2.7 | 3.3 | 6.1 | 9.5 | 13.6 | 18.8 | 878 | 14.2 | 17.4 | 32.2 | 50.1 | 71.9 | 4.5 | 155 |
| Highest | 2.7 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 8.1 | 11.0 | 16.5 | 1051 | 16.3 | 17.6 | 29.1 | 48.5 | 65.4 | 1.8 | 155 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 11.4 | 1466 | 17.7 | 23.1 | 18.9 | 70.6 | 48.2 | 6.9 | 120 |
| 30-44 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 10.1 | 17.4 | 22.5 | 2039 | 13.3 | 21.1 | 32.7 | 44.6 | 76.4 | 1.4 | 339 |
| 45-69 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 21.1 | 24.5 | 1088 | 11.1 | 19.1 | 18.1 | 26.1 | 84.9 | 4.7 | 454 |
| Total (15-39) | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 14.8 | 2930 | 15.5 | 21.1 | 26.0 | 61.2 | 61.2 | 4.4 | 353 |
| Total (40-69) | 2.9 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 20.5 | 24.1 | 2663 | 11.7 | 20.9 | 20.7 | 26.8 | 83.4 | 3.8 | 560 |

Note: Use of different smokeless tobacco products with denominator of all participants; the total across different products may not add to $100 \%$ due to dual use; don't' know and missing observations are excluded Note: Use of different smokeless tobacco products with denominator of all participants; the total across different products may not add to $100 \%$ due to dual use; don't' know and missing observations are excluded
from analysis

| Table 4.4 Age at initiation of smoking: all participants |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean and median age at initiation of smoking among adults age 15-69 years who currently use any smoked tobacco products by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Mean age at initiation of smoking | Median age at initiation of smoking | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 16.0 | 16 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 18.3 | 18 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 18.6 | 19 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 17.5 | 18 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Men | 17.7 | 17 | 1998 |
| Women | 18.4 | 17 | 3595 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 18.2 | 19 | 705 |
| Municipality | 17.8 | 17 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 17.9 | 17 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 17.9 | 18 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 17.9 | 18 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 18.1 | 18 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 17.5 | 16 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 18.4 | 18 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 17.6 | 17 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 17.0 | 16 | 829 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 17.7 | 16 | 2792 |
| Primary | 17.2 | 16 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 18.6 | 19 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 18.0 | 18 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 17.3 | 16 | 1653 |
| Second | 18.4 | 18 | 1062 |
| Middle | 17.2 | 16 | 949 |
| Fourth | 18.1 | 18 | 878 |
| Highest | 18.5 | 19 | 1051 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 17.4 | 17 | 1466 |
| 30-44 | 18.1 | 17 | 2039 |
| 45-69 | 18.0 | 17 | 1088 |
| Total (15-39) | 17.6 | 17 | 2930 |
| Total (40-69) | 18.1 | 17 | 2663 |
| Total (15-69) | 17.8 | 17 | 5593 |
| - |  | - |  |
| Note: excluded observations with age at smoking less than 7 years of age and more than or equal to 70; age at started smoking if don't know in T3, we have replaced responses from T4; exclude observations who are don't know for $\mathrm{t} 3(=77)$ and also either missing or don't know for $44 /$ t4type; exclude observations where age at initiation of smoking is more than the current age |  |  |  |


| Table 4.5 Tobacco cessation attempts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of current smokers and current smokeless tobacco users age 15-69 years who tried to stop smoking and use of smokeless tobacco products, respectively by background chara Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Tried to stop smoking | Number of participants | Tried to stop using smokeless tobacco | Number of participants | Advised to quit smoking | Number of participants | Advised to quit smokeless tobacco | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 18.1 | 68 | 13.7 | 56 | 12.3 | 35 | 18.3 | 33 |
| 25-39 | 19.7 | 299 | 17.1 | 297 | 17.3 | 150 | 17.5 | 197 |
| 40-54 | 19.7 | 355 | 20.9 | 332 | 25.6 | 228 | 22.3 | 228 |
| 55-69 | 19.4 | 343 | 17.9 | 228 | 32.2 | 229 | 28.6 | 172 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 19.3 | 650 | 19.3 | 731 | 21.6 | 424 | 19.5 | 508 |
| Women | 19.4 | 415 | 9.7 | 182 | 23.7 | 261 | 29.6 | 122 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 18.1 | 93 | 12.5 | 96 | 34.3 | 58 | 19.8 | 69 |
| Municipality | 20.0 | 515 | 22.5 | 427 | 20.1 | 324 | 17.8 | 300 |
| Rural Municipality | 18.7 | 457 | 13.7 | 390 | 22.7 | 303 | 25.1 | 261 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 14.5 | 101 | 16.6 | 134 | 13.8 | 68 | 14.5 | 88 |
| Province 2 | 13.5 | 115 | 13.5 | 187 | 26.6 | 87 | 29.4 | 157 |
| Province 3 | 19.6 | 139 | 13.4 | 60 | 20.9 | 98 | 12.0 | 44 |
| Gandaki Province | 19.8 | 140 | 26.4 | 102 | 27.4 | 89 | 30.7 | 74 |
| Province 5 | 15.8 | 134 | 17.4 | 188 | 15.2 | 79 | 17.3 | 121 |
| Karnali Province | 35.8 | 205 | 33.4 | 112 | 20.3 | 125 | 21.4 | 70 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 24.7 | 231 | 23.2 | 130 | 30.4 | 139 | 18.2 | 76 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 19.0 | 689 | 16.4 | 460 | 20.2 | 436 | 20.5 | 310 |
| Primary | 17.3 | 154 | 21.6 | 202 | 18.5 | 103 | 18.5 | 133 |



| Table 4.5.1 Methods used for Tobacco cessation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of current smokers and current smokeless tobacco users age 15-69 years who tried to stop smoking and use of smokeless tobacco products, respectively and used different cessation methods by background characteristics, NCD STEPS survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Counselling by any health care workers | Nicotine replacement therapy | Traditional medicine | Try to quit without assistance | Number of participants |
| users |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 12.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 74.2 | 21 |
| 25-39 | 11.1 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 91.6 | 117 |
| 40-54 | 17.0 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 86.5 | 152 |
| 55-69 | 17.3 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 84.1 | 100 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 14.1 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 87.8 | 274 |
| Women | 15.0 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 80.4 | 116 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 90.7 | 44 |
| Municipality | 11.8 | 0.4 | 6.2 | 85.8 | 186 |
| Rural Municipality | 19.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 86.8 | 160 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 6.6 | 2.6 | 12.6 | 94.0 | 37 |
| Province 2 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 78.8 | 32 |
| Province 3 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 84.1 | 42 |
| Gandaki Province | 34.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 78.7 | 50 |
| Province 5 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.8 | 50 |
| Karnali Province | 24.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 75.4 | 96 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 7.9 | 4.1 | 9.5 | 84.0 | 83 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 15.6 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 87.7 | 219 |
| Primary | 10.1 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 89.4 | 65 |
| Secondary | 7.8 | 1.1 | 5.7 | 87.3 | 69 |
| More than secondary | 29.8 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 73.8 | 37 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 14.5 | 2.7 | 7.3 | 85.4 | 140 |
| Second | 10.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 83.7 | 71 |
| Middle | 16.3 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 91.5 | 63 |
| Fourth | 16.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.7 | 58 |
| Highest | 12.8 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 88.3 | 58 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 15.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 82.5 | 44 |
| 30-44 | 11.2 | 0.3 | 5.9 | 92.2 | 147 |
| 45-69 | 16.2 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 83.3 | 199 |
| Total (15-39) | 11.5 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 87.3 | 138 |
| Total (40-69) | 17.1 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 85.6 | 252 |
| Total (15-69) | 14.2 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 86.5 | 390 |

$\left.\begin{array}{llllllll}\hline \text { Table 4.5.2 Tobacco cessation attempts } & & & & & \\ \hline \text { Percentage of current smokers and current smokeless tobacco users age } 15-69 \text { years who tried to stop smoking and use of smokeless } \\ \text { tobacco products, respectively by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 }\end{array}\right]$

Note: no visit to the health care provider during the past 12 months is treated as missing;

| Table 4.6.1 Exposure to second hand smoke at home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of adults age 15-69 years who were exposed to secondhand smoke at home in the past 30 days and frequency of exposure by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Someone smoked in home in their presence |  | Frequency of exposure to second hand smoke at home among those exposed |  |  |  |  | Number of participants |
| Background characteristics |  | Number of participants | Daily | Weekly | Monthly | Less than monthly | less than monthly |  |
| users |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 33.8 | 843 | 70.5 | 19.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 311 |
| 25-39 | 34.4 | 2087 | 63.7 | 24.6 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 696 |
| 40-54 | 30.5 | 1574 | 65.8 | 21.0 | 4.3 | 7.3 | 1.5 | 511 |
| 55-69 | 34.9 | 1089 | 65.7 | 20.7 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 388 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 35.8 | 1998 | 61.8 | 25.5 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 747 |
| Women | 31.5 | 3595 | 70.7 | 18.7 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 1159 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 17.6 | 705 | 58.3 | 30.3 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 157 |
| Municipality | 34.0 | 2755 | 66.3 | 18.4 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 901 |
| Rural Municipality | 36.5 | 2133 | 67.1 | 26.1 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 848 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 25.8 | 804 | 65.3 | 21.0 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 233 |
| Province 2 | 20.6 | 803 | 43.7 | 49.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 154 |
| Province 3 | 28.1 | 759 | 80.1 | 9.7 | 2.3 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 206 |
| Gandaki Province | 37.8 | 793 | 67.8 | 22.2 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 261 |
| Province 5 | 38.8 | 797 | 70.0 | 20.1 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 278 |
| Karnali Province | 51.4 | 808 | 63.1 | 21.0 | 3.8 | 9.9 | 2.1 | 364 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 53.3 | 829 | 67.1 | 17.4 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 7.1 | 410 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 34.1 | 2792 | 70.2 | 17.3 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 998 |
| Primary | 34.0 | 1051 | 71.7 | 18.0 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 344 |
| Secondary | 34.7 | 1088 | 62.5 | 25.8 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 372 |
| More than secondary | 29.1 | 661 | 53.0 | 35.7 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 191 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 39.9 | 1653 | 70.7 | 20.0 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 656 |
| Second | 35.9 | 1062 | 67.7 | 21.1 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 414 |
| Middle | 36.5 | 949 | 67.2 | 16.1 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 351 |
| Fourth | 29.3 | 878 | 59.4 | 29.6 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 245 |
| Highest | 25.8 | 1051 | 63.8 | 26.8 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 240 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 33.5 | 1466 | 67.5 | 22.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 513 |
| 30-44 | 33.9 | 2039 | 63.7 | 23.7 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 678 |
| 45-69 | 32.9 | 1088 | 66.8 | 20.2 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 715 |
| Total (15-39) | 34.1 | 2930 | 66.5 | 22.7 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 1007 |
| Total (40-69) | 32.2 | 2663 | 65.7 | 20.9 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 899 |
| Total (15-69) | 33.5 | 5593 | 66.2 | 22.1 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 1906 |
| Note: don't know treated as missing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4.6.2 Exposure to second hand smoke outside home: All participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of adult age 15-69 years who visited different public places and were exposed to secondhand smoke in the past 30 days by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal,-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | At work place users | Restaurants/ bars/canteen/hotel | Public transport | school/ college/ university/ hostel | Health care facilities | Any of the public place | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 21.8 | 71.3 | 49.4 | 12.1 | 1.9 | 73.7 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 22.7 | 70.9 | 54.0 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 74.8 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 22.7 | 66.9 | 48.7 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 69.6 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 23.4 | 58.0 | 39.9 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 62.7 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 23.9 | 76.8 | 56.9 | 9.9 | 2.4 | 79.3 | 1998 |
| Women | 21.4 | 61.1 | 43.5 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 65.1 | 3595 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 13.0 | 64.5 | 47.5 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 66.6 | 705 |
| Municipality | 22.3 | 72.1 | 50.5 | 7.8 | 1.8 | 75.8 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 25.3 | 64.1 | 49.3 | 7.2 | 1.3 | 67.3 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 19.2 | 59.7 | 43.0 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 62.3 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 15.3 | 73.6 | 65.7 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 78.8 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 18.1 | 77.5 | 50.8 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 80.5 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 19.5 | 73.7 | 49.5 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 75.3 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 25.0 | 70.8 | 52.4 | 12.4 | 1.8 | 72.6 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 32.8 | 65.2 | 39.1 | 8.8 | 2.6 | 69.4 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 38.4 | 55.1 | 33.2 | 8.3 | 2.5 | 60.4 | 829 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 25.2 | 57.6 | 40.9 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 61.6 | 2792 |
| Primary | 25.6 | 67.9 | 52.3 | 7.2 | 1.1 | 72.1 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 20.0 | 78.9 | 53.2 | 10.4 | 2.0 | 80.3 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 16.1 | 80.4 | 63.9 | 11.9 | 3.4 | 83.9 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 28.8 | 55.1 | 34.9 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 58.1 | 1653 |
| Second | 27.1 | 64.4 | 50.7 | 7.3 | 1.4 | 69.2 | 1062 |
| Middle | 22.7 | 71.1 | 50.6 | 7.7 | 1.0 | 74.0 | 949 |
| Fourth | 19.3 | 74.7 | 57.5 | 6.3 | 1.9 | 76.9 | 878 |
| Highest | 14.9 | 77.0 | 55.1 | 11.8 | 3.0 | 80.8 | 1051 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 21.8 | 71.2 | 50.8 | 10.7 | 1.6 | 74.5 | 1466 |
| 30-44 | 22.8 | 69.2 | 52.0 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 72.3 | 2039 |
| 45-69 | 23.6 | 62.9 | 45.5 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 66.7 | 2088 |
| Total (15-39) | 22.3 | 71.1 | 52.1 | 9.4 | 1.7 | 66.9 | 2930 |
| Total (40-69) | 23.0 | 63.4 | 45.3 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 74.4 | 2663 |
| Total (15-69) | 22.5 | 68.5 | 49.8 | 7.5 | 1.6 | 71.8 | 5593 |
| Note: don't' know are treated same as no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percentage of adults age $15-69$ years who were exposed to secondhand smoke at work place and other public places in the past 30 days by background characteristics, Noncommunicable STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristics | At work place users | Number of participants | Restaurants/ bars/canteen/ hotel | Number of participants | Public transport | Number of participants | school/college/ university/ hostel | Number of participants | Health care facilities | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 21.8 | 776 | 79.3 | 730 | 56.0 | 724 | 14.8 | 684 | 2.9 | 595 |
| 25-39 | 22.7 | 1906 | 81.2 | 1775 | 60.2 | 1796 | 10.7 | 1471 | 2.3 | 1446 |
| 40-54 | 22.7 | 1408 | 77.8 | 1300 | 56.6 | 1306 | 6.6 | 1047 | 2.5 | 1050 |
| 55-69 | 23.4 | 983 | 77.8 | 604 | 51.3 | 847 | 6.1 | 649 | 1.6 | 676 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 23.9 | 1783 | 84.3 | 1784 | 62.9 | 1777 | 13.3 | 1473 | 3.5 | 1421 |
| Women | 21.4 | 3290 | 74.9 | 2835 | 51.8 | 2896 | 8.1 | 2378 | 1.4 | 2346 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\tan$ <br> Metropolitan/submetropoli- | 13.0 | 649 | 73.4 | 639 | 53.4 | 641 | 9.4 | 540 | 2.2 | 526 |
| Municipality | 22.3 | 2536 | 81.2 | 2311 | 55.8 | 2342 | 10.7 | 1883 | 2.7 | 1828 |
| Rural Municipality | 25.3 | 1888 | 78.6 | 1669 | 60.6 | 1690 | 11.1 | 1428 | 2.1 | 1413 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 19.2 | 720 | 71.1 | 669 | 53.9 | 641 | 5.4 | 538 | 1.4 | 523 |
| Province 2 | 15.3 | 749 | 83.7 | 685 | 69.9 | 746 | 9.4 | 559 | 2.6 | 535 |
| Province 3 | 18.1 | 679 | 84.8 | 687 | 56.2 | 674 | 10.5 | 558 | 1.6 | 531 |
| Gandaki Province | 19.5 | 722 | 83.3 | 689 | 56.8 | 682 | 6.4 | 572 | 2.2 | 556 |
| Province 5 | 25.0 | 713 | 84.0 | 633 | 60.4 | 668 | 17.1 | 527 | 2.7 | 514 |
| Karnali Province | 32.8 | 736 | 77.8 | 626 | 47.5 | 604 | 11.9 | 544 | 3.6 | 562 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 38.4 | 754 | 67.7 | 630 | 39.5 | 658 | 11.5 | 553 | 3.7 | 546 |


| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None/less than primary | 25.2 | 2499 | 74.1 | 2112 | 51.5 | 2168 | 7.0 | 1663 | 1.6 | 1679 |
| Primary | 25.6 | 958 | 78.2 | 892 | 59.6 | 892 | 10.0 | 768 | 1.8 | 729 |
| Secondary | 20.0 | 993 | 85.0 | 996 | 57.4 | 994 | 13.2 | 864 | 2.6 | 825 |
| More than secondary | 16.1 | 622 | 84.2 | 619 | 66.4 | 619 | 14.5 | 556 | 4.3 | 534 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 28.8 | 1459 | 73.5 | 1197 | 46.3 | 1207 | 7.0 | 1045 | 1.2 | 1028 |
| Second | 27.1 | 969 | 79.5 | 836 | 62.1 | 854 | 11.4 | 680 | 2.6 | 653 |
| Middle | 22.7 | 876 | 81.2 | 815 | 56.7 | 816 | 11.1 | 666 | 1.6 | 635 |
| Fourth | 19.3 | 823 | 82.4 | 773 | 62.3 | 796 | 8.7 | 617 | 2.6 | 629 |
| Highest | 14.9 | 946 | 80.2 | 998 | 57.4 | 1000 | 14.4 | 843 | 3.9 | 822 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 21.8 | 1347 | 80.0 | 1263 | 56.7 | 1272 | 13.8 | 1133 | 2.4 | 1044 |
| 30-44 | 22.8 | 1864 | 79.8 | 1714 | 59.6 | 1719 | 8.6 | 1408 | 3.4 | 1361 |
| 45-69 | 23.6 | 1862 | 78.5 | 1642 | 55.5 | 1682 | 6.4 | 1310 | 1.5 | 1362 |
| Total (15-39) | 22.3 | 2682 | 80.4 | 2505 | 58.5 | 2520 | 12.5 | 2155 | 2.6 | 2041 |
| Total (40-69) | 23.0 | 2391 | 77.8 | 2114 | 54.7 | 2153 | 6.4 | 1696 | 2.2 | 1726 |
| Total (15-69) | 22.5 | 5073 | 79.6 | 4619 | 57.2 | 4673 | 10.7 | 3851 | 2.4 | 3767 |


| Percentage of current tobacco users age 15-69 years who noticed any health warnings on cigarette/ bidis/ smokeless tobacco product packages in the past 30 days by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Among current tobacco users who noticed graphic health warning |  |
| Background characteristics | Noticed warning on tobacco package | Number of participants | Thought about quitting because of package warnings | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 73.5 | 99 | 55.8 | 69 |
| 25-39 | 80.0 | 472 | 43.2 | 340 |
| 40-54 | 76.1 | 557 | 45.6 | 402 |
| 55-69 | 68.1 | 477 | 38.6 | 304 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 77.2 | 1067 | 45.5 | 769 |
| Women | 69.8 | 538 | 41.8 | 346 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 77.6 | 160 | 23.0 | 119 |
| Municipality | 73.0 | 767 | 39.1 | 537 |
| Rural Municipality | 78.7 | 678 | 55.3 | 459 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 84.3 | 197 | 42.5 | 148 |
| Province 2 | 74.2 | 237 | 52.2 | 158 |
| Province 3 | 71.2 | 172 | 48.5 | 128 |
| Gandaki Province | 75.4 | 201 | 56.2 | 150 |
| Province 5 | 82.6 | 261 | 34.6 | 201 |
| Karnali Province | 59.6 | 250 | 54.9 | 150 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 67.1 | 287 | 45.0 | 180 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 66.4 | 942 | 39.4 | 585 |
| Primary | 85.1 | 284 | 47.8 | 234 |
| Secondary | 82.3 | 245 | 43.9 | 199 |
| More than secondary | 84.7 | 133 | 58.3 | 97 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 65.9 | 562 | 39.4 | 364 |
| Second | 71.7 | 315 | 51.3 | 207 |
| Middle | 81.1 | 257 | 43.0 | 187 |
| Fourth | 80.0 | 234 | 51.7 | 175 |
| Highest | 81.9 | 237 | 38.0 | 182 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 78.0 | 199 | 46.8 | 141 |
| 30-44 | 77.5 | 542 | 46.2 | 389 |
| 45-69 | 72.3 | 864 | 41.8 | 585 |
| Total (15-39) | 78.3 | 571 | 46.4 | 409 |
| Total (40-69) | 72.8 | 1034 | 42.9 | 706 |
| Total (15-69) | 75.7 | 1605 | 44.8 | 1115 |
| Note: people who did not see any tobacco packages are excluded and coded as 'missing'; don't know was recoded same as 'no'; only among current smokers |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4.8.1 Exposure to tobacco advertisements or signs promoting cigarettes/bidis/smokeless tobacco products: all participants |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of adults age $15-69$ years who saw any advertisements or signs promoting cigarettes/ bidis or any other smokeless tobacco |
| product in the past 30 days by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |


| Table 4.8.2 Exposure to anti-tobacco information: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of adults age 15-69 years who noticed information about the dangers of smoking cigarettes, bidis or other tobacco products that encourages quitting in different media in the past 30 days by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal,2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Television | Radio | Newspaper or magazines | Internet/ websites | Any electronic media (Radio or TV) | On any media | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 63.9 | 60.8 | 50.6 | 40.4 | 74.4 | 78.6 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 62.6 | 60.9 | 46.3 | 27.0 | 72.6 | 74.7 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 54.6 | 54.8 | 37.4 | 10.0 | 66.8 | 68.1 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 45.6 | 50.0 | 31.0 | 6.9 | 59.9 | 62.1 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 63.8 | 61.1 | 50.3 | 29.5 | 73.6 | 76.9 | 1998 |
| Women | 54.7 | 55.5 | 37.6 | 19.8 | 67.1 | 68.9 | 3595 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 52.7 | 47.8 | 43.7 | 28.2 | 58.8 | 61.5 | 705 |
| Municipality | 62.6 | 59.7 | 44.7 | 25.7 | 72.5 | 75.9 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 55.2 | 58.4 | 41.9 | 21.5 | 69.5 | 70.8 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 60.0 | 57.1 | 42.5 | 23.9 | 67.8 | 69.3 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 68.7 | 59.4 | 41.5 | 24.3 | 72.6 | 73.3 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 62.5 | 57.9 | 51.4 | 28.7 | 71.3 | 76.4 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 62.0 | 53.6 | 39.4 | 26.1 | 72.4 | 75.0 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 59.4 | 56.1 | 45.5 | 24.1 | 68.3 | 69.8 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 43.2 | 62.5 | 41.0 | 17.4 | 69.9 | 73.8 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 41.2 | 62.7 | 38.3 | 21.7 | 69.9 | 74.8 | 829 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 42.5 | 46.9 | 25.0 | 5.6 | 56.7 | 58.6 | 2792 |
| Primary | 66.2 | 64.7 | 45.3 | 21.2 | 77.0 | 78.4 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 66.4 | 62.3 | 55.4 | 34.5 | 75.9 | 80.0 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 79.9 | 71.8 | 70.0 | 60.4 | 86.6 | 89.8 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 33.4 | 51.6 | 26.3 | 10.5 | 57.2 | 59.1 | 1653 |
| Second | 48.0 | 54.8 | 37.5 | 16.2 | 64.5 | 68.4 | 1062 |
| Middle | 67.0 | 61.2 | 43.3 | 22.3 | 75.4 | 77.4 | 949 |
| Fourth | 70.7 | 57.5 | 48.2 | 29.2 | 74.1 | 76.1 | 878 |
| Highest | 75.8 | 65.7 | 62.6 | 43.7 | 79.6 | 82.4 | 1051 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 63.7 | 61.0 | 48.7 | 37.2 | 73.6 | 76.9 | 1466 |
| 30-44 | 58.7 | 58.1 | 43.6 | 19.0 | 70.7 | 72.7 | 2039 |
| 45-69 | 51.1 | 53.3 | 34.7 | 8.3 | 63.8 | 65.4 | 1088 |
| Total (15-39) | 63.1 | 60.9 | 48.1 | 32.5 | 73.3 | 76.3 | 2930 |
| Total (40-69) | 51.0 | 52.9 | 34.9 | 8.7 | 64.1 | 65.8 | 2663 |
| Total (15-69) | 59.0 | 58.1 | 43.6 | 24.4 | 70.2 | 72.7 | 5593 |


| Table 4.8.3 Exposure to cigarette promotion: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of adults age 15-69 years who noticed different types of cigarette promotions in the past 30 days by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Free samples of cigarettes | Cigarette at sale prices | Coupons for cigarettes | Free gifts/ other discount offers on other products | Clothing or other items with cigarette logo | Cigarette promotion in mail | Any type of promotion | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 10.6 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 8.1 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 7.1 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 6.0 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 9.4 | 1998 |
| Women | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 7.3 | 3595 |
| Residence | 2.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 6.1 | 11.1 |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 5.3 | 705 |
| Municipality | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 7.5 | 11.9 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 9.4 | 11.5 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 12.9 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 7.7 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 6.1 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 8.8 | 829 |
| Education | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 5.6 | 2792 |
| None/less than primary | 1.1 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 6.5 | 10.5 | 1051 |
| Primary | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 10.4 | 1088 |
| Secondary | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 9.0 | 661 |
| More than secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 1653 |
| Second | 2.8 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 7.5 | 12.9 | 1062 |
| Middle | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 9.1 | 949 |
| Fourth | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 878 |
| Highest | 3.1 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 8.9 | 1051 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 9.6 | 1466 |
| 30-44 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 2039 |
| 45-69 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 7.2 | 1088 |
| Total (15-39) | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 9.1 | 2930 |
| Total (40-69) | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.4 | . 9396 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 2663 |
| Total (15-69) | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 8.3 | 5593 |
| Note: don't' know are treated same as no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4.9 Mean monthly expenditures on purchase of cigarettes: among current cigarette smokers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean monthly expenditure (in Nepalese Rs) incurred by current cigarette smokers age 15-69 years by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Mean Price per 20 cigarette users | Mean number of cigarette smoked each month | Expenditures per month on cigarettes among cigarettes smokers (in Nepalese Rupee) | Annual expenditure as percentage of GDP per Captia |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 195.6 | 107.5 | 959.1 | 10\% |
| 25-39 | 149.7 | 130.3 | 928.6 | 10\% |
| 40-54 | 138.2 | 179.8 | 1198.1 | 13\% |
| 55-69 | 135.0 | 188.8 | 1181.2 | 13\% |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 164.0 | 145.7 | 1075.3 | 12\% |
| Women | 106.0 | 170.9 | 949.0 | 10\% |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 170.4 | 206.2 | 1952.5 | 21\% |
| Municipality | 160.6 | 149.1 | 1062.6 | 11\% |
| Rural Municipality | 134.5 | 143.9 | 861.5 | 9\% |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 148.7 | 114.3 | 779.8 | 8\% |
| Province 2 | 137.9 | 145.9 | 935.5 | 10\% |
| Province 3 | 185.4 | 197.7 | 1863.7 | 20\% |
| Gandaki Province | 172.9 | 200.7 | 1532.4 | 17\% |
| Province 5 | 149.5 | 118.7 | 627.6 | 7\% |
| Karnali Province | 143.8 | 159.6 | 1086.1 | 12\% |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 132.5 | 137.7 | 869.6 | 9\% |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 117.6 | 169.0 | 900.5 | 10\% |
| Primary | 178.1 | 156.5 | 1364.6 | 15\% |
| Secondary | 182.0 | 121.3 | 1023.1 | 11\% |
| More than secondary | 198.6 | 115.8 | 1201.7 | 13\% |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 121.0 | 181.5 | 968.5 | 10\% |
| Second | 134.7 | 171.8 | 994.7 | 11\% |
| Middle | 155.8 | 121.1 | 854.4 | 9\% |
| Fourth | 166.1 | 128.2 | 1014.6 | 11\% |
| Highest | 202.3 | 137.4 | 1511.0 | 16\% |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 180.2 | 104.5 | 894.3 | 10\% |
| 30-44 | 140.9 | 149.5 | 1018.7 | 11\% |
| 45-69 | 135.5 | 189.6 | 1212.0 | 13\% |
| Total (15-39) | 163.3 | 123.8 | 937.6 | 10\% |
| Total (40-69) | 136.8 | 183.6 | 1191.0 | 13\% |
| Total (15-69) | 151.5 | 151.0 | 1049.3 | 11\% |
| notes: we excluded those who reported more than 8888 in amount but bought less than 100 cigs; exclude if both tp7 and tp6=777; GDP source as per World Bank data for the year 2018 given in the following link : Nepal 2018 GDP rate was 1025.8USD https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/ny.gdp.pcap. cd; conversion is for March 2019 as the following link https://www.poundsterlinglive.com/best-exchange-rates/us-dollar-to-nepalese-rupee-exchange-rate-on-2019-03-19; don't know and refused responses has been considered as missing; since most brands in Nepal do not cost more than 30 rs per cig, we have exclude all those with values $>=50 \mathrm{NPR}$ |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4.10 Electronic cigarettes: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of adults age 15-69 years who heard about electronics cigarettes, ever used, currently using or correctly identified an e-cig by background characteristics, Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Ever heard about e-Cigarettes users | Number of participants | Among those who heard about e-cigarettes |  |  |
|  |  |  | Ever used e-cigarettes | Currently using e-cigarettes | Correctly identified an e-cig |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 16.9 | 835 | 17.5 | 16.1 | 55.3 |
| 25-39 | 13.5 | 2081 | 22.7 | 14.4 | 46.3 |
| 40-54 | 6.0 | 1568 | 9.3 | 7.1 | 30.6 |
| 55-69 | 2.4 | 1076 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 26.5 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 18.8 | 3569 | 21.5 | 16.9 | 53.7 |
| Women | 4.7 | 1991 | 8.8 | 3.8 | 25.2 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 19.0 | 700 | 12.0 | 5.7 | 61.5 |
| Municipality | 12.1 | 2737 | 23.9 | 20.1 | 46.0 |
| Rural Municipality | 8.5 | 2123 | 11.8 | 6.2 | 42.8 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 11.1 | 797 | 19.0 | 9.8 | 46.2 |
| Province 2 | 4.9 | 802 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 69.8 |
| Province 3 | 18.5 | 758 | 33.1 | 29.8 | 43.0 |
| Gandaki Province | 16.3 | 789 | 29.4 | 26.8 | 81.7 |
| Province 5 | 12.7 | 787 | 9.4 | 2.5 | 36.4 |
| Karnali Province | 7.7 | 803 | 8.4 | 4.9 | 32.9 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 8.7 | 824 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 29.5 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 3.3 | 2772 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 37.5 |
| Primary | 10.2 | 1043 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 34.2 |
| Secondary | 16.5 | 1084 | 24.2 | 12.8 | 51.7 |
| More than secondary | 25.4 | 660 | 17.5 | 16.0 | 53.1 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 2.7 | 1640 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 14.0 |
| Second | 7.5 | 1056 | 15.2 | 14.0 | 43.9 |
| Middle | 9.5 | 940 | 10.7 | 4.3 | 42.6 |
| Fourth | 11.0 | 877 | 20.5 | 13.6 | 72.6 |
| Highest | 26.3 | 1047 | 23.6 | 19.0 | 43.2 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 16.2 | 1458 | 21.3 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| 30-44 | 10.3 | 2031 | 15.8 | 14.3 | 14.3 |
| 45-69 | 4.3 | 2071 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total (15-39) | 14.9 | 2916 | 20.3 | 15.2 | 50.3 |
| Total (40-69) | 4.6 | 2644 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 29.8 |
| Total (15-69) | 11.4 | 5560 | 18.8 | 14.1 | 47.5 |

## Chapter 5

## ALCOHOL

## Key Findings

- Alcohol Consumption
o In 2019, $72.2 \%$ of adults (15-69 years) ( $56 \%$ of men and $86.5 \%$ of women) never consumed alcohol (life abstainers) and $23.9 \%$ and $20.8 \%$ of adults reported consuming alcohol in the past 12 months, and in the past 30 days respectively (current drinkers in the past 30 days).
- Alcohol consumption by type
o Rakshi (a traditional home-brewed spirit) was the most consumed alcohol reported (50.9\%), followed by Jaad -a home-brewed wine (24.5\%) and beer (16.8\%).
- Heavy Episodic Drinking
o $7.0 \%$ of all adults ( $13.1 \%$ of men, $1.8 \%$ of women) engaged in heavy episodic drinking (consumed 6 standard drinks or 60 g of pure alcohol or more drinks on any single occasion in the past 30 days).
o More than one-third (37.6\%) of current drinkers who consumed alcohol in past 30 days ( $42.1 \%$ men, $22.4 \%$ women) engaged in heavy episodic drinking.
- Unrecorded alcohol use
o Overall, among all adults, $14.3 \%$ of adults reported consuming unrecorded alcohol in the past 7 days. $68.5 \%$ of current drinkers (past 30 days) reported consuming unrecorded alcohol, comprising mainly of homebrewed spirits and wines. Amongst the current drinkers ${ }^{1}$, the proportion of unrecorded alcohol consumed as a fraction of overall alcohol was very high at $66.3 \%$.
- Alcohol Dependence
o On a monthly or more frequently basis, $13.6 \%$ of adults reported that they were not able to stop drinking once started, $6.3 \%$ of adults needed a drink, first thing in the morning and $8 \%$ of the adults failed to perform tasks that were expected from them.
- Harm to Others
o $2.7 \%$ of adults reported having family problems or problems with their partners due to someone else's drinking, on a monthly/more frequently basis.
- Alcohol access and affordability
o Among adults, (who ever consumed an alcoholic drink), $88.2 \%$ found it easy or very easy to obtain alcohol.
o Raising the prices of the alcoholic beverages through taxation is another key policy to control alcohol. However, only $27.9 \%$ adults who ever consumed alcohol perceived that alcohol has become less affordable than before.
o None of the underage participants (15-18 years of age) who tried to buy alcohol reported that they were refused alcoholic beverages due to their age. The legal minimum purchasing age for alcohol is 18 years in Nepal.

[^18]- Exposure to advertising and marketing of alcohol
- $18.7 \%$ of adults reported seeing advertisements promoting alcohol on some media platform.
- More than 1 in 5 participants ( $21.9 \%$ ) who attended social events such as sports events, fairs, concerts, etc.) saw alcohol advertisements or got free beer/discounted alcohol sometimes/most of the times/ always.
- Exposure to anti-alcohol messages
- Nearly 1 in $2(47.9 \%)$ adults reported seeing or hearing any messages on one or more media platforms, that discouraged consumption of alcohol.
- Driving under influence of alcohol
- Amongst the adults who drove vehicle in the past 12 months, $3.9 \%$ reported being checked by traffic police for drunk driving.
- $17.2 \%$ of adults, who have ever consumed alcohol, reported that in the past 30 days, they drove a vehicle under the influence of alcohol and $8.9 \%$ rode in a motorized vehicle where the driver had had 2 or more alcoholic drinks.


## 5. Introduction

In 2016, the harmful use of alcohol resulted in some 3 million deaths ( $5.3 \%$ of all deaths) worldwide and $5.1 \%$ of all disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) in that year. Harmful use of alcohol caused some 1.7 million deaths from noncommunicable diseases in 2016, including some 1.2 million deaths from digestive and cardiovascular diseases ( 0.6 million for each condition) and 0.4 million deaths from cancers. Globally an estimated 0.9 million deaths due to injury were attributable to alcohol, including around 370000 deaths due to road injuries, 150000 due to self-harm and around 90000 due to interpersonal violence. Of the road traffic injuries, 187000 alcoholattributable deaths were among people other than drivers. In the World Health Organization (WHO) South-East Asia Region, home to 1.9 billion people ( $29 \%$ of world's population), and where Nepal is situated, 1 in 20 deaths were attributed to alcohol consumption ${ }^{2}$.

In 2018, WHO launched a SAFER initiative to reduce death, disease and injuries caused by the harmful use of alcohol using high-impact, evidence-based, cost-effective interventions.

## The SAFER action package

S Strengthen restrictions on alcohol availability
A Advance and enforce drink driving counter measures
F Facilitate access to screening, brief interventions and treatment
E Enforce bans or comprehensive restrictions on alcohol advertising, sponsorship, and promotion
R
Raise prices on alcohol through excise taxes and pricing policies

## Current relevant policies and programs in Nepal for alcohol

- Multisectoral Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Non-Communicable Diseases (2014-20).
- In 2017, the Republic of Nepal developed a national alcohol regulation and control policy, which is yet to be endorsed by cabinet. The proposed plan entails a total ban on alcohol advertisement, promotions and sponsorships, restricting physical availability by licensing of sales, restriction on the days/hours of sale. As of now Nepal has introduced licensing/monopolies at different levels of alcohol market (imports, production, distribution, retail sales), on-premise sale restrictions on hours, places, events, and minimum purchasing age ( 18 years) and ban from alcohol consumption in public places to restrict the commercial availability of alcohol ${ }^{3}$. Additionally, it has also introduced drink-driving countermeasures such as specifying blood alcohol concentration limit (zero tolerance) for general population and drivers, random breath testing and

[^19]penalties for drunk driving. It also levies excise taxes on alcohol to reduce the affordability of alcoholic beverages, though these are not adjusted for inflation and economic growth. .

SDG Goal 3.5 aims for a relative reduction of $10 \%$ in per capita alcohol consumption by 2030. The same goal has been part of nine global NCD indicators as well and has been adopted in the Nepal's multisectoral action plan as well.

This chapter focuses on indicators related to patterns of alcohol consumption, type of alcoholic beverages consumed including consumption of unrecorded alcohol; alcohol dependence as well as population-level coverage of specific policies implemented for alcohol control (e.g. bans on marketing, and restricting availability, etc). The alcohol-related questions recommended were a part of the core modules in the population-based STEPS survey. This information will help Nepal assess trends and progress towards alcohol control targets specified in its multisectoral action plan as well as evaluation of current policies and programs in place to reduce population alcohol consumption. These will also guide future policy and programs to reduce alcohol intake at population level.

### 5.1 Alcohol consumption

## Alcohol consumption - life-time abstainers, former drinkers, and current drinkers ${ }^{4}$

The prevalence of alcohol consumption has been calculated by asking all the adults if they have ever consumed alcohol (beer, wine, spirits fermented cider or Jaad, Chyang, Raksi, Aila or Tungba) and if they have consumed in the past 12 months and in the past 30 days. In 2019, the prevalence of current alcohol consumption (people who consumed alcohol in the past 12 months) amongst all the adults was $23.9 \%$. Life-time abstainers were $72.2 \% .20 .8 \%$ of all adults were current drinkers (consumed alcohol in the past 30 days (Table 5.1).

## Patterns by background characteristics

- While the life-time abstinence of alcohol declined with increasing age, the proportion of former drinkers as well as current drinkers increased with age (Figure 5.1)

Figure 5.1 Differentials in prevalence of alcohol consumption amongst adult by age, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


- Men were significantly more likely to consume alcohol currently than women.
- Rural regions had a higher current use of alcohol in the past 12 months as compared to metropolitan/sub metropolitan regions ( $24.7 \%$ versus $17.9 \%$ ).

[^20]- Province 2 and 5 had the lowest prevalence of current alcohol consumption ( $11.5 \%$, and $20.7 \%$, respectively), compared to the national average of $23.9 \%$. Province 3 had the highest prevalence of current alcohol consumption, 33.2\% (Figure 5.2).

Figure 5.2 Differentials in current alcohol use (past 12 months) amongst population aged 15-69 years, across the provinces of Nepal, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


- Prevalence of current alcohol consumption decreased with an increase in the level of education and household wealth. The highest prevalance of current drinkers were in the lowest wealth quintile (30\%) and adults with no or less than primary education (26\%) (Figure 5.3).

Figure 5.3 Differentials in current alcohol consumption (past 12 months) by levels of education ( $A$ ) and by wealth (B), Nepal STEPS survey 2019

A B

(A) and by wealth (B) ${ }^{5}$, Nepal STEPS survey 2019

5 Prevalence of current alcohol consumption is people who consumed alcohol in the past 12 months

### 5.2 Alcohol consumption by type

Adults, aged 15-69 years, who reported consuming alcohol in the past 30 days were asked the type of alcohol (beer, wine, spirits, fermented cider or Jaad, Chyang, Rakshi, Aila or Tungba, other) usually/ most often consumed by them. Rakshi (a traditional home-brewed spirit) was the most consumed alcohol reported (50.9\%), followed by Jaad -a home-brewed wine (24.5\%) and beer (16.8\%) (Table5.2, Figure 5.4).

- While the use of traditional home-brewed spirit-Rakshi-increased with age (from 35\% among $15-24$ years to $65 \%$ among 55-69 years), the use of beer declined with age (Figure 5.5).
- Jaad is the most preferred option of alcohol by

Figure 5.4 Alcohol type - among current drinkers (past 30 days), Nepal STEPS survey 2019
 women (50.8\%), followed by Rakshi (43.1\%). Whereas Rakshi is the most preferred alcohol type for men (53.2\%), followed by beer (20.7\%) and Jaad (17\%).

- Although, the consumption of traditional alcohol (Rakshi and Jaad) decreases with increasing household wealth and increasing educational level, the traditional wines and spirits still remain the most consumed alcohol even in the highest wealth quintile.
- With increasing levels of education and wealth, the alcohol consumption shifts towards higher preference for beer (Figure 5.6).

Figure 5.5 Differentials in consumption of different type of alcohol amongst adults by age, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 5.6 Differentials in consumption of different types of alcohol by wealth (A) and by levels of education (B) amongst adults aged 15-69 years, Nepal STEPS survey 2019

A
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### 5.3 Heavy episodic drinking

- Heavy episodic drinking (HED) is defined as consumption of 60 or more grams of pure alcohol ( $6+$ standard drinks in most countries) on at least one single occasion in the 30 days prior to survey. The indicator is presented in the overall population (among both drinkers and non-drinkers) as well as among current drinkers only (those who consumed any alcohol within the past 30 days). In the total population $7.0 \%$ of adults engaged in HED and amongst the current drinkers, $37.6 \%$ adults engaged in HED (Table5.3).


## Patterns by background characteristics

- The prevalence of HED drinking increased with increasing age both in the total population and amongst current drinkers. $32.9 \%$ of adults in the age group 15-24 years indulged in HED, increasing to $38.6 \%$ among 55-69 year group (Figure 5.7).
- Men were significantly more like to engage in HED than women. $13.1 \%$ of men (in total population) and $42.1 \%$ of men who currently drink (past 30 days) engaged in HED compared to $1.8 \%$ of women overall and $22.4 \%$ of currently drinking women.
- HED was lower in rural areas compared to municipality ( $35.1 \%$ versus $39.5 \%$ ).
- While the engagement in HED drinking decreased with increase in education-level in the total population; amongst the current drinkers, the incidence of HED follows a u-shaped curve with increasing education. There is no consistent trend of HED with household wealth (Figure 5.7).

Figure 5.7 Differentials in engagement in HED, amongst adults aged 15-69 years - by age (A) and by levels of education (B), Nepal STEPS survey 2019


### 5.4 Unrecorded Alcohol use

Unrecorded alcohol refers to alcohol that is not taxed in the country where it is consumed because it is usually produced, distributed and sold outside the formal channels under government control. Unrecorded alcohol consumption in a country includes consumption of home-made or informally produced alcohol (legal or illegal), smuggled alcohol, alcohol intended for industrial or medical uses and alcohol obtained through crossborder shopping (which is recorded under a different jurisdiction). Sometimes, these alcoholic beverages are traditional drinks that are produced and consumed in the community or in homes. Home-made or informally produced alcoholic beverages are mostly fermented products made from sorghum, millet, maize, rice, wheat or fruits. All adults who ever consumed alcohol were asked if they consumed unrecorded alcohol (homebrewed, untaxed, cross-border or alcohol not intended for drinking) in the past 7 days and the number of standard drinks of unrecorded alcohol. In the total population, $14.3 \%$ of adults consumed unrecorded alcohol and amongst the current drinkers, $68.5 \%$ consumed unrecorded alcohol. Amongst the current drinkers ${ }^{6}$, the proportion of unrecorded alcohol consumed as a fraction of overall alcohol was very high at $66.3 \%$ (Table 5.4).

## Patterns by background characteristics

- The proportion of adults consuming unrecorded alcohol increase with age - both in the total population and amongst the current drinkers. Mean percentage of unrecorded alcohol consumed as a fraction of total alcohol consumption amongst current drinkers follows the same trend (Figure 5.8).
- In the total population, a higher proportion of men consumed unrecorded alcohol (22.6\%) as compared to women ( $6.8 \%$ ). However, amongst the current drinkers, $77.7 \%$ of women consumed unrecorded alcohol as compared to $65.8 \%$ of men.
- $76.3 \%$ of current drinkers in rural municipality consumed unrecorded alcohol compared to $57.2 \%$ in metropolitan/sub-metropolitan regions of Nepal.
- With increasing levels of education and household wealth there is a decrease in consumption of unrecorded alcohol (Figure 5.9).

[^21]Figure 5.8 Differentials in consumption of unrecorded alcohol by age amongst adults, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 5.9 Differentials in consumption of unrecorded alcohol by levels of education (A) and by wealth (B amongst adults, aged 15-69 years, Nepal STEPS Survey (2019)


### 5.5 Alcohol Dependence

All adults who consumed alcohol in the past 12 months were enquired if they were not able to stop drinking once they started; needed a drink, the first thing in the morning; and/or failed to do things that were normally expected of them on a daily or almost daily, weekly, monthly or less than monthly basis. These are signs of possible alcohol dependence.

On a monthly or more frequently basis, $13.6 \%$ reported that they were not able to stop drinking once started, $6.3 \%$ needed a drink first thing in the morning and $8 \%$ of the adults failed to perform tasks that were expected from them (Table 5.5, Figure 5.10).

Figure 5.10 Percentage of adults (15-69 years) who drank alcohol in the past 12 months and who showed different signs of alcohol dependence at once a month or more, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


## Patterns by background characteristics

- The proportion of current drinkers that showed the three signs of alcohol dependence generally increased with age and was significantly higher among men than women (Figure 5.11).
- The proportion of current drinkers with signs of alcohol dependence was the highest in the metropolitan/ sub-metropolitan region compared to other two regions.
- The proportion of current drinkers with signs of alcohol dependence declined with increasing educationallevel and generally higher among the poorest two wealth quintiles compared to the highest wealth quintile (Figure 5.12).

Figure 5.11 Differentials in percentage of adults who drank alcohol in the past 12 months and who showed signs of alcohol dependence, by age , Nepal STEPS survey 2019


Figure 5.12 Differentials in signs of alcohol dependence by education (A) and household wealth (B), Nepal STEPS survey 2019


### 5.6 Harm to others

The societal costs of alcohol affecting the partners, children, families and communities of drinkers are estimated to be twice those incurred by drinkers themselves. ${ }^{7}$ It's important to quantify the magnitude of this issue and all adults were asked if, during the past 12 months, they had family problems or problems with their partner due to someone else's drinking, on a monthly/more frequently, less than monthly, or never. In the total population, $10.3 \%$ of adults reported being harmed due to someone else's drinking, on a monthly/more frequently or less than monthly basis (Table 5.5).

## Patterns by background characteristics

- The proportion of adults facing some form of harm due to someone else's drinking increased with increasing age. This is true for the ages 15 to 54 years (Figure 15.13).
- $13.1 \%$ women reported facing family problems and problems with a partner; harm due to someone else's drinking, compared to $7.7 \%$ of the men.
- Higher proportion of adults reported facing some harm due to someone else's drinking in rural municipality, as compared to metropolitan/sub-metropolitan area ( $11.5 \%$ versus $8.5 \%$ ).
- With increasing levels of education and wealth, there was a decline in the proportion of adults who faced any harm due to someone else's drinking (Figure 15.14).

[^22]Figure 5.13 Differentials in harm to others due to someone else's drinking habits, by age, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


Figure 5.14 Differentials in harm to others due to someone else's drinking habits, by levels of education (A) and by wealth (B), Nepal STEPS survey 2019


### 5.7 Alcohol accessibility

Restricting the physical availability of alcohol through state licensing and monopolies as well by restricting the hours, days and place of sale is one of the key policies for alcohol control. Among adults, (who ever consumed an alcoholic drink such as beer, wine, spirits fermented cider or Jaad, Chyang, Raksi, Aila or Tungba), $88.2 \%$ found it easy or very easy to obtain alcohol. In addition, raising the prices of the alcoholic beverages through taxation is another key policy to control alcohol. However, only $27.9 \%$ adults who ever consumed alcohol perceived that alcohol has become less affordable than before. None of the underage participants (15-18 years of age) who tried to buy alcohol reported that they were refused alcoholic beverages due to their age. The legal minimum purchasing age for alcohol is 18 years in Nepal (Table 5.6).

## Patterns by background characteristics

- The proportion of adults that reported obtaining alcohol easy or very easy did not vary significantly by age, increasing from $86.1 \%$ among aged 15-24 years to $89.3 \%$ among 55-69 year of age, despite the minimum legal alcohol purchasing age of 18 years. However, the proportion of participants who perceived alcohol to become less affordable decreased with age ( $33.5 \%$ among 15-24 years compared to $21.3 \%$ among 55-69 years of age) (Figure 5.15).

Figure 5.15 Access and affordability of alcohol, amongst adults aged 15-69 years, by age, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


- The proportion of adults who felt obtaining alcohol is very easy/easy generally increased with increasing household wealth and educational level and reverse pattern was observed with regard to perception of alcohol becoming less affordable (more poor people felt alcohol has become less affordable than rich people) (Figure 5.16).
- In province 1, $90.8 \%$ of all adults found it very easy/easy to access alcohol, compared to $80.8 \%$ in Karnali Province. $40.5 \%$ of participants in Karnali Province felt that the alcohol was less affordable than before (Figure 5.17).

Figure 5.16 Differentials in access and affordability of alcohol, amongst adults aged 15-69 years, by levels of education (A) and wealth quintile (B), Nepal STEPS survey 2019


Figure 5.17 Affordability of alcohol, amongst adults aged 15-69 years, by province, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


### 5.8 Exposure to advertising and marketing of alcohol

Comprehensive ban on alcohol advertising and marketing in all media is one of the most cost-effective interventions to prevent and control alcohol use. A decree issued in 1999 bans alcohol advertising in all electronic media (TV and radio), product placement on TV and films and at point of sale. Nevertheless, 18.7\% of adults reported seeing advertisements promoting alcohol on some media platform. In addition, more than 1 in 5 participants ( $21.9 \%$ ) who attended social events such as sports events, fairs, concerts, etc.) saw alcohol advertisements or got free beer/discounted alcohol sometimes/most of the times/always (Table 5.7).

## Patterns by background characteristics

- Exposure to alcohol advertisements and signs promoting alcohol in any media as well to promotions during different events decreased with increasing age. More men reported exposed to alcohol marketing and advertising than women (Figure 5.18).
- $31.5 \%$ of adults in metropolitan-sub-metropolitan region were offered discounts or free alcohol at events in the regions, compared to $20.5 \%$ of adults in rural regions (Figure 5.19).

Figure 5.18 Differentials in exposure to advertising and marketing of alcohol by age, amongst adults aged 15-69 years, Nepal STEPS Survey, 2019


Figure 5.19 Differentials in exposure to advertising and marketing of alcohol by residence, amongst adults aged 15-69 years, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


- With increasing levels of education and wealth, the proportion of adults exposed to advertising and marketing of alcohol also increased (Figure 5.20)

Figure 5.20 Differentials in exposure to advertising and marketing of alcohol by level of education (A) and by wealth quintile (B), amongst adults aged 15-69 years, Nepal STEPSSurvey, 2019


### 5.9 Exposure to anti-alcohol messages

Organized information, education and communication campaigns to make users and general population aware of the dangers of initiating alcohol use and social and economic impact, and other dangers of alcohol use in general
or in specific settings (e.g. while driving) is an integral part of alcohol control programs. All adults were asked if during the past 30 days, they saw or heard any messages on television, radio, billboards, posters, newspapers, magazines, or movies, internet, social media that discouraged them to drink alcohol or informed them about health dangers of drinking alcohol? Nearly 1 in $2(47.9 \%)$ adults reported seeing or hearing any messages on one or more media platforms, that discouraged consumption of alcohol (Table 5.7).

## Patterns by background characteristics

- Exposure to anti-alcohol messages decreased with increasing age, where $50.2 \%$ of adults in age group 1524 years, saw or heard the messages, while only $41.5 \%$ adults in the age group 55-69 years noticed these (Figure 5.21).

Figure 5.21 Differentials in exposure to anti-alcohol messages, amongst adults aged 15-69 years, by age, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


$$
15-24 \square 25-39 \square 40-54 \square 55-69
$$

- $53.4 \%$ of men noticed anti-alcohol messages compared to only $42.9 \%$ of women.
- Of all the participants, $50.3 \%$ of residents in rural regions saw or heard messages that discouraged alcohol consumption, compared to $34.9 \%$ of residents in metropolitan/sub-metropolitan regions.
- Exposure to anti-alcohol messages increased with increasing levels of education ( $36.2 \%$ for adults with no or primary education versus $62.9 \%$ for adults with more than secondary education) and household wealth ( $35.9 \%$ in lowest quintile versus $59.4 \%$ in the wealthiest quintile) (Figure 5.22).

Figure 5.22 Differentials in exposure to anti-alcohol messages, amongst adults aged 15-69 years, by level of education (A) and by wealth quintile (B), Nepal STEPS survey 2019



### 5.10 Drink Driving

Prevention of drink driving is a key component of alcohol control programs to prevent road accidents and other alcohol-associated injuries. Nepal has put in place random breath test to discourage drink-driving. Additionally, it also has policies for drunk driving as a first offence (detention, fines, license suspension, penalty points) and for repeated offence. Amongst the adults who drove vehicle in past 12 months, $3.9 \%$ reported being checked by traffic police for drunk driving.

In addition, $17.2 \%$ of adults who have ever consumed alcohol reported that in the past 30 days, they drove a vehicle under the influence of alcohol and $8.9 \%$ rode in a motorized vehicle where the driver had had 2 or more alcoholic drinks (Table 5.8).

## Patterns by background characteristics

## I. Drunk Driving

- Proportion of adults driving under influence, or driving with a person under influence of alcohol decreased with increasing age (Figure 5.23).

Figure 5.23 Differentials in drunk driving, for adults aged 15-69 years, by age, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


- More men engaged in driving under influence of alcohol or driving with a person under influence of alcohol as compared to women ( $19.1 \%$ versus $1.7 \%$ and $13.8 \%$ versus $4.3 \%$ ).
- More adults from rural municipality drove under influence of alcohol and rode with a driver who had consumed 2 or more drinks in the past 30 days as compared to metropolitan/sub municipality region. ( $20.5 \%$ and $13.2 \%$ versus $9.9 \%$ and $8.6 \%$ ) (Figure 5.24).
- The proportion of adults engaging in drunk driving behaviour increased with increasing level of education (Figure 5.25). No significant trend arises in proportion of adults driving under influence with an increase in wealth.

Figure 5.24 Differentials in drunk driving, for adults aged 15-69 years, by region of residences, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


Figure 5.25 Differentials in drunk driving, for adults aged 15-69 years, by levels of education, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


## II. Counter measures

- The proportion of adults who have been stopped or checked by the traffic police for drink driving decreased with increase in age (Figure 5.26).
- $5.8 \%$ of men were stopped by the traffic police, compared to only $0.7 \%$ of women
- $5.4 \%$ of adults residing in municipality were stopped, whereas only $1.8 \%$ and $2.5 \%$ of adults were stopped and checked by the police in metropolitan/sub-metropolitan and rural areas, respectively.
- Higher proportions of adults with secondary education and above were stopped for checks for drunk driving as compared to those with primary education of less. No trends were observed in the countermeasures with an increase in wealth.

Figure 5.26 Differentials in proportion of adults stopped or checked by police for drink-driving by age, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on alcohol consumption, see the following tables:

Table 5.1 Alcohol consumption: all participants
Table 5.2 Most often consumed alcohol: all participants
Table 5.3 Heavy episodic drinking: total, Men, Women
Table 5.4 Consumption of unrecorded alcohol
Table 5.5 Symptoms of alcohol dependence: among current users in last $\mathbf{1 2}$ months
Table 5.6 Ease of access to alcohol: all participants
Table 5.7 Percentage of participants exposed to advertisements/signs promoting alcohol, other alcohol promotions and anti-alcohol messages in any of the media: all participants
Table 5.8 Drink driving and implementation of countermeasures: all participants

| Table 5.1 Alcohol consumption: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of people age 15-69 who are life abstainers, former drinkers and current drinkers; by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Cons | alcohol in past | months |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Life-time abstainers (Never consumed alcohol) | (haven't consumed alcohol in past 12 months) | (consumed alcohol in the past 12 month) | Daily or almost daily | 1-4 days/week | 1-3 days/months or <br> $<$ than a month | Current drinker (consumed alcohol in past 30 days) | Number of Persons |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 83.2 | 1.7 | 15.1 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 8.1 | 12.7 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 70.2 | 3.5 | 26.4 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 23.3 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 64.5 | 5.7 | 29.8 | 12.0 | 8.3 | 9.5 | 25.9 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 67.7 | 7.3 | 25.1 | 10.3 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 22.2 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 56.0 | 5.3 | 38.6 | 11.7 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 34.4 | 1998 |
| Women | 86.5 | 2.7 | 10.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 8.8 | 3595 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolian/submetropolitan | 80.3 | 1.8 | 17.9 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 10.0 | 15.1 | 705 |
| Municipality | 70.9 | 4.8 | 24.3 | 6.3 | 8.4 | 9.6 | 20.9 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 72.0 | 3.3 | 24.7 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 22.1 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 69.6 | 5.2 | 25.2 | 8.9 | 6.9 | 9.5 | 23.1 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 86.2 | 2.3 | 11.5 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 10.3 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 63.7 | 3.1 | 33.2 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 27.5 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 66.7 | 4.2 | 29.2 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 11.7 | 24.1 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 74.5 | 4.8 | 20.7 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 19.1 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 72.1 | 4.9 | 23.0 | 5.7 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 19.6 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 64.4 | 3.9 | 31.7 | 3.5 | 11.5 | 16.7 | 27.0 | 829 |


| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None/less than primary | 69.8 | 4.6 | 25.7 | 10.3 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 23.0 | 2792 |
| Primary | 70.5 | 4.6 | 24.9 | 7.2 | 9.8 | 7.9 | 21.5 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 74.0 | 2.4 | 23.6 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 11.5 | 20.1 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 77.7 | 4.1 | 18.2 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 10.6 | 15.4 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 67.0 | 3.5 | 29.5 | 11.7 | 10.9 | 7.0 | 26.5 | 1653 |
| Second | 72.4 | 5.2 | 22.4 | 6.1 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 19.5 | 1062 |
| Middle | 71.7 | 4.7 | 23.6 | 6.1 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 21.3 | 949 |
| Fourth | 74.8 | 4.0 | 21.2 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 10.5 | 18.0 | 878 |
| Highest | 75.0 | 2.4 | 22.7 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 10.9 | 18.9 | 1051 |
| Total (15-39) | 75.5 | 2.7 | 21.7 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 18.9 | 2930 |
| Total (40-69) | 65.7 | 6.3 | 28.0 | 11.3 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 24.5 | 2663 |
| Total 15-69 | 72.2 | 4.0 | 23.9 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 8.9 | 20.8 | 5593 |
| ${ }^{1}$ who have never consumed alcohol; ${ }^{2}$ persons who ever drank alcoholic beverages but have not done so in the past 12 months; ${ }^{3}$ includes both the lifetime abstainers and former drinkers. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 5.2 Most often consumed alcohol: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of people age 15-69 who reported consuming alcohol in past 30 days and mentioned a specific alchol as most often consumed alcohol; by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Beer | wine | Spirit (Whiskey, vodka, gin) | Jaad | Rakshi | Other traditional (Aila/ Tungba) | Total | Number of Persons |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 35.0 | 1.5 | 6.2 | 22.0 | 35.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 85 |
| 25-39 | 19.0 | 3.0 | 7.5 | 22.7 | 46.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 412 |
| 40-54 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 26.6 | 60.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 386 |
| 55-69 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 29.4 | 65.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 269 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 20.7 | 1.9 | 6.6 | 17.0 | 53.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 381 |
| Women | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 50.8 | 43.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 771 |

## Residence

| Metropolitan/sub metro- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| politan | 14.9 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 36.0 | 45.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Municipality | 18.0 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 19.3 | 54.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 523 |
| Rural Municipality | 14.9 | 0.6 | 6.1 | 29.8 | 46.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 502 |

## Province

| Province 1 | 16.9 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 43.9 | 28.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 213 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province 2 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 79.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81 |
| Province 3 | 15.6 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 34.5 | 45.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 211 |
| Gandaki Province | 21.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 10.8 | 63.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 175 |
| Province 5 | 17.9 | 2.2 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 150 |
| Karnali Province | 18.6 | 1.6 | 10.0 | 11.6 | 57.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 139 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 14.4 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 26.5 | 54.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 183 |

## Education

| None/less than primary | 3.5 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 30.9 | 64.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 615 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 13.4 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 27.9 | 49.8 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 217 |
| Secondary | 28.5 | 5.6 | 11.7 | 16.5 | 36.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 200 |
| More than secondary | 49.3 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 10.6 | 31.8 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 120 |

## Wealth quintile

| Lowest | 3.9 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 37.1 | 56.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 394 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 5.9 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 32.1 | 57.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 228 |
| Middle | 18.2 | 1.0 | 7.7 | 18.0 | 54.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 202 |
| Fourth | 34.9 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 18.3 | 40.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 136 |
| Highest | 27.0 | 3.3 | 14.8 | 12.5 | 41.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 192 |
| Total (15-39) |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 100.0 | 497 |
| Total (40-69) | 23.3 | 2.8 | 7.1 | 22.5 | 43.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 655 |
|  | 7.0 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 27.6 | 62.2 |  |  |  |
| Total 15-69 |  | $\mathbf{1 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


| Table 5.3 Heavy episodic drinking: total, Men, Women |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of population aged 15-69 years who engaged in heavy episodic drinking (drank 6 or more standard drinks in a single occasion) in the past 30 days, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | In total population |  | Among current drinkers |  |
|  | All\% | number of persons | All (\%) |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 3.6 | 843 | 32.9 | 68 |
| 25-39 | 7.9 | 2087 | 37.6 | 363 |
| 40-54 | 9.2 | 1574 | 39.9 | 329 |
| 55-69 | 7.7 | 1089 | 38.6 | 230 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 13.1 | 1886 | 42.1 | 659 |
| Women | 1.8 | 3545 | 22.4 | 331 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolian /submetropolitan | 5.3 | 686 | 37.6 | 108 |
| Municipality | 7.2 | 2684 | 39.5 | 452 |
| Rural Municipality | 7.1 | 2061 | 35.1 | 430 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 5.9 | 782 | 29.8 | 191 |
| Province 2 | 3.7 | 797 | 39.4 | 75 |
| Province 3 | 8.9 | 739 | 34.2 | 191 |
| Gandaki Province | 8.9 | 756 | 44.6 | 138 |
| Province 5 | 7.9 | 784 | 43.5 | 137 |
| Karnali Province | 9.0 | 785 | 49.5 | 116 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 7.5 | 788 | 34.9 | 142 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 7.2 | 2702 | 34.9 | 525 |
| Primary | 8.9 | 1027 | 44.9 | 193 |
| Secondary | 7.0 | 1063 | 40.0 | 175 |
| More than secondary | 3.9 | 638 | 28.9 | 97 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 9.4 | 1602 | 38.9 | 343 |
| Second | 6.5 | 1031 | 37.6 | 197 |
| Middle | 7.6 | 924 | 39.4 | 177 |
| Fourth | 4.8 | 862 | 30.3 | 120 |
| Highest | 6.5 | 1012 | 40.7 | 153 |
| Total (15-39) | 6.1 | 2864 | 36.3 | 431 |
| Total (40-69) | 8.6 | 2567 | 39.4 | 559 |
| Total 15-49 | 6.97 | 5431 | 37.6 | 990 |


| Table 5.4 Consumption of unrecorded alcohol |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of population aged 15-69 years who reporting consuming unrecorded alcohol* in the past 7 days in the past 30 days, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | In total population |  | Percentage of current drinkers who drank unrecorded alcohol in the past 7 days |  |  |
| Background characteristic | All\% | Number of persons | All (\%) | N | Mean percentage of total unrecorded alcohol out of total alcohol drank in the last 7 days |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 6.952 | 843 | 54.56 | 85 | 52.11 |
| 25-39 | 15.48 | 2087 | 66.6 | 412 | 66.639 |
| 40-54 | 19.41 | 1574 | 74.9 | 386 | 68.377 |
| 55-69 | 17.42 | 1089 | 78.5 | 269 | 76.97 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 22.62 | 1998 | 65.81 | 771 | 63.02 |
| Women | 6.841 | 3595 | 77.7 | 381 | 77.54 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolian/submetropolitan | 9 | 705 | 57.19 | 127 | 42.106 |
| Municipality | 13.41 | 2755 | 64.11 | 523 | 64.219 |
| Rural Municipality | 16.85 | 2133 | 76.3 | 502 | 73.44 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 14.85 | 804 | 64.39 | 213 | 51.922 |
| Province 2 | 7.848 | 803 | 76.43 | 81 | 90.493 |
| Province 3 | 20.59 | 759 | 74.89 | 211 | 87.47 |
| Gandaki Province | 15.1 | 793 | 62.59 | 175 | 48.59 |
| Province 5 | 13.51 | 797 | 70.83 | 150 | 67.12 |
| Karnali Province | 13.25 | 808 | 67.69 | 139 | 70.28 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 16.46 | 829 | 60.9 | 183 | 48.93 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 18.74 | 2792 | 81.36 | 615 | 80.706 |
| Primary | 17.22 | 1051 | 79.93 | 217 | 72.501 |
| Secondary | 9.362 | 1088 | 46.64 | 200 | 44.98 |
| More than secondary | 6.753 | 661 | 43.8 | 120 | 48 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 21.75 | 1653 | 82.24 | 394 | 76.627 |
| Second | 14.22 | 1062 | 73.09 | 228 | 80.597 |
| Middle | 14.71 | 949 | 69.15 | 202 | 56.507 |
| Fourth | 11.2 | 878 | 62.11 | 136 | 64.269 |
| Highest | 9.408 | 1051 | 49.68 | 192 | 49.116 |
| Total (15-39) | 11.98 | 2930 | 63.27 | 497 | 62.75 |
| Total (40-69) | 18.63 | 2663 | 76.19 | 655 | 71.49 |
| Total 15-49 | 14.26 | 5593 | 68.47 | 1152 | 66.27 |


| Table 5.5 Symptoms of alcohol dependence: among current users in last 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of people age 15-69 who consumed alcohol in the past 12 months and showed symptoms of alcohol dependence; by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background Characteristic | Not able to stop drinking once started |  |  | Needed the first drink in the morning to get going after a heavy drinking session |  |  | Failed to do normally expected to do because of drinking |  |  | Number of Persons | Harm to others: family problems or problems with partner due to someone else drinking |  |  | Number of Persons |  |
|  | Monthly or more frequently | Less than monthly | Never | Monthly or more frequently | Less than monthly | Never | Monthly or more frequently | Less than monthly | Never |  | Monthly or more frequently | Less than monthly | Never |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Daily/almost dail/weekly | Monthly/ less than monthly | Never |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 7.4 | 18.1 | 74.5 | 0.9 | 8.2 | 90.9 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 91.3 | 107 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 91.7 | 843 | 8.3 |
| 25-39 | 13.7 | 10.6 | 75.8 | 4.7 | 10.4 | 84.9 | 8.7 | 11.7 | 79.6 | 486 | 2.7 | 7.7 | 89.7 | 2087 | 10.4 |
| 40-54 | 15.0 | 16.5 | 68.5 | 10.5 | 11.9 | 77.5 | 11.7 | 13.1 | 75.2 | 450 | 4.4 | 8.9 | 86.7 | 1574 | 13.3 |
| 55-69 | 18.6 | 9.6 | 71.8 | 9.5 | 8.1 | 82.4 | 7.6 | 10.2 | 82.1 | 302 | 2.7 | 6.6 | 90.7 | 1089 | 9.3 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 15.5 | 15.0 | 69.6 | 6.8 | 12.0 | 81.1 | 9.3 | 13.0 | 77.7 | 876 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 92.3 | 3595 | 7.7 |
| Women | 7.7 | 7.9 | 84.4 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 91.8 | 3.6 | 5.7 | 90.7 | 469 | 3.2 | 9.9 | 86.9 | 1998 | 13.1 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/sub metropolitan | 17.8 | 6.5 | 75.7 | 17.0 | 4.7 | 78.4 | 18.4 | 4.9 | 76.8 | 170 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 91.5 | 705 | 8.5 |
| Municipality | 15.4 | 12.4 | 72.3 | 4.9 | 10.1 | 85.0 | 6.7 | 8.8 | 84.5 | 616 | 2.5 | 7.2 | 90.3 | 2755 | 9.7 |
| Rural Municipality | 10.5 | 15.7 | 73.9 | 6.3 | 11.0 | 82.7 | 7.9 | 15.8 | 76.3 | 559 | 2.7 | 8.8 | 88.5 | 2133 | 11.5 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 13.8 | 13.1 | 73.1 | 4.6 | 17.0 | 78.4 | 5.2 | 13.5 | 81.4 | 235 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 91.3 | 804 | 8.7 |
| Province 2 | 16.2 | 5.9 | 77.9 | 4.0 | 10.3 | 85.7 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 89.7 | 92 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 95.1 | 803 | 4.9 |
| Province 3 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 82.1 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 89.3 | 5.6 | 12.5 | 81.9 | 263 | 3.6 | 5.9 | 90.5 | 759 | 9.5 |
| Gandaki Province | 13.0 | 19.6 | 67.4 | 9.2 | 15.7 | 75.1 | 12.0 | 18.0 | 70.0 | 208 | 3.6 | 7.0 | 89.4 | 793 | 10.6 |
| Province 5 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 74.4 | 9.1 | 4.4 | 86.5 | 10.1 | 7.7 | 82.2 | 169 | 4.0 | 7.3 | 88.6 | 797 | 11.4 |
| Karnali Province | 19.8 | 18.8 | 61.4 | 9.0 | 12.5 | 78.5 | 13.9 | 16.4 | 69.7 | 163 | 6.4 | 17.4 | 76.2 | 808 | 23.8 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 18.7 | 17.9 | 63.5 | 6.3 | 8.8 | 84.9 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 82.1 | 215 | 2.2 | 11.8 | 86.0 | 829 | 14.0 |


| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None/less than primary | 17.0 | 11.9 | 71.1 | 8.9 | 10.2 | 81.0 | 9.914 | 10.69 | 79.39 | 692 | 3.2 | 7.0 | 89.8 | 2792 | 10.3 |
| Primary | 10.8 | 16.8 | 72.4 | 6.3 | 9.4 | 84.3 | 6.9 | 11.3 | 81.9 | 258 | 3.0 | 8.8 | 88.2 | 1051 | 11.8 |
| Secondary | 14.5 | 10.1 | 75.4 | 3.9 | 10.4 | 85.7 | 6.8 | 9.2 | 84.0 | 246 | 2.0 | 8.7 | 89.3 | 1088 | 10.7 |
| More than secondary | 4.6 | 18.7 | 76.7 | 1.5 | 10.3 | 88.2 | 5.2 | 17.6 | 77.2 | 148 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 92.5 | 661 | 7.5 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 15.5 | 11.5 | 73.1 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 84.6 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 82.3 | 439 | 3.3 | 7.7 | 89.0 | 1653 | 11.0 |
| Second | 16.2 | 20.7 | 63.0 | 8.5 | 13.6 | 77.9 | 11.4 | 19.9 | 68.7 | 259 | 3.6 | 8.9 | 87.5 | 1062 | 12.5 |
| Middle | 11.5 | 12.5 | 76.0 | 5.2 | 10.1 | 84.7 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 86.8 | 228 | 3.3 | 10.6 | 86.1 | 949 | 14.0 |
| Fourth | 16.5 | 13.9 | 69.6 | 4.0 | 12.4 | 83.6 | 9.1 | 5.7 | 85.2 | 171 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 93.6 | 878 | 6.4 |
| Highest | 8.2 | 8.4 | 83.4 | 5.3 | 7.6 | 87.2 | 4.3 | 15.4 | 80.3 | 248 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 92.6 | 1051 | 7.4 |
| Total (15-39) | 11.87 | 12.72 | 75.41 | 3.624 | 9.733 | 86.64 | 6.405 | 10.7 | 82.9 | 593 | 2.1 | 7.4 | 90.5 | 2930 | 9.5 |
| Total (40-69) | 16.24 | 14.09 | 69.66 | 10.17 | 10.58 | 79.26 | 10.27 | 12.08 | 77.65 | 752 | 3.8 | 8.0 | 88.3 | 2663 | 11.7 |
| Total 15-69 | 13.6 | 13.3 | 73.1 | 6.3 | 10.1 | 83.7 | 8.0 | 11.3 | 80.8 | 1345 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 89.7 | 5593 | 10.3 |


| Table 5.6 Ease of access to alcohol : all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Access to obtain alcohol |  |  | Percentage of participants, 18 year or younger who were refused alcoholic beverages due to their age |  | Alcohol Less affordable than before |  |  |  |
|  | Very Easy/ Easy | Difficult/Very Difficult | No of person | Yes | No of person | No | Yes | No of person | Total \% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 86.1 | 13.9 | 115 | 3.3 | 356 | 66.5 | 33.5 | 115 | 100 |
| 25-39 | 87.8 | 12.3 | 527 | 1.6 | 1068 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 525 | 100 |
| 40-54 | 89.8 | 10.3 | 513 | 1.1 | 851 | 72.9 | 27.2 | 514 | 100 |
| 55-69 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 363 | 2.3 | 581 | 78.7 | 21.3 | 362 | 100 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 88.4 | 11.6 | 985 | 2.9 | 1321 | 71.1 | 28.9 | 984 | 100 |
| Women | 87.7 | 12.4 | 533 | 0.7 | 1535 | 75.2 | 24.8 | 528.0 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/sub metropolitan | 87.5 | 12.5 | 186 | 0.5 | 378 | 82.5 | 17.5 | 186 | 100 |
| Municipality | 90.3 | 9.7 | 711 | 3.2 | 1388 | 74.0 | 26.0 | 706 | 100 |
| Rural Municipality | 85.2 | 14.9 | 621 | 0.6 | 1090 | 67.6 | 32.4 | 620 | 100 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 90.8 | 9.2 | 262 | 0.4 | 420 | 74.7 | 25.3 | 260 | 100 |
| Province 2 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 104 | 1.4 | 489 | 84.5 | 15.5 | 104 | 100 |
| Province 3 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 286 | 3.9 | 449 | 76.6 | 23.5 | 285 | 100 |
| Gandaki | 83.8 | 16.2 | 235 | 1.2 | 417 | 65.1 | 34.9 | 236 | 100 |
| Province 5 | 89.6 | 10.4 | 199 | 1.3 | 326 | 69.4 | 30.6 | 198 | 100 |
| Karnali Pr | 80.8 | 19.2 | 196 | 1.7 | 355 | 59.5 | 40.5 | 196 | 100 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 84.3 | 15.7 | 236 | 3.8 | 400 | 67.3 | 32.7 | 233 | 100 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 86.2 | 13.8 | 772 | 1.6 | 1417 | 72.1 | 27.9 | 771 | 100 |
| Primary | 87.5 | 12.5 | 296 | 1.8 | 504 | 67.3 | 32.7 | 292 | 100 |
| Secondary | 90.0 | 10.0 | 275 | 2.4 | 559 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 275 | 100 |
| More than secondary | 93.6 | 6.4 | 174 | 2.2 | 375 | 75.1 | 24.9 | 173 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 82.9 | 17.1 | 484 | 0.8 | 798 | 68.4 | 31.6 | 481 | 100 |
| Second | 87.6 | 12.4 | 293 | 2.2 | 491 | 69.5 | 30.5 | 293 | 100 |
| Middle | 91.5 | 8.5 | 260 | 0.9 | 469 | 78.2 | 21.8 | 256 | 100 |
| Fourth | 85.8 | 14.2 | 203 | 2.0 | 473 | 65.3 | 34.7 | 203 | 100 |
| Highest | 94.9 | 5.1 | 278 | 3.5 | 625 | 80.4 | 19.6 | 279 | 100 |
| Total (15-39) | 87.3 | 12.7 | 642 | 2.18 | 1424 | 70.04 | 29.96 | 636 | 100 |
| Total (40-69) | 89.6 | 10.4 | 876 | 1.6 | 1432 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 876 | 100.0 |
| Total 15-69 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 1518 | 2.0 | 2856 | 72.1 | 27.9 | 1512.0 |  |

Table 5.7 Percentage of participants exposed to advertisements/signs promoting alcohol, other alcohol promotions and antialcohol messages in any of the media: all participants
Percentage of people age 15-69 who reported exposure to advertisements and marketing of alcohol ; by background characteristics,
[Nepal, 2019]

|  | Noticed any <br> advertisements/signs <br> promoting alcohol in any <br> of the media | Notices advertisements <br> or offered free/discounted <br> alcohol during events | Saw/heard a message <br> that discouraged to drink <br> alcohol in any of media <br> channels | Total person |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Residence

| Metropolitan/sub    <br> metropolitan 15.5 31.5 35.0 <br> Municipality 20.6 21.7 48.4 <br> Rural Municipality 16.6 20.5 50.3 22755 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Province

| Province 1 | 18.9 | 27.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Province 2 | 9.8 | 11.1 |
| Province 3 | 25.2 | 30.0 |
| Gandaki Province | 14.4 | 18.4 |
| Province 5 | 21.1 | 16.8 |
| Karnali Province | 19.5 | 20.5 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 23.8 | 32.5 |

## Education

| None/less than primary | 10.3 | 15.54 | 36.2 | 2792 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 21.2 | 25.16 | 54.7 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 21.9 | 27.76 | 51.7 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 29.0 | 23.19 | 63.0 | 661 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth quintile | 10.8 | 20.1 | 35.6 | 1653 |
| Lowest | 12.5 | 26.7 | 48.2 | 1062 |
| Second | 19.5 | 16.01 | 49.6 | 949 |
| Middle | 15.9 | 15.91 | 46.8 | 878 |
| Fourth | 32.7 | 31.1 | 59.4 | 1051 |

Total (15-39)
Total (40-69)

| Total 15-69 | 18.7 | 21.9 | 47.9 | 5593 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Table 5.8 drink driving and implementation of countermeasures : all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of people age 15-69 who reported exposure to drink driving or exposed to countermeasures taken to discourage drink driving ; by background characteristics, [Nepal, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Last 30 days, drove a vehicle after intake or under the influence of alcohol* | Number of participants | Last 12 months, stopped/ checked by traffic police for drunk driving | Number of participants | Past 30 days, rode in a motorized vehicle where the driver had had 2 or more alcoholic drinks | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 19.9 | 57 | 4.7 | 286 | 10.6 | 230 |
| 25-39 | 20.1 | 246 | 4.6 | 700 | 9.9 | 596 |
| 40-54 | 12.6 | 181 | 2.2 | 475 | 9.2 | 448 |
| 55-69 | 0.9 | 82 | 1.3 | 262 | 2.1 | 318 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 19.1 | 443 | 5.8 | 879 | 13.8 | 600 |
| Women | 1.7 | 123 | 0.7 | 844 | 4.3 | 992 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/sub metropolitan | 9.9 | 99 | 1.8 | 297 | 8.6 | 173 |
| Municipality | 16.4 | 277 | 5.4 | 851 | 6.6 | 808 |
| Rural Municipality | 20.5 | 190 | 2.5 | 575 | 13.2 | 611 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 9.7 | 79 | 4.3 | 224 | 4.1 | 218 |
| Province 2 | 17.0 | 66 | 2.2 | 378 | 11.7 | 294 |
| Province 3 | 9.7 | 132 | 5.4 | 287 | 4.9 | 186 |
| Gandaki Province | 20.2 | 95 | 4.8 | 225 | 24.7 | 243 |
| Province 5 | 34.0 | 49 | 5.2 | 190 | 10.9 | 175 |
| Karnali Province | 29.0 | 50 | 4.6 | 153 | 6.7 | 217 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 12.7 | 95 | 2.3 | 266 | 3.2 | 259 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 10.9 | 199 | 2.6 | 665 | 7.8 | 815 |
| Primary | 21.9 | 108 | 2.8 | 318 | 9.5 | 295 |
| Secondary | 19.2 | 160 | 5.1 | 415 | 8.2 | 295 |
| More than secondary | 18.1 | 99 | 4.9 | 325 | 11.8 | 187 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 11.7 | 91 | 4.3 | 291 | 5.2 | 400 |
| Second | 21.2 | 87 | 0.3 | 226 | 3.8 | 294 |
| Middle | 22.1 | 101 | 2.4 | 301 | 15.4 | 295 |
| Fourth | 7.8 | 100 | 4.7 | 357 | 13.9 | 284 |
| Highest | 21.9 | 187 | 5.4 | 548 | 6.1 | 319 |
| Total (15-39) | 20.0 | 303 | 4.7 | 986 | 10.2 | 826 |
| Total (40-69) | 9.1 | 263 | 1.9 | 737 | 6.4 | 766 |
| Total 15-69 | 17.2 | 566 | 3.9 | 1723 | 8.9 | 1592 |

## Chapter 6

## DIET

## Key Findings

- Consumption of fruits and vegetables and knowledge:
o Average servings of fruits and vegetables consumed per day: 2.0 servings ( 0.5 servings of fruit and 1.5 servings of vegetables per day).
o Prevalence of insufficient fruits and vegetables intake (< 5 servings $\sim 400$ gms a day):96.7\% in adults ( $96.3 \%$ women, $97.0 \%$ men).
- Knowledge on recommended intake for fruits and vegetables:
o Knowledge on recommended intake: Only $10.1 \%$ of adults reported the correct servings for recommended fruits and vegetables intake per day ( $10.4 \%$ women; $9.8 \%$ men).
- Fats and oils used for cooking:
o Cooking oil/fats: Refined vegetable oil (51.4\%) and mustard oil (43.8\%) are the most commonly used cooking oil for food preparation.

An unhealthy diet is one of the 5 main risk factors for NCDs and the promotion of a healthy diet is one of the recommended components for policies and programs in the Global Action Plan against NCDs ${ }^{1}$. WHO recommends mean population intake of least 5 servings ( 400 g ) of fruits and vegetables as part of a healthy balanced diet which provides a rich mix of nutrients and bioactive substances for the prevention of diet-related non-communicable diseases ${ }^{2}$.

This chapter summarizes average fruits and vegetables consumption levels to reflect national average intake as well as population knowledge on dietary recommendations on servings of fruits and vegetables to be consumed. Additionally, information on oils and fats used for meal preparation and average number of meals per day eaten that were not prepared at home were also summarized. The indicators presented will help Nepal assess current trends in dietary patterns and guide policy and programs targeting the improvement of population dietary intake. Salt intake is summarized in Chapter 7.

## Current relevant policies and programs in Nepal for diet:

There are no any specific policy guideline focused on dietary behaviours and practices to reduce risks factors for non-communicable disease. Multi-sector nutrition plan (2018-2022) mainly emphasizes on improved maternal, adolescent and child nutrition by scaling up essential nutrition-specific and sensitive interventions and creating an enabling environment for nutrition ${ }^{3}$. However, National Nutrition Policy and Strategy, presents the dietary guidelines for life-style related diseases which mainly emphasizes on consuming a variety of foods including sufficient fruits and vegetables, sufficient grains/cereals, eat more fiber, consume calciumrich foods and protein-rich foods in the diet, drink sufficient and clean fluids, restrict the use of fats and oils and be selective about the types of fats used, use less salt and eat less salty foods, cut down on sugar, and on drinks and foods that contain sugar, maintain a healthy body weight, encourage physical activity and exercise

[^23]and suggest its minimum duration, control alcohol intake and stop or avoid tobacco use. The ultimate goal of National Nutrition Policy and Strategy is achieving nutritional wellbeing of all people in Nepal so that they can maintain a healthy life and contribute to the socio-economic development of the country ${ }^{4}$.

### 6.1 Consumption of fruits and vegetables

Information on consumption levels of fruits and vegetables amongst adults was elicited by asking number of days fruits and vegetables are consumed and usual number of servings consumed each of these days.

Average daily consumption of fruits and vegetables was 2.0 servings amongst adults. Average daily fruit consumption was 0.5 servings compared with average daily vegetable consumption of 1.5 servings. The prevalence of inadequate intake of fruits and vegetables per day (i.e. less than 5 servings a day) was $96.7 \%$ (Table 6.1 and Table 6.2).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 6.1 and Table 6.2):

- Adults aged 15-69 years who had more than secondary level education, and higher household wealth had significantly higher mean intake of fruits and vegetable intakes (Figure 6.1 and Figure 6.2).

Figure 6.1 Differentials in mean fruit and vegetable intake per day amongst adults aged 15-69 by education, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 6.2 Differentials in mean fruit and vegetable intake amongst adults aged 15-69 by wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


- Inadequate intake of fruits and vegetable was largely prevalent in Nepal amongst all adults.
- A lower prevalence of inadequate intake of fruits and vegetables was seen amongst adults who live in metropolitan/sub-metropolitan areas, those with higher level of education and household wealth (Figure 6.3).

[^24]Figure 6.3 Differentials in prevalence of inadequate fruits and vegetables intake amongst adults aged 15-69 by residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Trends between $20133^{5}$ and 2019 Survey: In comparison to STEPS survey 2013, average daily servings of fruits and vegetables have increased from 1.8 servings in 2013 to 2.0 servings in 2019. This is reflected in the slight reduction in prevalence of inadequate fruits and vegetables intake ( $98.9 \%$ to $96.7 \%$ ).

### 6.2 Knowledge on recommended fruits and vegetable intakes (Table 6.3)

Only $10.1 \%$ of adults reported the correct amount of servings for recommended intake of fruits and vegetables. This question is included in the Nepal survey for the first time.

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 6.3):

- Higher percentage (16.7\%) of adults from metropolitan/sub metropolitan were aware of WHO recommendations on fruits and vegetables compared to residents of municipalities (9.2\%) or rural municipalities (9.8\%) .
- With increasing level of education awareness about recommendations on fruits and vegetables intake increased (Figure 6.4). Similarly, adults whose household wealth was above the middle quintile were more aware than those of lower quintiles (Table 6.3).


### 6.3 Fats and oils used for cooking

Most commonly used cooking oil for food preparation is refined vegetable oil (51.4\%) and mustard oil (43.8\%) (Table 6.4).

## Patterns by background characteristics

 (Table 6.4):Figure 6.4 Awareness about recommendations on fruits and vegetables intake amongst adults aged 15-69 by education, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


- Refined vegetable oil was more commonly used amongst older adults, metropolitan residents, those with higher education and household wealth.
- Use of mustard oil was more common amongst the rural municipality residents and those with lower education levels.

[^25]
## Trends between $2013{ }^{5}$ and 2019 survey:

- A drastic increase in the use of refined vegetable oil is seen between 2013 and 2019 ( $18.1 \%$ to $51.4 \%$ ), while mustard oil use has decreased from $79.1 \%$ to $43.8 \%$.


## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on diet, see the following tables:
Table 6.1 Mean Servings of fruit and vegetable consumption
Table 6.2 Prevalence of adequate consumption of fruits and vegetable
Table 6.3 Knowledge on adequate fruits and vegetable recommendations
Table 6.4 Types of oil or fat most often used for meal preparation

| Table 6.1 Mean Servings of fruit and vegetable consumption: Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean number of servings of fruit and vegetable intake per day of adults aged 15-69, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean servings of fruit intake per day: |  |  |  | Mean servings of vegetable intake per day: |  |  |  | Mean servings of fruit and vegetable intake per day*: |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Mean |  |  | Number of adults | Mean |  |  | Number of adults | Mean |  |  | Number of adults |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 807 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 834 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 840 |
| 25-39 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1998 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2071 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2078 |
| 40-54 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1489 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1565 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1567 |
| 55-69 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1025 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1077 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1082 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 3424 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 3564 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 3578 |
| Men | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1895 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1983 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1989 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 692 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 702 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 704 |
| Municipality | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 2615 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2724 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2734 |
| Rural Municipality | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 2012 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2121 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2129 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 773 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 802 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 802 |
| Province 2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 728 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 792 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 792 |
| Province 3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 724 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 756 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 772 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 790 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 791 |
| Province 5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 759 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 790 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 792 |
| Karnali Province | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 776 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 802 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 806 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 787 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 815 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 825 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 2621 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2756 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2772 |
| Primary | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 999 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1049 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1049 |
| Secondary | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1055 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1081 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1084 |
| More than secondary | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 643 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 660 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1540 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1632 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1641 |
| Second | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1006 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1051 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1054 |
| Middle | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 911 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 943 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 947 |
| Fourth | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 840 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 875 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 876 |
| Highest | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1022 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1046 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1049 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1410 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1454 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1462 |
| 30-44 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1939 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2023 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2029 |
| 45-69 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1970 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2070 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2076 |
| Total (15-39) | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2805 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2905 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2918 |
| Total (40-69) | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2514 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2642 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2649 |
| Total (15-69) | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5319 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 5547 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 5567 |

[^26]| Table 6.2 Prevalence of adequate consumption of fruits and vegetable* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of adults aged 15-69 who reports adequate consumption of fruits and vegetables, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Total |  |  | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} <5 \text { servings/ } \\ \text { day } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >=5 \text { servings/ } \\ \text { day } \end{gathered}$ | Total Number (N) | $\begin{gathered} <5 \text { servings/ } \\ \text { day } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >=5 \text { servings } / \\ \text { day } \end{gathered}$ | Total Number (N) | $\begin{gathered} <5 \text { servings/ } \\ \text { day } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >=5 \text { servings/ } \\ \text { day } \end{gathered}$ | Total Number (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 840 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 273 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 273 |
| 25-39 | 96.6 | 3.4 | 2078 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 611 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 611 |
| 40-54 | 97.3 | 2.7 | 1567 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 608 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 608 |
| 55-69 | 97.3 | 2.7 | 1082 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 497 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 497 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 87.8 | 12.3 | 704 | 91.0 | 9.0 | 275 | 84.5 | 15.5 | 429 |
| Municipality | 96.9 | 3.1 | 2734 | 97.1 | 2.9 | 958 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 1776 |
| Rural Municipality | 98.4 | 1.6 | 2129 | 98.5 | 1.5 | 756 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 1776 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 802 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 285 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 517 |
| Province 2 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 792 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 348 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 444 |
| Province 3 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 759 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 302 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 457 |
| Gandaki Province | 99.0 | 1.0 | 791 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 266 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 525 |
| Province 5 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 792 | 95.8 | 4.2 | 266 | 95.8 | 4.2 | 526 |
| Karnali Province | 96.9 | 3.2 | 806 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 260 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 546 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 98.8 | 1.2 | 825 | 98.5 | 1.6 | 262 | 98.5 | 1.6 | 563 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 98.1 | 1.9 | 2772 | 97.4 | 2.6 | 786 | 98.5 | 1.5 | 1986 |
| Primary | 96.8 | 3.2 | 1049 | 97.7 | 2.3 | 424 | 95.9 | 4.2 | 625 |
| Secondary | 97.6 | 2.4 | 1084 | 98.0 | 2.1 | 463 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 621 |
| More than secondary | 91.2 | 8.8 | 661 | 93.7 | 6.3 | 316 | 88.6 | 11.4 | 345 |


Table 6.3 Knowledge on adequate fruits and vegetable recommendations

| Background characteristic | Total |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | incorrect <br> (<5 <br> servings/ <br> day) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Correct } \\ (>=5 \\ \text { servings/ } \\ \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | Don't know | Total <br> Number (N) | Incorrect (<5 servings/ day) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Correct } \\ (>=5 \\ \text { servings/ } \\ \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | Don't know | Total <br> Number (N) | Incorrect (<5 servings/ day) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Correct (>= } \\ & 5 \text { servings/ } \\ & \text { day) } \end{aligned}$ | Don't know | 57.078 mm |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 53.9 | 10.1 | 36.0 | 843 | 50.9 | 10.1 | 39.0 | 275 | 56.7 | 10.1 | 33.2 | 568 |
| 25-39 | 48.3 | 10.8 | 40.9 | 2087 | 52.6 | 10.0 | 37.4 | 615 | 44.7 | 11.5 | 43.8 | 1472 |
| 40-54 | 43.1 | 9.5 | 47.4 | 1574 | 43.4 | 10.6 | 46.0 | 609 | 42.8 | 8.5 | 48.7 | 965 |
| 55-69 | 40.3 | 9.1 | 50.6 | 1089 | 45.9 | 8.0 | 46.1 | 499 | 34.6 | 10.3 | 55.1 | 590 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 54.1 | 16.7 | 29.2 | 705 | 55.9 | 13.7 | 30.4 | 276 | 52.4 | 19.6 | 28.0 | 429 |
| Municipality | 50.6 | 9.2 | 40.2 | 2755 | 51.1 | 9.8 | 39.1 | 964 | 50.1 | 8.7 | 41.2 | 1791 |
| Rural Municipality | 41.8 | 9.8 | 48.4 | 2133 | 44.8 | 8.9 | 46.3 | 758 | 39.2 | 10.7 | 50.2 | 1375 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 41.3 | 2.6 | 56.1 | 804 | 40.2 | 2.9 | 56.9 | 285 | 42.3 | 2.3 | 55.4 | 519 |
| Province 2 | 45.0 | 12.3 | 42.7 | 803 | 48.9 | 12.1 | 39.0 | 353 | 41.0 | 12.4 | 46.6 | 450 |
| Province 3 | 58.3 | 4.1 | 37.6 | 759 | 60.6 | 4.8 | 34.7 | 302 | 56.0 | 3.5 | 40.5 | 457 |
| Gandaki Province | 51.0 | 17.0 | 32.0 | 793 | 50.5 | 18.2 | 31.3 | 267 | 51.4 | 15.9 | 32.6 | 526 |
| Province 5 | 42.6 | 13.3 | 44.1 | 797 | 43.4 | 12.6 | 44.0 | 268 | 42.0 | 13.9 | 44.1 | 529 |
| Karnali Province | 51.0 | 14.6 | 34.4 | 808 | 57.1 | 10.8 | 32.1 | 261 | 45.9 | 17.7 | 36.4 | 547 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 52.1 | 14.0 | 33.8 | 829 | 53.1 | 13.8 | 33.1 | 262 | 51.4 | 14.2 | 34.4 | 567 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 40.5 | 10.1 | 49.3 | 2792 | 44.2 | 11.9 | 43.9 | 792 | 38.3 | 9.1 | 52.6 | 2000 |
| Primary | 47.3 | 6.8 | 46.0 | 1051 | 43.8 | 6.3 | 49.9 | 424 | 50.6 | 7.3 | 42.1 | 627 |
| Secondary | 52.0 | 9.8 | 38.1 | 1088 | 51.9 | 9.9 | 38.2 | 466 | 52.1 | 9.8 | 38.1 | 622 |
| More than secondary | 59.3 | 14.9 | 25.8 | 661 | 61.3 | 10.3 | 28.4 | 316 | 57.4 | 19.5 | 23.1 | 345 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |


| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lowest | 40.4 | 5.9 | 53.7 | 1653 | 41.8 | 4.4 | 53.8 | 504 | 39.5 | 7.0 | 53.6 | 1149 |
| Second | 42.2 | 8.7 | 49.1 | 1062 | 40.5 | 10.8 | 48.7 | 366 | 43.5 | 7.1 | 49.5 | 696 |
| Middle | 48.0 | 11.3 | 40.7 | 949 | 47.4 | 10.6 | 42.0 | 345 | 48.5 | 11.9 | 39.5 | 604 |
| Fourth | 50.7 | 12.7 | 36.6 | 878 | 53.9 | 11.7 | 34.4 | 338 | 47.0 | 13.8 | 39.1 | 540 |
| Highest | 57.0 | 11.9 | 31.1 | 1051 | 59.0 | 10.6 | 30.4 | 445 | 54.7 | 13.4 | 31.9 | 606 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 50.6 | 10.5 | 38.9 | 1466 | 52.7 | 9.5 | 37.7 | 450 | 53.4 | 11.1 | 35.5 | 1016 |
| 30-44 | 50.6 | 10.5 | 38.9 | 2039 | 48.7 | 11.2 | 40.1 | 636 | 40.1 | 10.2 | 49.7 | 1403 |
| 45-69 | 50.6 | 10.5 | 38.9 | 2088 | 44.3 | 9.0 | 46.7 | 912 | 40.3 | 9.2 | 50.5 | 1176 |
| Total (15-39) | 50.6 | 10.5 | 38.9 | 2930 | 51.9 | 10.0 | 38.1 | 890 | 49.5 | 10.9 | 39.6 | 2040 |
| Total (40-69) | 42.0 | 9.4 | 48.7 | 2663 | 44.4 | 9.5 | 46.0 | 1108 | 39.7 | 9.2 | 51.1 | 1555 |
| Total (15-69) | 47.6 | 10.1 | 42.2 | 5593 | 49.3 | 9.8 | 40.9 | 1998 | 46.2 | 10.4 | 43.4 | 3595 |


| Table 6.4 Types of oil or fat most often used for meal preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of adults (15-69) who responded to using different types of oils/fat for meal preparation, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent of adults who responded to using different types of oils/fat for meal preparation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Mustard oil | Refined vegetable oil | lard / suet | butter ghee | Vanaspati ghee | others/ none particular/ not used | Total (\%) | Total Number (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 48.3 | 46.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 839 |
| 25-39 | 41.0 | 54.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 44.5 | 50.9 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 1570 |
| 55-69 | 41.8 | 53.9 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1088 |

## Residence

| Metropolitan/ <br> submetropolitan | 25.5 | 65.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 703 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Municipality | 44.6 | 51.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 2751 |
| Rural Municipality | 46.9 | 47.5 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 2130 |

## Province

| Province 1 | 31.3 | 66.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 803 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Province 2 | 48.5 | 46.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 801 |
| Province 3 | 28.7 | 68.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 758 |
| Gandaki Province | 32.9 | 63.3 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 57.8 | 38.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 40.0 | 52.7 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 807 |
| Sudoorpashchim <br> Province | 61.1 | 27.6 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 825 |

## Education

| No education | 47.6 | 46.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 2788 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Primary | 40.8 | 53.7 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 1050 |
| Secondary | 43.6 | 53.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 1084 |
| More than secondary | 38.1 | 59.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 661 |

## Wealth quintile

| Lowest | 42.5 | 48.7 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 1650 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Second | 41.0 | 51.9 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 1060 |
| Middle | 52.1 | 45.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 947 |
| Fourth | 41.4 | 54.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 877 |
| Highest | 41.9 | 56.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1050 |

Age (previous, 2013)

| $15-29$ | 45.8 | 49.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 1462 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $30-44$ | 41.4 | 53.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 2036 |
| $45-69$ | 42.9 | 53.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 2086 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (15-39) | 44.0 | 51.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 2926 |
| Total (40-69) | 43.4 | 52.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 2658 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Total (15-69) | $\mathbf{4 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 8 4}$ |  |  |

## Chapter 7

## DIETARY SALT

## Key Findings

- Estimated salt intake
o Estimated average population salt intake based on spot urine testing is 9.1 grams per day $(8.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ women, $9.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ men)
- Behaviors around dietary salt intake
o Adding salt to foods while eating: $5.6 \%$ of adults ( $6.5 \%$ of women, $4.6 \%$ of men) reported adding salt often or always to food right before or while eating.
o Adding salty sauces to foods while eating: $4.5 \%$ of adults ( $2.9 \%$ of women, $6.3 \%$ of men) reported adding salty sauce often or always to food right before or while eating.
o Consumption of processed foods: $19.5 \%$ of adults ( $18.1 \%$ of women, $21.1 \%$ of men) reported consuming processed foods often or always that are high in salt.
- Perceptions about levels of salt intake
o Perception of salt intake: 74.9\% of adults perceived their salt intake to be "just right" and only $10.6 \%$ of adults perceived it to be' far too much or too much'.
o Importance of salt reduction: $79.5 \%$ of adults ( $78.1 \%$ of women, $81.0 \%$ of men) think that lowering salt is very important or somewhat important.
- Knowledge on salt intake, recommendations and health consequences
o Knowledge on recommended intake: $61.6 \%$ of adults ( $61.5 \%$ of women, $62.3 \%$ of men) had incorrect knowledge on or did not know of the maximum amount of salt recommended for optimum health.
o Knowledge on health consequences: $70.9 \%$ of adults ( $65.3 \%$ of women, $77.1 \%$ of men) correctly identified the health consequences related to excessive salt or salty sauce intake.
- Practices and methods to reduce salt intake
o $2.6 \%$ of adults $(2.2 \%$ of women, $3.0 \%$ of men) reported currently doing something to reduce salt intake. Methods to reduce salt intake were avoiding or minimizing consumption of processed foods; eating meals without adding extra salt at the table; avoid eating foods prepared outside of home.
o Excessive salt intake is a major risk factor for hypertension, which is a major cause of premature deaths worldwide. WHO recommends consuming less than 2 grams of sodium or 5 grams of salt per day amongst adults to reduce blood pressure and the risk of cardiovascular disease, stroke and coronary heart disease ${ }^{1}$. Policies to reduce salt intake (food product reformulation; establishing supportive environment in public institutions; communication and mass media campaigns; front-of-pack labelling) at population-level are one of the most cost-effective interventions or 'best buys' to prevent and control non-communicable diseases ${ }^{2}$.
A 30\% relative reduction in mean population intake of salt/sodium by 2025 relative to 2010 levels is one of the nine voluntary global targets set under WHO global action plan ${ }^{3}$. Nepal has also incorporated it as one of the key targets in its 5-year multisectoral action plan for 2014-2020 ${ }^{4}$.

[^27]This chapter focuses on indicators related to dietary sodium intake by estimating average population 24 -hour salt intake based on spot urine sodium and creatinine levels and assessing the knowledge, behaviours, perceptions and practice around salt intake. This information will help Nepal to assess trends and progresses towards salt intake targets specified in its multisectoral action plan as well as inform current policies and programs in place to reduce population salt-intake. These will also guide future policy and programs to reduce salt intake at population level.

## Current relevant policies and programs in Nepal for control of salt intake ${ }^{4}$ :

There are no specific relevant policies for control of salt intake except stated above in Multisectoral action plan (2014-20)4.

### 7.1 Mean population 24-hour salt intake

Population mean salt intake can be assessed using 24-h urinary sodium excretion, however STEPS survey has, instead, adopted spot urine sodium as a proxy due to ease of collection of spot urine samples, lower cost and higher response rates vis-à-vis 24 -hour urine samples, in population-based household surveys. Three major studies have the estimation of 24-h urinary sodium excretion from spot urine samples: Kawasaki ${ }^{5}$, INTERSALT ${ }^{6}$ and Tanaka ${ }^{7}$ (Refer to section 2.6 under Survey Methodology).So far, there is no consensus on equation to be used in a given population/context. The estimation in this survey maintained the use of the same equation as in previous survey rounds to facilitate comparison of results and assessment of trends.

Using the INTERSALT Southern European equation, the mean population salt intake was estimated to be 9.1 g per day amongst all adults against the recommended maximum intake of 5 gm by WHO (Table 7.1). This is the first time that urinary sodium was measured in the Nepal STEPS Survey. Hence we cannot compare the change in consumption over years if any.

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 7.1):

- Estimated average salt intake was significantly higher amongst men $(9.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d})$ compared to women $(8.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d})$.
- Estimated average salt intake was the highest amongst age groups 25-39 and 40-54 compared to younger and older age groups. (Figure 7.1).
- No significant difference in estimated average salt intake was seen across place of residence, Province or household wealth.


### 7.2 Behaviours around dietary salt intake

Only $5.6 \%$ of adults reported adding salt often or always to foods while eating and $4.5 \%$ adults reported so for adding salty sauces (Table 7.2). Overall, $9.2 \%$ of adults reported often or always adding salt or salty sauces while eating (Table 7.2) .Hence, it can inference that most of the salt consumed was due to salt added at the time of cooking.
$19.5 \%$ of adults report often or always consuming processed foods high in salt (Table 7.4).

## Patterns for adding salt and salty sauces by background characteristic (Table 7.2):

Figure 7.1 Estimated average salt intake by age group in adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPs Survey 2019


- A higher percentage of women (6.5\%) reported adding

[^28]salt often or always to foods while eating compared to men (4.6\%). Though a reverse trend was seen for consumption of salty sauce.

- Salt was most frequently added to foods amongst adults aged 40-54, while salty sauces was most frequently added to foods amongst younger adults (Figure7.2).
- Residence of rural municipalities and people from lower education levels reported adding salt more frequently compared to those from metros/ municipalities or more educated (Figure 7.3).
- Adults who were more educated and wealthier were more likely to add salty sauces to foods while eating compared to their counterparts (Figure 7.3).
- Sudoorpaschim Province reported the highest percentage of adults who reported often or always adding salt to foods (10.1\%), and lowest Province 3 (2.1\%) and Karnali Province ( $6.5 \%$ ) reported highest percentage of adults who add salty sauces often or always to foods (Table 7.2).


## Patterns for consumption of processed foods by background characteristic (Table 7.4):

- Younger adults, who are more educated, wealthier and live in rural municipalities consumed processed foods more frequently than others (Figure 7.4).
- Household wealth is differentially associated with frequency of processed food consumption across levels of wealth quintile.
- Frequent consumption of processed foods is most common in Province $5(25.6 \%)$ and the least common in Sudoorpashchim Province (13.7\%).

Figure 7.4 Differentials in percent of adults aged 15-69 reporting often or always consuming processed foods high in salt by age, residence and education, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## Trends between $2013{ }^{8}$ and 2019 survey:

The percentage of adults who reported adding salt to food often or always while eating had slightly increased from 2013 ( $4.7 \%$ in 2013 vs $5.6 \%$ in 2019). Information on salty sauces was not obtained in last survey round. Frequent consumption of processed foods high in salt has substantially increased ( $11.5 \%$ in 2013 vs $19.5 \%$ in 2019). This increase nearly doubled for younger adults aged $15-29$ ( $16.3 \%$ in 2013 vs $30.3 \%$ in 2019) (Figure 7.5).

### 7.3. Perceptions about levels of salt intake

In contrast to relatively high estimated population mean salt intake reported earlier, majority of adults ( $74.9 \%$ ) think they consume 'just the right amount of salt', with only $10.6 \%$ reporting consuming 'far too much or too much' salt. Self-perceived intake of salty sauces is lower than salt as only $2.4 \%$ of adults think they consume 'far too much or too much' salty sauces and $39.8 \%$ of adults think it is 'just right'(Table 7.3). Meanwhile, when asked about the importance of lowering dietary salt, $79.5 \%$ of adults find it's very important or somewhat important' (Table 7.5).

Patterns by background characteristics (Table 7.3 and Table 7.5):

- Perception of salt or salty sauce intake to be 'just right' was highest amongst adults aged 15-24.

Figure 7.5 Trend in frequency of processed foods consumption by age group, Nepal STEPS Survey 2013 and 2019


- The percentage of men who perceived their salt or salty sauce intake too be 'just right' was higher than women.
- Province 1 and Province 3 had the highest percentage of adults who perceived their salt or salty sauce intake to be 'just right'.
- Notable variability is seen across education levels and household wealth for perceived salt or salty sauce intakes.
- Younger adults, who are more educated, wealthier and reside in Metropolitan/sub-metropolitan areas think that lowering salt intake is 'very important or somewhat important' (Figure 7.6).
- The highest percentage of adults who think lowering salt is important was in Province 2 and the lowest percentage was in Province 1.

[^29]Figure 7.6 Differentials in percent of adults aged 15-69 who think lowering salt is important by age group, residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPs Survey 2019


## Trends between $2013{ }^{9}$ and 2019 survey:

Perception of salt intake is similar between 2013 and 2019 where most adults perceive their salt intake to be 'just right' ( $78.5 \%$ in 2013 vs $74.9 \%$ in 2019). However, more adults perceive their salt intake to be 'too little or far too little' ( $10.5 \%$ in 2013 vs $13.5 \%$ in 2019). The proportion of adults who perceive salt intake to be 'very important or somewhat important' slightly increased ( $77.6 \%$ in 2013 vs $79.5 \%$ in 2019). This increased awareness is mostly seen amongst adults aged 15-29 (79.9\% in 2013 vs $83.4 \%$ in 2019) while minimal improvements are seen in other age groups (Figure

Figure 7.7 Trend in percent of adults aged 15-69 who think salt reduction is important by age group, Nepal STEPS Survey 2013 and 2019
 7.7).

### 7.4 Knowledge on salt intake, recommendations and health consequences

- Only $38.1 \%$ of adults correctly stated the maximum amount of salt recommended per day for optimum health (Table 7.5). Majority of adults (70.9\%) correctly identified health consequences related to excessive salt intake(Table 7.6).Overall less adults have knowledge on the recommended amount of salt intake for optimum health than knowledge on relevant health consequences.


## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 7.5 and Table 7.6):

- More men are aware of relevant health consequences due to excessive salt intake than women, but do not differ significantly in knowledge on recommended dietary salt intakes.
- Younger adults, who live in metropolitan/sub-metropolitan areas have more knowledge on recommended salt intakes and relevant health consequences than their counterparts.
- Sudoorpaschim Province had the highest percentage (47.0\%) of adults with correct knowledge on salt recommendations while the lowest was in Province 1 (31.4\%).
- Province 3 had the highest percentage of adults who correctly identified relevant health consequences while the lowest was in Karnali Province.
- Percent of adults with correct knowledge on dietary salt recommendations and relevant health consequences increased with increasing levels of education and household wealth (Figure 7.8 and Figure 7.9).

[^30]Figure 7.8 Differential in knowledge on dietary salt recommendations and relevant health consequences by education amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 7.9 Differentials in knowledge on dietary salt recommendations and relevant health consequences by wealth amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPs Survey 2019


### 7.5 Practices and methods to reduce salt intake

The percent of adults who are currently doing something to control salt intake is particularly low in Nepal ( $2.6 \%$ ) (Table 7.7). Amongst those, the most common methods for controlling salt intake was avoiding or minimizing consumption of processed foods ; eating meals without adding extra salt at the table; avoid eating foods prepared outside of home (Table 7.7).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 7.7):

- A higher proportion of older adults reported controlling salt intake than younger adults.
- More men reported controlling salt intake than women ( $3.0 \%$ men vs $2.2 \%$ women).
- Adults residing in Municipalities were mostly likely to control salt intake compared to other adults.
- The highest percentage of adults who are controlling salt intake was in Sudoorpashchim Province (6.1\%), and lowest was in Province 2 ( $0.1 \%$ ).
- Higher levels of education and household wealth are associated with higher percentage of adults who are currently doing something to control their salt intake (Figure 7.10).

Figure 7.10 Differentials in salt reducing behaviours by education and wealth amongst adults aged 1569, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on physical activity, see the following tables:
Table 7.1 Estimated average population salt intake
Table 7.2 Practice of adding salt and salty sauces to food while eating
Table 7.3 Perceived intake of salt and salty sauce
Table 7.4 Consumption of processed food high in salt
Table 7.5 Knowledge on salt intake and recommendations
Table 7.6 Knowledge on salt intake and health consequences
Table 7.7 Currently controlling salt intake and methods

| Table 7.1 Estimated average population salt intake |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated average population salt intake amongst adults aged 15-69 based on spot urinary sodium, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average daily salt intake (g/day) |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Mean |  |  | Number of participants (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 614 |
| 25-39 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 1617 |
| 40-54 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 1245 |
| 55-69 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 885 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 2761 |
| Men | 9.6 | 9.4 | 9.8 | 1600 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ sub-metropolitan | 9.1 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 557 |
| Municipality | 9.2 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 2196 |
| Rural Municipality | 9.1 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 1608 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 711 |
| Province 2 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 713 |
| Province 3 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 674 |
| Gandaki Province | 9.2 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 726 |
| Province 5 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 96 |
| Karnali Province | 9.5 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 717 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 9.1 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 724 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 9.0 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 2152 |
| Primary | 9.2 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 829 |
| Secondary | 9.3 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 858 |
| More than secondary | 9.1 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 522 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 9.2 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 1281 |
| Second | 9.2 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 831 |
| Middle | 9.0 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 749 |
| Fourth | 9.1 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 670 |
| Highest | 9.2 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 830 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 1,082 |
| 30-44 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 1,597 |
| 45-69 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 1682 |
| Total (15-39) | 9.2 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 2231 |
| Total (40-69) | 9.0 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 2,130 |
| Total (15-69) | 9.1 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 4361 |

*Estimations derived from INTERSALT Southern Europe equation:
Male: $\left(20.861+0.45 \times \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{l}\right)\right)-3.09 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{l}\right)+4.16 \times$ BMI $\left(\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{m}^{2}}\right)+0.22 \times$ Age $($ year $)$
Female: $\left(21.98+0.33 \times \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{l}}\right)\right)-2.44 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{l}}\right)+2.42 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+$
$2.34 \times$ Age $($ year $)-0.03 \times$ Age $^{2}($ year $)$

| Table 7.2 Practice of adding salt and salty sauces to food while eating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of adults aged 15-69 by frequency of adding salt or salty sauces to food while eating, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent of adults who add salt to food while eating |  |  | Number of participants (N) | Percent of adults who add salty sauces to food while eating |  |  | Number of participants <br> (N) | Percent of adults who always or often add either salt or salty sauces to food while eating |  |
| Background characteristic | Often / always | Some-times | Rarely / never |  | Often / always | Some-times | Rarely / never |  | Often / always | Number of participants (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 5.2 | 36.9 | 57.9 | 840 | 7.9 | 44.3 | 47.8 | 815 | 11.7 | 842 |
| 25-39 | 5.6 | 34.5 | 59.9 | 2084 | 4.3 | 31.9 | 63.8 | 2025 | 9.2 | 2086 |
| 40-54 | 6.6 | 30.4 | 63.0 | 1570 | 2.5 | 21.6 | 75.8 | 1509 | 8.3 | 1571 |
| 55-69 | 5.0 | 33.8 | 61.3 | 1086 | 1.3 | 20.5 | 78.3 | 1030 | 5.7 | 1087 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 6.5 | 33.7 | 59.8 | 3585 | 2.9 | 29.8 | 67.3 | 3450 | 8.7 | 3590 |
| Men | 4.6 | 34.8 | 60.6 | 1995 | 6.3 | 33.6 | 60.2 | 1929 | 9.8 | 1966 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 5.1 | 37.6 | 57.3 | 702 | 4.5 | 32.8 | 62.7 | 697 | 9.4 | 704 |
| Municipality | 5.0 | 35.4 | 59.5 | 2749 | 4.8 | 30.6 | 64.7 | 2629 | 9.0 | 2751 |
| Rural Municipality | 6.6 | 31.6 | 61.8 | 2129 | 4.1 | 32.8 | 63.1 | 2053 | 9.4 | 2131 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 7.7 | 35.2 | 57.1 | 803 | 4.6 | 40.6 | 54.8 | 784 | 11.2 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 3.7 | 36.6 | 59.7 | 802 | 2.0 | 26.9 | 71.1 | 793 | 5.2 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 2.1 | 25.4 | 72.5 | 756 | 6.2 | 31.2 | 62.5 | 750 | 7.9 | 758 |
| Gandaki Province | 5.4 | 31.8 | 62.9 | 793 | 4.7 | 28.2 | 67.0 | 769 | 8.8 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 5.5 | 35.3 | 59.2 | 797 | 4.7 | 27.7 | 67.7 | 776 | 9.1 | 797 |


| Karnali Province | 6.9 | 41.6 | 51.5 | 805 | 6.2 | 37.3 | 56.5 | 748 | 12.0 | 805 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 10.1 | 37.0 | 52.9 | 824 | 4.9 | 32.3 | 62.8 | 759 | 13.8 | 826 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.4 | 33.7 | 59.9 | 2782 | 2.1 | 26.0 | 71.9 | 2644 | 7.5 | 2785 |
| Primary | 6.2 | 36.5 | 57.3 | 1049 | 3.4 | 35.2 | 61.4 | 1014 | 9.3 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 4.6 | 36.6 | 58.8 | 1088 | 6.8 | 37.1 | 56.1 | 1065 | 10.5 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 4.4 | 28.7 | 66.9 | 660 | 8.3 | 32.2 | 59.5 | 655 | 11.3 | 611 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 5.7 | 37.4 | 56.9 | 1647 | 1.9 | 27.9 | 70.2 | 1514 | 6.8 | 1649 |
| Second | 8.7 | 34.8 | 56.5 | 1059 | 4.6 | 32.6 | 62.8 | 1013 | 11.7 | 1060 |
| Middle | 4.4 | 34.8 | 60.8 | 949 | 4.0 | 34.0 | 62.1 | 933 | 8.1 | 949 |
| Fourth | 5.4 | 30.5 | 64.2 | 875 | 5.6 | 30.7 | 63.7 | 871 | 10.1 | 877 |
| Highest | 4.0 | 33.5 | 62.5 | 1050 | 6.3 | 32.6 | 61.1 | 1048 | 9.4 | 1051 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 5.6 | 36.5 | 58.0 | 1461 | 6.9 | 41.0 | 52.1 | 1423 | 11.3 | 1464 |
| 30-44 | 5.1 | 32.3 | 62.7 | 2037 | 3.3 | 26.1 | 70.6 | 1962 | 7.8 | 2038 |
| 45-69 | 6.3 | 32.5 | 61.3 | 2082 | 1.6 | 21.4 | 77.0 | 1994 | 7.1 | 2084 |
| Total (15-39) | 5.4 | 35.5 | 59.1 | 2924 | 5.8 | 37.0 | 57.3 | 2840 | 10.2 | 2928 |
| Total (40-69) | 6.0 | 31.7 | 62.3 | 2656 | 2.0 | 21.2 | 76.8 | 2539 | 7.3 | 2658 |
| Total (15-69) | 5.6 | 34.2 | 60.1 | 5580 | 4.5 | 31.6 | 63.9 | 5379 | 9.2 | 5586 |


| Table 7.3 Perceived intake of salt and salty sauce. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of adults aged 15-69 who perceive their salt or salty sauce intake to be far too much/too much; just right; far too little/too little, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Perceived salt intake |  |  |  | Perceived salty sauce intake: |  |  |  | Number of participants (N) |
| Background characteristic | Far too much / too much | Just right | Far too little/ too little | Don't know | Far too much / too much | Just right | Far too little/ too little | Don't know |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 9.0 | 81.8 | 9.1 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 47.3 | 45.5 | 4.6 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 11.9 | 75.2 | 11.9 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 40.9 | 49.9 | 6.2 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 10.4 | 71.0 | 17.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 31.8 | 53.4 | 13.5 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 10.7 | 66.4 | 20.1 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 33.6 | 50.5 | 13.9 | 1089 |

Sex

| Women | 11.6 | 74.4 | 12.7 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 36.6 | 51.6 | 9.2 | 3595 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Men | 9.6 | 75.5 | 14.0 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 43.3 | 47.2 | 7.4 | 1998 |

## Residence

| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 8.1 | 75.0 | 15.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 38.7 | 55.4 | 5.4 | 705 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | 11.3 | 74.5 | 13.9 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 40.4 | 48.7 | 8.1 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 10.3 | 75.6 | 12.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 39.1 | 49.4 | 9.3 | 2133 |

## Province

| Province 1 | 7.8 | 77.0 | 11.5 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 47.0 | 43.1 | 8.1 | 804 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Province 2 | 9.7 | 76.8 | 13.4 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 42.0 | 47.3 | 8.0 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 6.1 | 79.7 | 14.0 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 46.4 | 43.0 | 8.7 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 14.9 | 68.7 | 16.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 38.1 | 54.2 | 5.8 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 12.9 | 73.2 | 13.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 36.0 | 55.7 | 5.8 | 797 |
| Karnali <br> Province <br> Sudoorpashchim <br> Province | 12.8 | 71.0 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 35.5 | 50.0 | 11.3 | 808 |

## Education

|  | 11.8 | 70.6 | 15.8 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 36.0 | 48.8 | 12.7 | 2792 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No education | 9.5 | 78.5 | 10.9 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 41.7 | 48.3 | 7.7 | 1051 |
| Primary | 10.5 | 76.8 | 12.2 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 43.4 | 49.4 | 4.9 | 1088 |
| Secondary | 9.4 | 78.4 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 40.9 | 53.4 | 3.3 | 661 |

## Wealth quintile

| Lowest | 11.1 | 76.8 | 11.4 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 34.2 | 49.0 | 14.5 | 1653 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Second | 9.7 | 74.9 | 12.5 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 40.3 | 46.9 | 11.3 | 1062 |
| Middle | 11.5 | 76.4 | 11.4 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 37.4 | 52.7 | 5.9 | 949 |


| Fourth | 11.2 | 74.0 | 13.8 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 44.3 | 45.9 | 7.6 | 878 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Highest | 9.8 | 72.5 | 17.6 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 42.5 | 53.3 | 2.3 | 1051 |


| Age (previous) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-29$ | 9.9 | 80.5 | 9.1 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 46.4 | 46.0 | 5.1 | 1466 |
| $30-44$ | 11.9 | 72.3 | 15.0 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 36.8 | 52.2 | 8.5 | 2039 |
| $45-69$ | 10.6 | 68.2 | 18.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 31.7 | 52.8 | 13.7 | 2088 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (15-39) | 10.7 | 77.9 | 10.7 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 43.5 | 48.1 | 5.6 | 2930 |
| Total (40-69) | 10.5 | 69.2 | 18.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 32.5 | 52.3 | 13.6 | 2663 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (15-69) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 9 3}$ |


| Table 7.4 Consumption of processed food high in salt.: men and women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of men and women aged $15-69$ who often to always, sometimes, never to rarely eat processed foods high in salt, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Often/ always | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Some- } \\ & \text { times } \end{aligned}$ | Rarely / never | Number of adults (N) | Often / always | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Some- } \\ & \text { times } \end{aligned}$ | Rarely / never | Number of men (N) | Often / always | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Some- } \\ & \text { times } \end{aligned}$ | Rarely never | Number of women (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 33.6 | 46.9 | 19.5 | 839 | 33.5 | 47.0 | 19.5 | 273 | 33.7 | 46.8 | 19.6 | 566 |
| 25-39 | 20.3 | 47.1 | 32.6 | 2078 | 23.1 | 42.9 | 34.0 | 614 | 18.0 | 50.6 | 31.4 | 1464 |
| 40-54 | 9.1 | 38.9 | 52.0 | 1551 | 11.2 | 39.8 | 49.0 | 599 | 7.1 | 38.2 | 54.7 | 952 |
| 55-69 | 4.9 | 34.4 | 60.8 | 1073 | 6.1 | 33.9 | 59.9 | 493 | 3.5 | 34.9 | 61.6 | 580 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 18.1 | 45.1 | 36.8 | 3652 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Men | 21.1 | 42.1 | 36.9 | 1979 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/sub-metropolitan | 16.0 | 45.4 | 38.5 | 701 | 12.5 | 46.4 | 41.1 | 275 | 19.6 | 44.5 | 36.0 | 426 |
| Municipality | 19.2 | 42.8 | 38.0 | 2721 | 20.5 | 40.1 | 39.4 | 951 | 18.0 | 45.2 | 36.7 | 1770 |
| Rural Municipality | 20.8 | 44.4 | 34.8 | 2119 | 24.1 | 43.8 | 32.1 | 753 | 17.9 | 45.0 | 37.1 | 1366 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.1 | 45.1 | 36.8 | 3562 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 21.1 | 46.8 | 32.1 | 796 | 27.8 | 43.9 | 28.4 | 283 | 15.2 | 49.4 | 35.5 | 513 |
| Province 2 | 14.3 | 44.7 | 41.0 | 797 | 13.0 | 45.9 | 41.0 | 350 | 15.6 | 43.5 | 40.9 | 447 |
| Province 3 | 22.0 | 39.7 | 38.3 | 758 | 23.7 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 302 | 20.3 | 41.3 | 38.4 | 456 |
| Gandaki Province | 15.1 | 46.5 | 38.4 | 783 | 13.6 | 47.9 | 38.6 | 265 | 16.5 | 45.3 | 38.2 | 518 |
| Province 5 | 25.6 | 37.8 | 36.6 | 793 | 26.2 | 34.8 | 39.1 | 266 | 25.1 | 40.3 | 34.6 | 527 |
| Karnali Province | 21.2 | 44.7 | 34.1 | 796 | 26.1 | 42.8 | 31.1 | 256 | 17.2 | 46.3 | 36.6 | 5540 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 13.7 | 50.4 | 35.9 | 818 | 14.7 | 46.6 | 38.7 | 257 | 13.0 | 53.2 | 33.8 | 561 |


| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No education | 9.7 | 40.4 | 49.9 | 2754 | 13.7 | 39.7 | 46.5 | 780 | 7.2 | 40.8 | 52.0 | 1974 |
| Primary | 23.6 | 42.6 | 33.8 | 1042 | 22.9 | 39.4 | 37.8 | 421 | 24.3 | 45.8 | 30.0 | 621 |
| Secondary | 25.1 | 47.1 | 27.8 | 1084 | 24.9 | 45.3 | 30.4 | 462 | 26.1 | 49.6 | 24.3 | 622 |
| More than secondary | 30.3 | 47.9 | 21.9 | 660 | 26.9 | 44.3 | 28.9 | 316 | 33.7 | 51.5 | 14.7 | 344 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 12.9 | 41.7 | 45.4 | 1628 | 15.8 | 40.7 | 43.5 | 495 | 11.0 | 42.4 | 46.7 | 1133 |
| Second | 21.4 | 45.2 | 33.4 | 1051 | 22.0 | 46.6 | 31.4 | 365 | 20.9 | 44.2 | 35.0 | 686 |
| Middle | 23.0 | 44.0 | 33.0 | 944 | 29.5 | 39.7 | 30.8 | 342 | 17.4 | 47.6 | 35.0 | 602 |
| Fourth | 17.7 | 43.8 | 38.5 | 871 | 18.6 | 39.7 | 41.8 | 334 | 16.6 | 48.4 | 35.0 | 537 |
| Highest | 22.6 | 43.6 | 33.8 | 1047 | 19.3 | 43.8 | 36.9 | 443 | 26.3 | 43.3 | 30.4 | 604 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 30.3 | 47.7 | 22.0 | 444 | 32.6 | 44.2 | 23.1 | 447 | 28.3 | 50.6 | 21.1 | 1010 |
| 30-44 | 13.9 | 42.9 | 43.2 | 2026 | 14.1 | 43.5 | 42.4 | 631 | 13.8 | 42.4 | 43.8 | 1395 |
| 45-69 | 7.0 | 37.6 | 55.4 | 2058 | 9.7 | 37.2 | 53.1 | 901 | 4.4 | 38.0 | 57.6 | 1157 |
| Total (15-39) | 25.8 | 47.0 | 27.2 | 2917 | 27.6 | 44.6 | 27.8 | 887 | 24.2 | 49.1 | 26.7 | 2030 |
| Total (40-69) | 7.4 | 37.2 | 55.5 | 2624 | 9.1 | 37.4 | 53.5 | 1092 | 5.8 | 36.9 | 57.3 | 1532 |
| Total (15-69) | 19.5 | 43.7 | 36.8 | 5541 | 21.1 | 42.1 | 36.9 | 1979 | 18.1 | 45.1 | 36.8 | 3562 |


| Table 7.5 Knowledge on salt intake and recommendations: Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of adults aged 15-69 who find importance in lowering salt intake; percentage who's knowledge on maximum salt intake per day is within WHO recommendations; percent who think too much salt relate to health consequence, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent who think lowering salt intake to be: |  | Percent who's knowledge on maximum salt intake per day is within WHO recommendations |  |  | Number of participants (N) |
| Background characteristic | Important | Not important or unaware | Within recommendations ( $<=1$ tsp or $5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day $)$ | Above recommendation (> 1 tsp or $5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day) | Don't know |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 83.2 | 16.8 | 42.1 | 37.1 | 20.8 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 81.0 | 19.0 | 39.1 | 37.2 | 23.7 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 77.5 | 22.6 | 35.7 | 33.9 | 30.4 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 70.4 | 29.6 | 31.0 | 35.7 | 33.2 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 78.1 | 21.9 | 38.5 | 34.8 | 26.8 | 3595 |
| Men | 81.0 | 19.0 | 37.7 | 38.0 | 24.5 | 1998 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 89.2 | 10.8 | 43.8 | 37.9 | 18.3 | 705 |
| Municipality | 79.6 | 20.4 | 41.4 | 32.3 | 26.3 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 76.8 | 23.2 | 32.0 | 41.7 | 26.3 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 72.1 | 27.9 | 31.4 | 35.0 | 33.7 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 85.2 | 17.8 | 36.3 | 40.5 | 23.2 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 78.0 | 22.0 | 41.5 | 26.4 | 32.1 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 77.5 | 22.5 | 34.9 | 45.7 | 19.5 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 81.9 | 18.1 | 37.6 | 40.9 | 21.6 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 74.7 | 25.3 | 44.9 | 35.7 | 19.5 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province | 82.7 | 17.3 | 47.0 | 30.9 | 22.1 | 829 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 72.1 | 27.9 | 29.1 | 39.3 | 31.6 | 2792 |
| Primary | 79.3 | 20.7 | 39.7 | 34.0 | 26.3 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 84.1 | 15.9 | 46.5 | 30.9 | 22.6 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 91.2 | 8.8 | 45.7 | 40.1 | 14.2 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 28.5 | 71.6 | 32.0 | 38.3 | 29.7 | 1653 |
| Second | 24.7 | 75.3 | 32.8 | 36.9 | 30.3 | 1062 |
| Middle | 20.8 | 79.2 | 39.5 | 33.0 | 27.5 | 949 |
| Fourth | 15.4 | 84.6 | 38.4 | 38.2 | 23.4 | 878 |
| Highest | 13.5 | 86.6 | 48.0 | 35.0 | 17.1 | 1051 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 83.4 | 16.6 | 41.4 | 37.1 | 21.4 | 1466 |
| 30-44 | 79.0 | 21.0 | 36.9 | 36.3 | 26.8 | 2039 |
| 45-69 | 73.1 | 26.8 | 33.8 | 34.8 | 31.4 | 2088 |
| Total (15-39) | 81.9 | 18.1 | 40.3 | 37.2 | 22.5 | 2930 |
| Total (40-69) | 74.7 | 25.3 | 33.9 | 34.6 | 31.5 | 2663 |
| Total (15-69) | 79.5 | 20.6 | 38.1 | 36.3 | 25.6 | 5593 |


| Table 7.6 Knowledge on salt intake and health consequences: Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of adults aged 15-69 who think too much salt is related to health consequence, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent who's correctly identified that salt intake is related to increased blood pressure or kidney diseases: |  |  | Percent who think that too much salt is related to: |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Correct | Incorrect | Total (\%) | No health consequences | Increased blood pressure / kidney disease' | Other consequences: asthma / cancer / tuberculosis/ others | Don't know | Number of participants (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 73.0 | 27.0 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 73.0 | 8.5 | 24.6 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 75.6 | 24.4 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 75.6 | 8.5 | 22.2 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 68.0 | 32.0 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 68.0 | 11.8 | 28.7 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 57.4 | 42.6 | 100.0 | 1.7 | 57.4 | 9.6 | 38.9 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 65.3 | 34.7 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 65.3 | 8.7 | 31.7 | 3595 |
| Men | 77.1 | 22.9 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 77.1 | 10.0 | 20.5 | 1998 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ sub-metropolitan | 88.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 88.0 | 15.4 | 8.7 | 705 |
| Municipality | 71.2 | 28.8 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 71.2 | 7.7 | 26.7 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 66.3 | 33.7 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 66.3 | 10.3 | 30.4 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 71.5 | 28.5 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 71.5 | 6.6 | 26.3 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 79.8 | 20.2 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 79.8 | 10.7 | 19.3 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 80.2 | 19.8 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 80.2 | 8.8 | 18.1 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 77.2 | 22.8 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 77.2 | 11.5 | 20.0 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 64.0 | 36.0 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 64.0 | 8.2 | 34.1 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 50.4 | 49.6 | 100.0 | 1.8 | 50.4 | 13.2 | 42.1 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 59.6 | 40.4 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 59.6 | 10.9 | 33.4 | 829 |


| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No education | 57.5 | 42.5 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 57.5 | 9.5 | 38.8 | 2792 |
| Primary | 68.8 | 31.2 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 68.8 | 10.6 | 28.0 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 80.5 | 19.5 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 80.5 | 8.4 | 17.6 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 92.4 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 92.4 | 8.8 | 6.7 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 54.2 | 45.9 | 100.0 | 1.7 | 54.2 | 14.1 | 41.5 | 1653 |
| Second | 62.9 | 37.1 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 62.9 | 7.6 | 34.1 | 1062 |
| Middle | 69.5 | 30.6 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 69.5 | 8.7 | 27.5 | 949 |
| Fourth | 76.4 | 23.6 | 100.0 | 1.7 | 76.4 | 7.9 | 20.9 | 878 |
| Highest | 91.5 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 91.5 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 1051 |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 75.6 | 24.4 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 75.64 | 8.9 | 22.2 | 1466 |
| 30-44 | 72.0 | 28.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 72.01 | 8.7 | 25.2 | 2039 |
| 45-69 | 61.4 | 38.6 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 61.42 | 10.8 | 35.0 | 2088 |
| Total (15-39) | 74.5 | 25.5 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 74.5 | 8.5 | 23.2 | 2930 |
| Total (40-69) | 63.8 | 36.2 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 63.8 | 10.9 | 32.7 | 2663 |
| Total (15-69) | 70.9 | 29.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 70.9 | 9.3 | 26.4 | 5593 |


| Table 7.7 Currently controlling salt intake and methods: Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of adults aged 15-69 who often to always, sometimes, never to rarely eat processed foods high in salt, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent who are currently doing anything to control salt intakes: |  | Amongst adults who are currently doing anything to controlling salt intake, percent of adults that use the method of: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Percent | Number of participants (N) | Avoid/ minimize consumption of processed foods | Look at the salt or sodium content on food label | Buy low salt/ sodium alternatives | Use spices other than salt when cooking | Avoid eating foods prepared outside of home | Eat meals without adding extra salt at the table | cook meals such as rice or bread without adding salt | Number of participants (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 2.0 | 815 | 40.6 | 23.5 | 6.3 | 45.8 | 38.5 | 41.5 | 38.3 | 18 |
| 25-39 | 2.4 | 2030 | 86.4 | 55.8 | 33.1 | 28.1 | 26.6 | 58.1 | 44.0 | 45 |
| 40-54 | 3.1 | 1514 | 76.5 | 18.3 | 36.3 | 21.5 | 61.5 | 86.4 | 49.0 | 59 |
| 55-69 | 3.3 | 1037 | 68.1 | 15.6 | 29.5 | 16.6 | 68.3 | 80.3 | 47.0 | 38 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 2.2 | 3470 | 70.7 | 32.8 | 27.3 | 28.7 | 44.5 | 65.0 | 43.9 | 95 |
| Men | 3.0 | 1926 | 63.2 | 21.0 | 14.5 | 31.4 | 44.7 | 56.4 | 43.7 | 65 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ sub-metropolitan | 1.9 | 689 | 83.4 | 16.9 | 39.2 | 41.6 | 49.6 | 50.5 | 56.2 | 28 |
| Municipality | 3.1 | 2653 | 79.0 | 46.4 | 38.1 | 28.1 | 36.0 | 65.3 | 38.2 | 83 |
| Rural Municipality | 1.9 | 2054 | 51.9 | 10.2 | 3.8 | 27.0 | 59.9 | 67.8 | 51.8 | 49 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 4.4 | 774 | 70.5 | 35.0 | 30.2 | 24.6 | 27.6 | 43.4 | 46.7 | 21 |
| Province 2 | 0.1 | 787 | 92.1 | 19.0 | 58.6 | 0.0 | 93.1 | 81.8 | 32.4 | 2 |
| Province 3 | 1.6 | 753 | 89.6 | 47.6 | 32.5 | 23.3 | 37.7 | 68.6 | 40.1 | 31 |
| Gandaki Province | 3.8 | 775 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 14.3 | 56.8 | 3.3 | 28 |
| Province 5 | 1.7 | 769 | 76.5 | 44.9 | 31.8 | 80.5 | 49.9 | 77.0 | 42.8 | 16 |


| Karnali Province | 2.6 | 760 | 60.4 | 35.7 | 20.1 | 37.5 | 49.0 | 70.2 | 58.5 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 6.1 | 778 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.8 | 2656 | 62.8 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 28.9 | 52.4 | 81.0 | 62.1 | 62 |
| Primary | 2.9 | 1019 | 83.6 | 41.6 | 56.7 | 22.9 | 35.2 | 57.5 | 29.1 | 33 |
| Secondary | 3.3 | 1068 | 80.6 | 48.7 | 13.0 | 24.1 | 47.2 | 54.6 | 30.0 | 36 |
| More than secondary | 2.8 | 651 | 57.8 | 52.7 | 22.9 | 44.5 | 38.0 | 45.0 | 35.4 | 29 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 1.8 | 1549 | 49.4 | 29.2 | 1.8 | 55.2 | 27.2 | 61.2 | 45.0 | 30 |
| Second | 1.1 | 1027 | 90.8 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 5.1 | 18.4 | 58.5 | 43.4 | 15 |
| Middle | 2.5 | 912 | 57.9 | 33.7 | 36.0 | 25.7 | 52.3 | 69.8 | 51.4 | 28 |
| Fourth | 3.7 | 867 | 66.0 | 27.8 | 6.8 | 11.6 | 43.7 | 56.4 | 34.3 | 33 |
| Highest | 3.6 | 1041 | 95.8 | 35.1 | 47.3 | 32.9 | 61.0 | 71.5 | 41.9 | 54 |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 2.4 | 1420 | 60.7 | 43.1 | 17.1 | 41.6 | 23.4 | 51.5 | 39.6 | 33 |
| 30-44 | 2.5 | 1977 | 65.9 | 36.7 | 29.6 | 24.9 | 51.4 | 61.7 | 47.3 | 56 |
| 45-69 | 3.0 | 1999 | 75.6 | 13.8 | 39.1 | 15.2 | 66.2 | 87.5 | 45.9 | 71 |
| Total (15-39) | 2.3 | 2845 | 68.8 | 43.4 | 22.8 | 34.9 | 31.2 | 51.7 | 42.1 | 63 |
| Total (40-69) | 3.2 | 2551 | 73.5 | 17.3 | 34.0 | 19.8 | 63.9 | 84.2 | 46.4 | 97 |
| Total (15-69) | 2.6 | 5396 | 70.7 | 32.8 | 27.3 | 28.7 | 44.5 | 65.0 | 43.9 | 160 |

## Chapter 8

## PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

## Key Findings

- Time spent on physical activity
o Total physical activity (in moderate-intensity minutes):
- On average 299.2 minutes per day
- Half of the population spent 210.0 or more minutes per day.
- Insufficient levels of physical activity
o Among adults aged 18-69 years: $7.4 \%$ of adults ( $6.6 \%$ in women, and $8.2 \%$ in men) have insufficient levels of physical activity defined as $<150$ minutes of moderate-intensity activity per week.
o Among adolescents age $15-17$ years: $10.8 \%$ of adolescents ( $15.8 \%$ in girls, $6.3 \%$ in boys) have insufficient levels of physical activity defined as $<60$ minutes of moderate to vigorous intensity activity daily.
- Percent contribution to total physical activity from each domain:
o Work: $61.5 \%$.
o Travelling from and to places: $31.2 \%$
o Recreational activities: 7.3\% of total physical activity minutes
- Time spent on sedentary activities
o On average adults (15-69 years) spend 201.2 minutes per day sitting or reclining.
o Half of the population spent 120.0 minutes or more per day sitting or reclining

Insufficient physical activity and sedentary behaviour is a leading risk factor for global mortality and has major implications for the rising prevalence of $\mathrm{NCDs}^{1}$. Additionally, it accrues staggering economic cost through increased health-care expenditure and loss of productivity ${ }^{2}$. Participation in regular physical activity and reducing sedentary behaviours has substantial effects on increasing life expectancy and the primary prevention of several chronic diseases such as, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, hypertension, cancer, obesity and mental health at a population level ${ }^{3,4,5}$.

The 2025 global physical activity target aims for a $10 \%$ reduction relative to $2010^{6}$. Nepal has also incorporated it as one of the key targets in its 5-year multisectoral action plan for 2014-20207. Policies to promote physical activity (mass media campaigns combined with community-based education, motivational and environmental

[^31]programmes aimed at supporting behavioral change) are one of the recommended interventions to prevent and control non-communicable diseases ${ }^{8}$.

This chapter focuses on indicators related to physical activity and sedentary behavior. This information will help Nepal assess trends and progress towards physical activity targets specified in its multisectoral action plan as well as evaluation of current policies and programs in place.

Current relevant policies and programs in Nepal for promoting physical activity:
There are no specific current relevant policies and programs guidelines in Nepal for promoting physical activity. However, policies to promote physical activity for the prevention and control of NCDs, incorporated in Government of Nepal, multisectoral action plan (2014-2020) was mentioned above ${ }^{7}$. Besides that national health sector strategy 2015-20 has included as one of outcome and suggested key interventions to promote healthy lifestyle via school health program and other activities ${ }^{9}$.

Current WHO physical activity guidelines (Figure 8.1) for adults are expressed in minutes of physical activity throughout the week of two levels of intensities for ease of understanding amongst the public. The underlying standardized measurement to assess both quantity and intensity of physical activity is MET, metabolic equivalent of task, which is assigned to each domain of activity and levels of intensity as (Figure 8.2) which is based on the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) ${ }^{10}$. An example is given on the calculations for standardized conversion between regular minutes of varying levels and MET minutes.

Figure 8.1. WHO Physical activity guidelines 2010 ${ }^{11}$ :

| Age group | Current WHO guidelines |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5-17 years* | •at least 60 minutes of moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity daily for children and <br> adolescents aged 5-17. |
| 18 years and above* | • <br> •at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity per week OR <br> • minutes of vigorous-intensity physical activity per week OR <br> an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous intensity physical activity which <br> equates to 600 MET-minutes per week |

*refer to guidelines for more detailed guidelines.

Figure 8.2. Metabolic equivalent of task per domain and intensity

| Domain | Intensity level and MET value per minute |
| :--- | :--- |
| Work | Moderate-intensity $=4$ MET per minute <br> Vigorous-intensity $=8$ MET per minute |
| Transport <br> (Cycling and walking) | Moderate-intensity $=4$ MET |
| Recreation | Moderate-intensity $=4$ MET per minute <br> Vigorous-intensity $=8$ MET per minute |

[^32]
### 8.1 Time spent on physical activity

Total minutes of physical activity were obtained by inquiring respondents about time spent on physical activity in three key domains (work, transport, and recreational) at moderate and vigorous intensity levels on a typical day each week. The vigorous intensity minutes were converted into moderate intensity minutes using a multiplication factor of 2 and 'total' physical activity minutes were expressed as moderate-intensity minutes per day.

[^33]On average, adults aged 15-69 in Nepal spent 299.2 minutes on moderate-intensity or equivalent level physical activity per day while the median was 210.0 minutes. In other words, $50 \%$ of the population engaged in 210.0 or more minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity each day which is above current recommendations (Table 8.1).

In terms of intensity, the population average minutes per day for vigorous- and moderate-intensity physical activity were 68.0 and 161.3 minutes, respectively. Fifty percent of the population did not participate in any (median $=0$ minutes) vigorous-intensity physical activity. On the other hand, the median for moderate-intensity activity was 137.1 minutes, which is close to the current recommendations (Table 8.1).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 8.1):

- Total average minutes of physical activity is consistently higher than the median, which suggest the average is influenced by a number of adults that reported very long hours of engagement in physical activity.
- Average total minutes of physical activity were highest amongst 4054 and 25-39 age groups whom are in their most labor productive years.
- Although women had lower average total minutes of physical activity than men ( 282.2 min vs 318.3), women participated in longer hours of moderate-intensity activities ( 169.8 min vs 156.1 min ) while men participated in more vigorous-intensity activities ( 56.3 min for women vs 81.1 min for men) (Table 8.1)
- Participation in physical activity was higher in rural municipalities (Figure 8.3), as also reflected in highest average total minutes in Karnali province and Sudoorpashchim province, where $50 \%$ of adults participated in 300.0 min . and 282.9 min or more of physical activity per day respectively.
- Total minutes of physical activity increases with lower levels of education and household wealth (Figure 8.4).

Figure 8.3 Average time spent on total physical activity, moderateintensity activity and vigorous intensity activity by residence amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPs Survey 2019


Figure 8.4 Average total time spent on physical activity by education and wealth amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## Trends between $2013{ }^{12}$ and 2019 survey:

- Average total time spent on physical activity has reduced from 326.8 to 299.2 between 2013 and 2019 (Figure 8.5).

[^34]- While average time spent on moderateintensity physical activity reduced (208.0min in 2013 vs 161.3 min in 2019), average time spent on vigorous-intensity increased ( 59.4 min in 2013 vs 68.0 min in 2019) (Figure 8.5).


### 8.2 Insufficient levels of physical activity

Percent of insufficiently active population was estimated separately for 15-17 years and 18-69 year age group due to differences in recommendations as discussed

Figure 8.5 Trends between 2013 and 2019 in average minutes of total physical activity, vigorous-intensity activity and moderateintensity activity, Nepal STEPS Survey
 earlier (Figure 8.1). The prevalence of insufficient levels of physical activity was $7.4 \%$ and $10.8 \%$ amongst adults aged 18-69 and adolescents aged 15-17, respectively (Table 8.2).

Patterns by background characteristic (Table 8.2):

- Alarmingly, a higher proportion of adolescents were insufficiently physically active compared to adults. ( $10.8 \%$ in adolescent's vs $7.4 \%$ in adults).
- The highest proportion of adults with insufficient levels of physical activity was in the youngest age group amongst women, and in the oldest age group amongst men (Figure 8.6)
- Amongst adolescents 15-17 years old, prevalence of insufficient physical activity was substantially higher in girls than in boys ( $15.8 \%$ in girl's vs $6.3 \%$ boys). The opposite relationship is seen amongst adults, though the difference is smaller

Figure 8.6 Prevalence of insufficient physical activities by age group amongst women and men aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019
 $8.2 \%$ in men vs $6.6 \%$ in women) (Table 8.2).

- Province 3 and Gandaki province, the two most urban provinces, have the highest prevalence of insufficient physical activity (Figure 8.7)
- The proportion of insufficiently active adults increased with increasing household wealth (Figure 8.8).

Figure 8.7 Prevalence of insufficient physical activity by province amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 8.8 Prevalence of insufficient physical activity by wealth amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## Trends between $20133^{12}$ and 2019 survey:

- Prevalence of insufficient physical activity has increased from 2.4\% to 7.4\% for adults aged 18-69 and from $7.2 \%$ to $10.8 \%$ for adolescents aged 15-17 (Figure 8.9).
- The increase in prevalence is more noticeable for women than for men (Figure 8.9).


2013 vs 2019

### 8.3. Percent contribution to physical activity from each domain.

Amongst adults who engaged in some level of physical activity, $61.5 \%$ of the total physical activity minutes came from physical activity at work, $31.2 \%$ from travel, and only $7.3 \%$ were from recreational activities.

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 8.3):

- Women participate in less recreational physical activities compared to men ( $3.0 \%$ in women vs $12.2 \%$ in men).
- The contribution from travel was highest in metropolitan and sub metropolitan areas (39.6\%), and the contribution from work was highest in rural municipalities (64.7\%).
- The proportional contribution from work to the total physical activity declines with increasing household wealth (Figure 8.10), while the reverse is true for physical activity from travel and recreational activities. Similar patterns were observed with increasing educational levels. (Figure 8.11).

Figure 8.10 Contribution to total physical activity from each domain by wealth amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 8.11 Contribution to total physical activity from each domain by education level amongst adults aged 1569, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## Trends between $2013{ }^{12}$ and 2019 survey:

- Percent contribution of physical activity from work reduced ( $64.8 \%$ in 2013 to $61.5 \%$ in 2019 ), while contribution from travel ( $31.0 \%$ in 2013 to $31.2 \%$ in 2019) and recreational activities ( $4.2 \%$ in 2013 to $7.3 \%$ in 2019) increased.


### 8.4 Time spent on sedentary activities

On average, adults spend 201.2 minutes per day on sedentary activities such as sitting or reclining excluding sleep time. Fifty percent of adults spent 120.0 minutes or more per day on sedentary activities.

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 8.4):

- Average time spent on sedentary activities increased with age.
- The average time spent in sedentary activity is significnatly higher in Metropolitan and submetropolitan areas.
- Province 2 had the highest average time $(223.7 \mathrm{~min})$ and Sudoorpaschim province had the lowest average time (170.8 min) (Table 8.4).
- Median time spent on sedentary activities increased with wealth.


## Trends between $2013{ }^{12}$ and 2019 survey:

- Average time spent on sedentary activity increased from 152.7 min in 2013 to 201.2 min in 2019.


## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on physical activity, see the following tables:
Table 8.1 Average and median time spent on physical activity per day by intensity level: all participants Table 8.2 Percent not meeting physical activity recommendations: all participants

Table 8.3 Proportional contribution of each domain to total physical activity: all participants
Table 8.4 Average and median time spent on sedentary activity on a typical day: all participants

| Table 8.1 Average and median time spent on physical activity per day by intensity level: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average and median time (minutes per day) spent on vigorous- and moderate-intensity physical activity amongst adults (15-69 years) , according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Vigorous intensity physical activity (min. per day) |  |  |  | Total <br> (N) | Moderate intensity physical activity (min. per day) |  |  |  | Total <br> (N) | Total physical activity in minutes of moderateintensity activity (min. per day) ** |  |  |  | Total participants <br> (N) |
|  |  |  |  | tile range |  |  |  | Interqua | e range |  |  |  |  | tile range |  |
|  | Average | Median | p25 | p75 |  | Average | Median | p25 | p75 |  | Average | Median | p25 | p75 |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 56.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 62.1 | 839 | 154.1 | 132.9 | 64.3 | 222.9 | 838 | 266.1 | 188.6 | 85.7 | 347.1 | 834 |
| 25-39 | 71.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 2072 | 171.9 | 150.0 | 68.6 | 240.0 | 2060 | 314.4 | 231.4 | 102.9 | 402.9 | 2038 |
| 40-54 | 83.8 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 128.6 | 1567 | 168.3 | 145.7 | 65.7 | 240.0 | 1560 | 335.8 | 235.7 | 111.4 | 500.0 | 1553 |
| 55-69 | 57.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.1 | 1086 | 149.7 | 120.0 | 60.0 | 214.3 | 1069 | 265.1 | 180.0 | 64.3 | 364.3 | 1068 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 56.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.6 | 3575 | 169.8 | 150.0 | 68.6 | 240.0 | 3546 | 282.2 | 188.6 | 90.0 | 368.6 | 3529 |
| Men | 81.1 | 25.7 | 0.0 | 102.9 | 1989 | 156.1 | 124.3 | 60.0 | 214.3 | 1971 | 318.3 | 231.4 | 98.6 | 420.0 | 1964 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 51.5 | 25.7 | 0.0 | 51.4 | 704 | 143.2 | 120.0 | 60.0 | 188.6 | 700 | 246.7 | 171.4 | 85.7 | 274.3 | 699 |
| Municipality | 59.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.6 | 2741 | 155.4 | 130.0 | 60.0 | 222.9 | 2712 | 273.1 | 184.3 | 73.6 | 377.1 | 2700 |
| Rural Municipality | 84.7 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 2119 | 179.7 | 150.0 | 81.4 | 240.0 | 2105 | 349.4 | 246.4 | 120.0 | 480.0 | 2094 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 803 | 159.9 | 145.7 | 81.4 | 210.0 | 800 | 302.2 | 240.0 | 110.0 | 368.6 | 799 |
| Province 2 | 46.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.4 | 799 | 138.5 | 120.0 | 64.3 | 188.6 | 800 | 233.7 | 171.4 | 83.6 | 300.0 | 796 |
| Province 3 | 56.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.6 | 758 | 141.8 | 124.3 | 42.9 | 210.0 | 748 | 259.4 | 180.0 | 64.3 | 385.7 | 748 |
| Gandaki Province | 59.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 793 | 163.6 | 150.0 | 60.0 | 240.0 | 778 | 280.4 | 240.0 | 98.6 | 375.0 | 778 |
| Province 5 | 64.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 794 | 173.3 | 180.0 | 68.6 | 240.0 | 792 | 302.7 | 210.0 | 102.9 | 398.6 | 789 |
| Karnali Province | 90.9 | 38.6 | 0.0 | 137.1 | 800 | 232.0 | 137.1 | 102.9 | 300.0 | 798 | 414.5 | 300.0 | 154.3 | 591.4 | 791 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 116.1 | 51.4 | 0.0 | 180.0 | 817 | 172.4 | 154.3 | 53.6 | 257.1 | 801 | 410.8 | 282.9 | 98.6 | 600.0 | 792 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 90.4 | 25.7 | 0.0 | 137.1 | 2774 | 175.6 | 120.0 | 80.0 | 240.0 | 2746 | 356.7 | 270.0 | 120.0 | 497.1 | 2732 |


| Primary | 67.5 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 1046 | 149.9 | 137.1 | 60.0 | 210.0 | 1036 | 285.4 | 180.0 | 77.1 | 372.9 | 1032 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Secondary | 53.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.6 | 1084 | 164.1 | 137.1 | 68.6 | 222.9 | 1078 | 270.3 | 197.1 | 94.3 | 342.9 | 1074 |
| More than secondary | 34.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.3 | 659 | 147.9 | 110.0 | 60.0 | 200.0 | 656 | 216.3 | 145.7 | 64.6 | 278.6 | 654 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 130.4 | 68.6 | 0.0 | 180.0 | 1640 | 218.6 | 180.0 | 120.0 | 300.0 | 1621 | 478.1 | 368.6 | 180.0 | 660.0 | 1612 |
| Second | 75.1 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 1056 | 183.7 | 162.9 | 57.1 | 240.0 | 1055 | 333.4 | 265.7 | 139.3 | 454.3 | 1049 |
| Middle | 61.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.1 | 945 | 154.3 | 141.4 | 68.6 | 215.7 | 933 | 277.8 | 222.9 | 111.4 | 385.7 | 930 |
| Fourth | 49.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.4 | 874 | 147.3 | 128.6 | 60.0 | 210.0 | 872 | 247.5 | 180.0 | 77.1 | 304.3 | 868 |
| Highest | 23.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 1049 | 112.5 | 85.7 | 38.5 | 162.9 | 1036 | 159.0 | 100.0 | 51.2 | 200.0 | 1034 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 60.2 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 1456 | 161.5 | 137.1 | 64.6 | 222.9 | 1450 | 282.1 | 199.3 | 88.6 | 364.3 | 1441 |
| 30-44 | 77.3 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 107.1 | 2026 | 172.9 | 150.0 | 74.3 | 240.0 | 2008 | 327.1 | 237.1 | 110.0 | 450.0 | 1997 |
| 45-69 | 70.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 2082 | 156.0 | 128.6 | 60.0 | 222.9 | 2059 | 297.9 | 205.7 | 85.7 | 420.0 | 2055 |
| Total (15-17) | 32.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 219 | 147.3 | 135.0 | 85.7 | 210.0 | 219 | 210.4 | 180.0 | 108.6 | 260.0 | 217 |
| Total (18-69) | 70.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 102.9 | 5,345 | 162.4 | 137.1 | 62.9 | 235.7 | 5298 | 306.3 | 214.3 | 90.0 | 407.1 | 5,276 |
| Total (15-69) | 68.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 5564 | 161.3 | 137.1 | 64.3 | 231.4 | 5517 | 299.2 | 210.0 | 90.0 | 394.3 | 5493 |


| Table 8.2 Percent not meeting physical activity recommendations: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of men and women (18-69 years) not meeting physical activity recommendations*, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent adults not meeting WHO physical activity recommendations: |  | Percent women not meeting WHO physical activity recommendations: |  | Percent men not meeting WHO physical activity recommendations: |  |
| Background characteristic | Percent | Total respondents <br> (N) | Percent | Total women <br> (N) | Percent | Total men (N) |
| Age** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 9.6 | 843 | 10.7 | 566 | 8.5 | 268 |
| 25-39 | 5.3 | 2038 | 5.4 | 1431 | 5.2 | 607 |
| 40-54 | 6.7 | 1553 | 5.4 | 952 | 8.2 | 601 |
| 55-69 | 11.6 | 1068 | 8.9 | 580 | 14.2 | 488 |

## Residence

| $\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { Metropolitan/ submetro- } & & & \\ \text { politan } & 6.4 & 699 & 6.9 \\ \hline 9.9 & 9.9 & 1757 & 5.9 \\ \text { Municipality } & 9.4 & 2700 & 3.5\end{array}\right) 1348$ | 8.8 | 943 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural Municipality | 5.4 | 2094 | 7.5 | 746 |

## Province

| Province 1 | 3.6 | 799 | 3.6 | 517 | 3.6 | 282 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province 2 | 8.5 | 796 | 8.3 | 446 | 8.8 | 350 |
| Province 3 | 10.3 | 748 | 9.4 | 449 | 11.2 | 299 |
| Gandaki Province | 10.1 | 778 | 7.6 | 519 | 13.0 | 259 |
| Province 5 | 7.2 | 789 | 6.7 | 522 | 7.9 | 267 |
| Karnali Province | 4.2 | 791 | 4.5 | 536 | 3.8 | 255 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 9.4 | 792 | 10.5 | 540 | 7.9 | 252 |

## Education

| No education | 6.9 | 2732 | 6.2 | 1960 | 7.9 | 772 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 9.5 | 1032 | 9.2 | 613 | 9.8 | 419 |
| Secondary | 7.0 | 1074 | 6.4 | 612 | 7.4 | 462 |
| More than secondary | 8.2 | 654 | 8.9 | 343 | 7.4 | 311 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 4.2 | 1612 | 4.3 | 1121 | 4.1 | 491 |
| Second | 6.0 | 1049 | 6.0 | 687 | 6.0 | 362 |
| Middle | 7.0 | 930 | 7.9 | 593 | 6.0 | 337 |
| Fourth | 7.6 | 868 | 7.4 | 533 | 7.8 | 335 |
| Highest | 13.3 | 1034 | 11.7 | 595 | 14.8 | 439 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 7.8 | 1441 | 8.8 | 1000 | 6.7 | 441 |
| 30-44 | 5.8 | 1997 | 5.1 | 1369 | 6.6 | 628 |
| 45-69 | 9.3 | 2055 | 7.0 | 1160 | 11.6 | 895 |
| Total (15-17) | 10.8 | 217 | 15.8 | 132 | 6.3 | 85.0 |
| Total (18-69) | 7.4 | 5276 | 6.6 | 3,397 | 8.2 | 1,879 |

*WHO physical activity recommendations per age group: [15-17 years] At least 60 minutes of moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity daily; [18-64] At least 600 METs (metabolic equivalent of tasks) of physical activity throughout the week or 150 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity per week or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity physical activity per week; [65 years and above] same as age group 18-64 years. (For complete recommendation, please refer to Global recommendation on physical activity for health, 2010).

Table 8.3 Proportional contribution of each domain to total physical activity: all participants
Proportional share of total physical activity from work, travel and recreational activities amongst adults (15-69) who participate in some level of physical activity, according to background characteristics* [Nepal STEPS, 2019]

| Background characteristic | Average percent contribution to overall physical activity from: |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recreational |  |
| activities: |  |$\quad$| Total (\%) |
| :---: | | Total participants |
| :---: |
| (N)** |

## Residence

Metropolitan/ submetro-

| politan | 50.9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipality | 61.0 |
| Rural Municipality | 64.7 |

$61.0 \quad 31.0$

| 9.4 | 100.0 | 663 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.0 | 100.0 | 2543 |
| 5.8 | 100.0 | 2033 |

Province

| Province 1 | 61.3 | 31.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Province 2 | 58.3 | 36.1 |
| Province 3 | 64.0 | 27.9 |
| Gandaki Province | 66.1 | 28.3 |
| Province 5 | 61.0 | 32.0 |
| Karnali Province | 63.3 | 30.1 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 60.1 | 29.5 |

## Education

| No education | 68.4 | 29.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 2602 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 61.3 | 31.5 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 988 |
| Secondary | 57.7 | 31.5 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 1024 |
| More than secondary | 50.1 | 35.1 | 14.8 | 100.0 | 624 |

## Wealth quintile

| Lowest | 69.6 | 26.4 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 1566 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 65.8 | 28.4 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 1010 |
| Middle | 62.1 | 29.9 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 882 |
| Fourth | 61.5 | 31.2 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 824 |
| Highest | 47.5 | 40.7 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 957 |

Age (previous, 2013)

| $15-29$ | 56.4 | 31.0 | 12.6 | 100.0 | 1392 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $30-44$ | 66.5 | 30.0 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 1918 |
| $45-69$ | 64.6 | 33.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1929 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total (15-17) | 40.9 | 35.9 | 23.2 | 100.0 | 212 |
| Total (18-69) | 63.2 | 30.8 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 5027 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total (15-69) | $\mathbf{6 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 3 9}$ |

*proportion calculation based on amount of METs per activity among total amount of METs of total physical activity ** Adults who reported no participation in any type of physical activities were excluded.

| Table 8.4 Average and median time spent on sedentary activity on a typical day: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average time (minutes per day) spent sitting or reclining among adults (15-69 years), according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Average | 95\% CI |  | Median p25 | Interquartile range |  | Total participants (N) |
|  |  |  |  | p75 |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 192.3 | 166.8 | 217.9 |  | 120.0 | 90.0 | 240.0 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 195.2 | 167.9 | 222.4 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 240.0 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 206.8 | 176.9 | 236.7 | 120.0 | 60.0 | 300.0 | 1574 |
| 5-69 | 227.9 | 199.2 | 256.5 | 180.0 | 120.0 | 300.0 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 203.4 | 178.7 | 228.1 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 270.0 | 3595 |
| Men | 198.8 | 172.8 | 224.7 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 270.0 | 1998 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 234.0 | 132.7 | 335.3 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 420.0 | 705 |
| Municipality | 205.1 | 170.9 | 239.3 | 120.0 | 80.0 | 300.0 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 187.7 | 152.7 | 222.7 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 240.0 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 189.9 | 125.9 | 254.0 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 180.0 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 223.7 | 171.0 | 276.5 | 180.0 | 120.0 | 300.0 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 206.4 | 135.1 | 277.7 | 120.0 | 60.0 | 300.0 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 197.2 | 141.0 | 253.5 | 150.0 | 90.0 | 240.0 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 210.9 | 151.3 | 270.5 | 155.0 | 90.0 | 300.0 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 178.8 | 134.5 | 223.1 | 120.0 | 60.0 | 240.0 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 170.8 | 119.8 | 221.7 | 120.0 | 60.0 | 180.0 | 829 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 205.2 | 178.4 | 232.0 | 135.0 | 90.0 | 300.0 | 2792 |
| Primary | 192.7 | 165.8 | 219.7 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 240.0 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 207.9 | 176.6 | 239.2 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 300.0 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 191.1 | 158.5 | 223.8 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 180.0 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 195.1 | 165.8 | 224.5 | 120.0 | 60.0 | 300.0 | 1653 |
| Second | 184.2 | 154.3 | 214.1 | 120.0 | 60.0 | 240.0 | 1062 |
| Middle | 203.6 | 167.9 | 239.3 | 125.0 | 90.0 | 260.0 | 949 |
| Fourth | 213.9 | 179.0 | 248.7 | 150.0 | 120.0 | 300.0 | 878 |
| Highest | 209.3 | 171.5 | 247.1 | 150.0 | 120.0 | 300.0 | 1051 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 193.0 | 168.2 | 217.8 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 240.0 | 1466 |
| 30-44 | 200.8 | 172.2 | 229.3 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 270.0 | 2039 |
| 45-69 | 215.8 | 188.4 | 243.2 | 150.0 | 90.0 | 300.0 | 2088 |
| Total (15-17) | 181.6 | 148.8 | 214.5 | 120.0 | 60.0 | 240.0 | 221 |
| Total (18-64) | 202.8 | 177.5 | 228.1 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 300.0 | 5372 |
| Total (15-69) | 201.2 | 176.8 | 225.7 | 120.0 | 90.0 | 270.0 | 5593 |

## Chapter 9

## ANTHROPOMETRY

## Key Findings

- Nutritional status:
o Underweight: $10.2 \%$ of adults ( $9.8 \%$ women, $10.7 \%$ men)
o Overweight: 20.0\% of adults (19.8\% women, $20.2 \%$ men)
o Obesity: $4.3 \%$ of adults ( $5.3 \%$ women, $3.2 \%$ men)
o Mean population Body-mass Index (BMI): $22.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(22.8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right.$ in women, $22.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in men)
- Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio:
o High waist circumference(WC) (>88cm for women, $>104 \mathrm{~cm}$ for men): $11.8 \%(19.5 \%$ in women, $3.3 \%$ in men)
o High waist-hip ratio(WHR) ( $>=0.85$ for women, $>=0.90$ for $m e n$ ): $63.6 \%(70.2 \%$ in women, $56.3 \%$ in men)
- Disease risk based on body-mass index and waist circumference:
o Increased risk: 19.9\% (18.7\% women, $21.2 \%$ men)
o High risk: $7.5 \%$ ( $10.8 \%$ women, $3.9 \%$ men)
o Very high risk: $3.3 \%$ ( $4.9 \%$ women, $1.5 \%$ men)

The global epidemic of overweight and obesity is rapidly becoming a major public health problem that paradoxically coexists with undernutrition in many developing countries. The increasing prevalence of overweight and obesity is associated with many chronic diseases including type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease (CVD), stroke, hypertension, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, and certain cancers ${ }^{1,2}$. One of the nine voluntary global targets set under WHO Global Action Plan against $\mathrm{NCDs}^{3}$ is to halt the rise in diabetes and obesity by 2025. Hence, Nepal has incorporated it as one of the key targets in its 5-year multisectoral action plan for 2014-20204.

This chapter summarizes anthropometric parameters that reflect both general obesity (body-mass Index (BMI)), and abdominal obesity as measured by waist circumference (WC) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) and its associated disease risk. The indicators presented will help Nepal to assess current trends in overall nutrition status and the risk for chronic diseases and metabolic disorders and the effectiveness of current policies and programs.

### 9.1 Nutritional Status

In 2019, mean BMI of adult population (15-69 years) was $22.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ which is within normal weight range (i.e 18.5 to $24.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ). $10.2 \%$ of adults were underweight ( $\mathrm{BMI}<18.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ) while $20 \%$ and $4.3 \%$ of adults were overweight(BMI-25-29.9) $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and obese (BMI $>=30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ), respectively (Table 9.1).

[^35]
## Patterns by background characteristics for nutritional status (Table 9.1):

- The oldest (55-69) and the youngest (15-24) age groups had both the highest prevalence of underweight and lowest prevalence of overweight and obesity.
- Mean BMI does not vary significantly by sex, residence or education level.
- Adults who lived in rural municipalities were more likely to be underweight. The mean BMI was the highest in Province 3 (24.3) and 4 (24.0) which were mainly urban Provinces, and lowest in more rural Karnali Province(21.4) and Sudoorpaschim Province (21.5).
- Participants with the highest household wealth had significantly higher mean BMI than all other wealth quintiles.
- Education and household wealth were associated with higher prevalence of overweight and lower prevalence of underweight (Figure 9.2 and Figure 9.3).
- The prevalence of underweight and overweight are both higher amongst men than women, while obesity prevalence is higher amongst women than men (Table 9.1).

Figure 9.1 Prevalence of underweight by age, residence and wealth amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 9.2 Prevalence of overweight and obesity by age, residence and wealth amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## Trends between $20133^{5}$ and 2019 survey in adults aged 15-69:

- Population mean BMI has increased from $22.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in 2013 to $22.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{in} 2019$ and this increase is higher amongst women ( $22.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ to $22.8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) than men (from $22.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ to $22.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).
- Prevalence of underweight did not change much though it increased in age group 15-29 from 10.1\% to 12.3\%.
- Prevalence for overweight and obesity increased, with a larger increase for overweight ( $17.7 \%$ to $20.0 \%$ ) than obesity ( $4.0 \%$ to $4.3 \%$ ) (Figure 9.3).

Figure 9.3 Trends in nutrition status between 2013 to 2019 amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


### 9.2 Waist Circumference and Waist-Hip Ratio

While BMI is a population-level measure for overweight and obesity, it does not reflect variation in body fat distribution and lean body mass. Both WC and WHR correlate more closely to abdominal obesity which in-turn is more reflective of metabolic abnormalities such as decreased glucose tolerance, reduced insulin sensitivity and adverse lipid profiles ${ }^{6}$. There is no definite evidence on appropriate universal or population-specific cut offs for WC or $\mathrm{WHR}^{7}$ and variations in outcome measures used for reference. For the purpose of this report, cut-offs commonly attributed to $\mathrm{WHO}^{6,8}$ (used for discussion below)and South Asian specific cut-offs established by International Diabetes Federation (only shown in Table 9.2) that have been widely cited across studies were utilized for cross country comparison and trend analysis. Further analysis using validated country or population specific cut-offs may be required for more sensitive population risk assessment.

The population mean WC of all adults (15-69 years) was 79.7 cm and mean WHR was 0.90 (Table 9.2). 11.8\% of adults had high WC ( $>88 \mathrm{~cm}$ for women, $>102 \mathrm{~cm}$ for men). $63.6 \%$ of adults have high WHR (Table 9.2).

## Patterns by background characteristics for waist circumference and waist-hip ratio (Table 9.2):

- Age group 40-54 years had the highest mean WC followed by age group 55-69 years.
- The proportion of adults with high WC declined as education level increased (Figure 9.4) while no apparent relationship is seen for WHR and education.

[^36]- Adults with the highest household wealth had significantly higher mean WC compared to all other wealth quintiles (Figure 9.4). Similar patterns are seen for WHR across wealth quintile (Table 9.2).

Figure 9.4 Percent adults aged 15-69 with high waist circumference by residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPS
Survey 2019


- A significantly higher proportion of women had high WC and WHR compared to men (WC:19.5\% in women vs $3.3 \%$ in men; WHR: $70.2 \%$ in women vs $56.3 \%$ in men).
- Gandaki Province (second most urban Province) had the highest prevalence of adults with high WC and lowest prevalence was in Karnali Province (most rural Province).


## Trends between $2013{ }^{10}$ and 2019 survey in adults aged 15-69:

- Between 2013 and 2019, the prevalence of high WC increased much more among women than men (women: $14.5 \%$ to $19.5 \%$; men $2.4 \%$ to $3.3 \%$ ).
- While mean WHR did not change significantly between 2 survey rounds, the prevalence of high WHR increased amongst women ( $64.4 \%$ to $70.2 \%$ ) and men ( $52.6 \%$ to $56.3 \%$ )


### 9.3 Disease risk based on body-mass index and waist circumference

Information from BMI and WC can be combined to capture both general obesity and abdominal obesity for the better categorization of risk status relative to individuals who have normal BMI and normal WC(Figure 9.5).

Figure 9.5 Classification of Overweight and Obesity by BMI, Waist Circumference, and Associated Disease Risk* (adapted from: NHLBI Obesity Education Initiative (2000) ${ }^{1 l}$ )

|  | Waist Circumference |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BMI categories** | Men $<=102 \mathrm{~cm}$, Women $<=88 \mathrm{~cm}$ | Men $>102 \mathrm{~cm}$, Women $>88 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Normal (BMI 18.5-24.9) | Normal risk | Increased risk |
| Overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9) | Increased risk | High risk |
| Obese (BMI $>=30.0$ ) | High risk | Very high risk |

*Disease risk is relative to normal weight and waist circumference
**Excluded underweight category

[^37]In Nepal $69.2 \%$ of adults had both a normal BMI and a normal WC and hence falls in the normal risk group for chronic diseases (Table 9.3). 19.9\% of adults were in "increased" risk group, while $7.5 \%$ and $3.3 \%$ of all adults were categorized into "high" and "very-high" risk group respectively (Table 9.3).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 9.3):

- Age group 40-54 years had the lowest percent of adults with "normal" risk ( $60.8 \%$ ) and highest percent of adults with "very high" risk (5.1\%)
- The largest proportion of the population with "increased" risk was in metropolitan and sub-metropolitan regions ( $29.0 \%$ ) and overall risk was lower in rural municipalities (Figure 9.6).This was also reflected in Karnali Province (most rural Province) having highest proportion of adult with normal risk and lowest proportion in Province 3.
- While the opposite relationship is seen for household wealth and normal risk (Figure 9.7).

Figure 9.6 Differentials in disease risk based on BMI and WC amongst adults aged 15-69 by residence, Nepal STEPs Survey 2019


Trends between $2013^{5}$ and 2019 survey in adults aged 15-69:

- A decrease in proportion of adults with normal risk ( $73.7 \%$ vs $69.2 \%$ ).
- While the proportions of adults with increased risk are similar between 2013 and 2019, the proportion of adults with very high risk increased from $0.7 \%$ to $3.3 \%$ and those with high risk increased from $4.1 \%$ to $7.5 \%$ (Figure 9.8).
- The increase amongst risk groups are differential between men and women. The percentage of women at high/very high risk increased substantially amongst women (high risk: $5.1 \%$ to $10.8 \%$; very high risk: $0 \%$ to 4.9\%) while much smaller increases were seen for men (Figure 9.9).

Figure 9.7 Differentials in disease risk based on BMI and WC amonst adults aged 15-69 by wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 9.8 Trend in disease risk based on BMI and WC from 2013 to 2019 amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 9.9 Trends in disease risk between 2013 and 2019 amongst adults aged 15-69 by sex, Nepal STEPS Survey


## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on physical activity, see the following tables:
Table 9.1 Nutritional status based on body-mass index: all participants (excluding pregnant women) Table 9.2 Nutritional status based on waist circumference and waist-hip ratio: all participants (excluding pregnant women)

Table 9.3 Disease risk based on body-mass index and waist circumference: all participants (excluding pregnant women)

| Mean population BMI andbackground characteristic | percentage of [Nepal STEP <br> Mean BMI* $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ts aged } \\ & \hline 19] \end{aligned}$ | $15-6$ | $69 \text { whe }$ | had normal | MI, were unde | weight, overweigh | t or obese; by | Number of participants (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 95\% CI |  |  | Percent participants who's weight status is*: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Normal } \\ \text { (BMI } \\ 18.5-24.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Underweight $(\mathrm{BMI}<=18.4)$ | Overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Obese (BMI } \\ >=30.0) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 21.0 | 20.6 | - | 21.4 | 75.4 | 13.2 | 10.5 | 0.9 | 801 |
| 25-39 | 23.4 | 23.1 | - | 23.7 | 62.3 | 8.6 | 23.5 | 5.5 | 2054 |
| 40-54 | 23.7 | 23.4 |  | 24.0 | 60.9 | 5.9 | 26.8 | 6.4 | 1565 |
| 55-69 | 22.5 | 22.1 |  | 22.9 | 62.5 | 15.7 | 17.8 | 4.0 | 1079 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 22.8 | 22.6 |  | 23.1 | 65.1 | 9.8 | 19.8 | 5.3 | 3507 |
| Men | 22.6 | 22.2 |  | 23.0 | 65.9 | 10.7 | 20.2 | 3.2 | 1992 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 23.8 | 23.2 |  | 24.5 | 62.4 | 4.5 | 28.8 | 4.2 | 694 |
| Municipality | 22.9 | 22.5 | - | 23.2 | 63.0 | 10.1 | 22.1 | 4.8 | 2702 |
| Rural Municipality | 22.3 | 21.9 |  | 22.6 | 69.9 | 11.8 | 14.8 | 3.6 | 2103 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 22.9 | 22.4 |  | 23.4 | 64.6 | 9.9 | 21.6 | 3.8 | 790 |
| Province 2 | 22.3 | 21.8 |  | 22.9 | 70.2 | 9.9 | 17.2 | 2.7 | 794 |
| Province 3 | 24.3 | 23.7 |  | 25.0 | 49.0 | 8.4 | 34.2 | 8.4 | 755 |
| Gandaki Province | 24.0 | 23.5 |  | 24.5 | 62.2 | 3.1 | 26.6 | 8.0 | 787 |
| Province 5 | 22.2 | 21.7 |  | 22.6 | 66.0 | 14.6 | 15.9 | 3.6 | 783 |
| Karnali Province | 21.4 | 20.9 | - | 21.8 | 76.8 | 11.9 | 9.7 | 1.6 | 788 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 21.5 | 21.2 |  | 21.9 | 78.6 | 10.2 | 9.4 | 1.8 | 802 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 22.7 | 22.4 | - | 23.0 | 63.0 | 12.0 | 20.4 | 4.6 | 2758 |
| Primary | 22.7 | 22.3 | - | 23.1 | 64.9 | 10.5 | 19.6 | 5.0 | 1033 |
| Secondary | 22.6 | 22.2 |  | 23.0 | 69.8 | 7.9 | 18.6 | 3.8 | 1067 |
| More than secondary | 22.9 | 22.4 | - | 23.4 | 65.8 | 8.9 | 21.7 | 3.5 | 640 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 22.3 | 21.9 | - | 22.6 | 73.2 | 10.1 | 13.8 | 3.0 | 1619 |
| Second | 22.3 | 22.0 | - | 22.7 | 68.5 | 10.0 | 19.0 | 2.5 | 1043 |
| Middle | 22.3 | 21.9 |  | 22.7 | 63.0 | 14.2 | 18.3 | 4.5 | 928 |
| Fourth | 22.6 | 22.2 | - | 23.1 | 65.4 | 11.0 | 19.4 | 4.2 | 867 |
| Highest | 24.0 | 23.5 |  | 24.6 | 57.5 | 5.9 | 29.4 | 7.3 | 1042 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 21.8 | 21.5 | - | 22.2 | 70.8 | 12.3 | 14.5 | 2.5 | 1407 |
| 30-44 | 23.8 | 23.5 | - | 24.2 | 61.8 | 5.4 | 26.4 | 6.4 | 2020 |
| 45-69 | 23.0 | 22.7 |  |  | 60.7 | 11.9 | 22.3 | 5.1 | 2072 |
| Total (15-39) | 22.5 | 22.2 | - | 22.7 | 67.6 | 10.5 | 18.3 | 3.7 | 2855 |
| Total (40-69) | 23.2 | 22.9 |  |  | 61.5 | 9.8 | 23.3 | 5.5 | 2644 |
| Total (15-69) | 22.7 | 22.5 |  | 23.0 | 65.5 | 10.2 | 20.0 | 4.3 | 5499 |

* underweight $\mathrm{BMI}<18.5$; overweight $\mathrm{BMI}>=25.0-29.9$; obese $\mathrm{BMI}>=30.0$. For participants aged $15-18$, BMI classification is based on age: underweight $\mathrm{BMI}<-2 \mathrm{SD}$, overweight BMI $>=1-2 \mathrm{SD}$, obese BMI $>=2 \mathrm{SD}$ (https://www.who.int/growthref/who2007_bmi_for_age/ en/)

| Mean waist circumference (WC) and waist-hip ratio (WHR) and percentage of people age 15-69 (excluding pregnant women) who have high waist circumference and at-risk and high-ri background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 95\% CI |  |  | Percent adults with high WC based on cut-offs: |  | Mean WHR *** | 95\% CI |  |  | Percent adults with high WHR ( $>=0.85$ women, $>=0.90$ men | Number of participants (N) |
| Background characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean WC } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { women }>88 \mathrm{~cm} \text { men } \\ & \quad>102 \mathrm{~cm}^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { women }>80 \mathrm{~cm} \text { men } \\ \quad>90 \mathrm{~cm}^{* *} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 74.2 | 73.1 |  | 75.3 | 4.1 | 11.3 | 0.88 | 0.86 | - | 0.90 | 45.2 | 802 |
| 25-39 | 81.2 | 80.1 |  | 82.2 | 14.1 | 31.5 | 0.91 | 0.90 | - | 0.92 | 67.2 | 2056 |
| 40-54 | 82.9 | 81.9 |  | 84.0 | 16.0 | 40.1 | 0.92 | 0.91 | - | 0.93 | 74.7 | 1571 |
| 55-69 | 80.9 | 79.6 |  | 82.1 | 13.4 | 32.9 | 0.92 | 0.91 | - | 0.93 | 72.1 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 79.0 | 78.0 |  | 79.9 | 19.5 | 39.7 | 0.89 | 0.88 | - | 0.90 | 70.2 | 3521 |
| Men | 80.4 | 79.4 |  | 81.5 | 3.3 | 15.5 | 0.92 | 0.91 | - | 0.93 | 56.3 | 1997 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 81.6 | 79.7 |  | 83.6 | 12.0 | 31.7 | 0.92 | 0.90 | - | 0.93 | 73.9 | 698 |
| Municipality | 80.2 | 79.1 |  | 81.2 | 13.3 | 31.0 | 0.90 | 0.89 | - | 0.91 | 61.9 | 2712 |
| Rural Municipality | 78.5 | 77.0 |  | 80.0 | 9.5 | 23.3 | 0.91 | 0.89 | - | 0.92 | 63.6 | 2108 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 79.8 | 77.2 |  | 82.4 | 15.3 | 30.8 | 0.91 | 0.89 | - | 0.93 | 69.4 | 794 |
| Province 2 | 79.2 | 77.4 |  | 81.0 | 9.0 | 24.4 | 0.94 | 0.91 | - | 0.96 | 75.3 | 800 |
| Province 3 | 81.6 | 79.8 |  | 83.4 | 12.3 | 36.0 | 0.91 | 0.89 | - | 0.92 | 69.1 | 755 |
| Gandaki Province | 81.7 | 79.3 |  | 84.0 | 18.3 | 36.0 | 0.90 | 0.88 | - | 0.93 | 62.2 | 788 |
| Province 5 | 78.7 | 77.3 |  | 80.1 | 10.7 | 24.8 | 0.90 | 0.88 | - | 0.91 | 58.0 | 785 |
| Karnali Province | 76.7 | 75.1 |  | 78.3 | 6.5 | 19.7 | 0.87 | 0.86 | - | 0.89 | 44.4 | 789 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 79.3 | 76.4 |  | 82.3 | 9.9 | 23.9 | 0.87 | 0.85 | - | 0.89 | 47.3 | 807 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 80.4 | 79.3 |  | 81.5 | 14.4 | 33.8 | 0.91 | 0.90 | - | 0.92 | 67.4 | 2773 |
| Primary | 79.2 | 77.8 | - | 80.6 | 13.1 | 27.5 | 0.91 | 0.90 | - | 0.93 | 64.4 | 1036 |


| Secondary | 79.2 | 78.0 |  | 80.3 | 9.1 | 23.3 | 0.90 | 0.89 | - | 0.91 | 56.6 | 1069 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| More than secondary | 79.2 | 77.5 |  | 80.9 | 7.4 | 22.2 | 0.90 | 0.88 | - | 0.91 | 64.1 | 639 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 78.6 | 76.8 | - | 80.4 | 8.8 | 24.6 | 0.89 | 0.87 | - | 0.91 | 58.0 | 1623 |
| Second | 77.2 | 76.1 | - | 78.4 | 8.4 | 23.4 | 0.89 | 0.88 | - | 0.90 | 58.7 | 1047 |
| Middle | 79.1 | 77.9 | - | 80.3 | 12.1 | 27.7 | 0.90 | 0.89 | - | 0.92 | 60.8 | 933 |
| Fourth | 80.2 | 78.8 | - | 81.5 | 11.6 | 27.1 | 0.91 | 0.90 | - | 0.93 | 68.5 | 871 |
| Highest | 83.2 | 81.7 | - | 84.7 | 17.8 | 38.0 | 0.92 | 0.91 | - | 0.94 | 72.1 | 1044 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 76.5 | 75.5 | - | 77.6 | 7.2 | 17.5 | 0.89 | 0.88 | - | 0.90 | 52.6 | 1408 |
| 30-44 | 82.6 | 81.6 | - | 83.5 | 16.0 | 37.7 | 0.91 | 0.91 | - | 0.92 | 72.3 | 2022 |
| 45-69 | 81.8 | 80.7 | - | 82.8 | 14.7 | 35.6 | 0.92 | 0.91 | - | 0.93 | 72.6 | 2088 |
| Total (15-39) | 78.4 | 77.4 | - | 79.3 | 10.0 | 23.3 | 0.90 | 0.89 | - | 0.91 | 58.3 | 2858 |
| Total (40-69) | 82.1 | 81.2 | - | 83.1 | 15.0 | 37.3 | 0.92 | 0.91 | - | 0.93 | 73.7 | 2660 |
| Total (15-69) | 79.7 | 78.8 | - | 80.5 | 11.8 | 28.2 | 0.90 | 0.90 | - | 0.91 | 63.6 | 5518 |
| *WHO cut-offs for substantially increased risk by WC: $>88 \mathrm{~cm}$ for women and $>102 \mathrm{~cm}$ for men. ** International Diabetes Federation(IDF) cut-offs for increased risk by WC for South $>90 \mathrm{~cm}$ for men. ${ }^{* * * W H O}$ cut offs for increased risk by WHR: $>=0.85$ for women, $>=0.90$ for men. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Prevalence of different levels of disease risk* based on Body Mass Index and waist circumference amongst adults aged 15-69, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Percent of adults who's disease risk is: |  |  |  | Total | Number of participants (N) |
|  | Normal risk** | Increased risk | High risk | Very high risk |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 84.3 | 12.8 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 716 |
| 25-39 | 64.6 | 21.9 | 9.2 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 1917 |
| 40-54 | 60.8 | 24.3 | 9.8 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 1460 |
| 55-69 | 68.1 | 20.4 | 8.5 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 929 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 65.5 | 18.7 | 10.8 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 3200 |
| Men | 73.4 | 21.2 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1822 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 60.9 | 29.0 | 7.5 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 670 |
| Municipality | 66.3 | 21.4 | 8.4 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 2466 |
| Rural Municipality | 75.8 | 15.4 | 6.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1886 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 66.9 | 20.0 | 9.8 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 735 |
| Province 2 | 74.9 | 16.9 | 6.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 705 |
| Province 3 | 51.5 | 33.8 | 8.8 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 722 |
| Gandaki Province | 60.8 | 21.8 | 11.0 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 763 |
| Province 5 | 72.9 | 17.8 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 691 |
| Karnali Province | 83.9 | 11.6 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 701 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 82.5 | 10.8 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 705 |

## Education

| No education | 66.0 | 22.1 | 7.9 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 2438 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Primary | 69.1 | 17.8 | 9.4 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 968 |
| Secondary | 73.3 | 17.9 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 1011 |
| More than secondary | 70.8 | 20.6 | 6.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 604 |

## Wealth quintile

| Lowest | 77.4 | 16.3 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1448 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 73.4 | 19.0 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 944 |
| Middle | 68.5 | 20.1 | 8.1 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 823 |
| Fourth | 69.8 | 19.0 | 8.3 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 801 |
| Highest | 57.8 | 24.9 | 11.4 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 1006 |

## Age (previous, 2013)

| $15-29$ | 77.7 | 16.0 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1271 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $30-44$ | 61.4 | 23.7 | 10.3 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 1910 |
| $45-69$ | 64.4 | 22.0 | 9.5 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 1841 |


| Total (15-39) | 72.3 | 18.3 | 6.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 2633 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total (40-69) | 63.5 | 22.9 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 2389 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (15-69) | $\mathbf{6 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2 2}$ |

* Disease risk for type 2 diabetes, hypertension and CVD. Normal risk: Normal BMI and normal WC; increased risk: normal BMI and high WC or overweight and normal WC; High risk: overweight and high WC or Obese and normal WC; very high risk: obese and high WC. ** Adults who are underweight were excluded. Source: NHLBI Obesity Education Initiative (2000)


## Chapter 10

## BLOOD PRESSURE: PREVALENCE, DIAGNOSIS, TREATMENT AND SOURCES OF CARE

## Key Findings

- Prevalence of raised blood pressure (BP) among adults age 15-69 years.
o Based on actual measurement: Based on the criteria of Systolic $\mathrm{BP} \geq 140$ or diastolic $\mathrm{BP} \geq 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$, the prevalence of raised blood pressure or hypertension was $24.5 \%$. This includes people on medication who were normotensive at the time of the survey.
o Self-reported prevalence: Among adults who had ever had their BP measured, $12.3 \%$ adults were ever told by a doctor or health care provider that they have raised BP or hypertension.
- Diagnosis and treatment gap among those noted to have raised BP at the time of survey
o Unaware about their raised BP: 78.8\% adults
o Not on treatment: $11.7 \%$ for adults knew their raised BP or hypertension but were not on treatment.
o On treatment but not controlled: 5.4\% of adults.
o On treatment and controlled: 4.1\% of adults.
- Screening coverage, prescription of medications, treatment compliance
o Screening coverage: $55.9 \%$ of adults ( $60.8 \%$ among $40-69$ years old) had had their BP ever measured by a doctor or a health care provider.
o Slightly over half of the adults (51\%) who were told to have raised BP or hypertension were prescribed medication to lower their blood pressure.
o Treatment compliance: Among adults, who were prescribed medication to lower their BP, $82.1 \%$ reported ever taking medications and $70.7 \%$ reported currently taking their prescribed medication in the two weeks prior to the survey.
- Sources of care and medications
o Public and private sources of care: $52.9 \%$ and $33.0 \%$ of adults reported seeking treatment and advice for raised BP or hypertension usually from only private and public facilities, respectively. $4.5 \%$ reported seeking care from government and private facilities.
o Sources of drugs/medications: Majority of the adults (73.7\%) who have ever taken medication reported usually getting them only from private sources and only $14.6 \%$ reported getting their medications only from government facilities.
o Only $4.3 \%$ of adults reported ever seeking care from local healers while $2.5 \%$ reported using herbal medications to control their raised BP.
- Reasons for not taking medications among those prescribed medication to control their hypertension
"Medication not necessary" and "Blood pressure got normal" were the most common reasons given for not taking medication-- reported by $55.4 \%$ adults.

Elevated blood pressure or hypertension is a serious medical condition which significantly increases the risk of developing heart, brain, kidney and other diseases. An individual is considered hypertensive if when measured on two consecutive occasions, their systolic blood pressure is $\geq 140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ and their diastolic blood pressure is $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ on both occasions. ${ }^{1}$

Hypertension is often considered a "silent killer" as most people with hypertension are unaware of the problem and the condition may present no warning signs or symptoms. Several modifiable risk factors may lead to hypertension. These include unhealthy diets (excessive salt consumption, a diet high in saturated fat and trans fats, low intake of fruits and vegetables), physical inactivity, consumption of tobacco and alcohol, and being overweight or obese. ${ }^{2}$

Under the WHO Global Action Plan, one of the nine voluntary targets is to achieve $25 \%$ relative reduction in the prevalence of raised blood pressure by 2025 relative to 2010 levels. ${ }^{3}$ In line with the global NCD targets, Nepal has also adopted the same targets for hypertension control as stated under the WHO Global Action Plan ${ }^{4}$.

This chapter focuses on indicators related to blood pressure; assessing prevalence, diagnosis and treatment gaps and care seeking behaviors around blood pressure management. This information will help Nepal assess trends and progress towards hypertension management as specified in its multisectoral action plan as well as evaluation of current policies and programs in place to reduce population blood pressure levels. These will also guide future policy and programs to manage hypertension at population level.

## Blood Pressure Measurement

During the survey, blood pressure was measured with a digital, automated blood pressure monitor. Before taking the measurements, participants were asked to sit quietly and rest for 15 minutes with legs uncrossed. Three readings of systolic and diastolic blood pressure were obtained. Participants rested for three minutes between each reading. The mean of the second and third readings was calculated. A universal cuff size was used for all participants. The sphygmomanometer cuff was placed on the left arm while the participant rested their forearm on a table with the palm facing upward. Participants were requested to remove or roll up clothing on the arm. The cuff was kept above the elbow aligning the mark for artery (ART) on the cuff with the brachial artery and making sure the lower edge of the cuff was placed 1.2 to 2.5 cm above the inner side of the elbow joint and with the level of the cuff at the same level as the heart.

## Analysis

Hypertension was defined as having systolic blood pressure $\geq 140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ and/or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 90$ mm Hg during the survey, or normotensive at the time of survey but previously diagnosed as having hypertension and currently taking medications to control blood pressure.

Observations which had systolic $\mathrm{BP} \leq 40 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ or $\geq 300 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ were and Diastolic BP $<30 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ or $\geq 200$ mm Hg were excluded, though none of adults were recorded in this range. In case the third reading was invalid, the average of the first two readings was considered.

### 10.1. Prevalence of raised blood pressure based on measurement and medications history

Self-reported prevalence is likely to underestimate the true prevalence as many people may be asymptomatic and not aware of their BP status. Therefore, carrying out measurements in order to determine the actual prevalence

[^38]is essential to understanding the overall risk of hypertension across the population.

Overall $24.5 \%$ of adults were measured to have raised BP based on both the measurement and medications history (Table 10.1). On the other hand, based on self-reports among individuals who ever got their BP measured, the prevalence was only $12.3 \%$ (Table 10.2).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 10.1)

- The prevalence of raised BP or hypertension in adults aged 15-24 years was $9.5 \%$ which increased substantially after the age 55 ( $45.5 \%$ prevalence among adults aged 55-69 years). Prevalence of raised BP was significantly higher in men compared to women ( $29.8 \%$ vs $19.7 \%$ ).
- The prevalence of raised BP or hypertension decreased with increase in education level with a $31.8 \%$ prevalence in the group which had "no education/less than primary" and $14.7 \%$ in the group which had more than secondary education. However, no significant trends were observed by household wealth.
- While no significant differences were observed by metropolitan/municipality or rural municipality, the raised BP prevalence was highest in Gandaki Province 4 (29.9\%) and lowest in Province 2 (18.7\%) (Figure 10.1).

Figure 10.1 Provincial differences in hypertension prevalence among 15-69 years population, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


## Trends between $2013{ }^{5}$ and 2019 survey:

The prevalence of raised BP among adults increased $23.4 \%$ in 2013 to $24.5 \%$ in 2019. The increase was observed in both men and women (Figure 10.2).

Figure 10.2 Trends in prevalence of raised blood pressure by sex, Nepal STEPS Survey 2013 and 2019


### 10.2. Diagnosis and treatment gap

Hypertension increases the risk of development of severe health complications such as heart disease or stroke. Ensuring early diagnosis and initiation of treatment enables adults to make necessary lifestyle adjustments and reduces the risk of lasting damage.

## Diagnosis gap (Table 10.1):

Of all the people who were diagnosed with raised BP (Table 10.1), $78.8 \%$ hypertensive adults were unaware of their hypertensive status.

- Percentage of people unaware of their raised BP status declined with age.
- More men were unaware of their raised BP status than women ( $81.3 \%$ - men vs $75.4 \%$-women)
- The proportion of adults who were unaware of their diagnosis status decreased with increased wealth, but no consistent trends were seen with education level.


## Treatment gap (Table 10.1):

Overall, only one fifth of adults ( $22.2 \%$ ) were aware of their hypertensive status at the time of survey. $11.7 \%$ of the people who were aware of their raised BP at the time of survey and were not on treatment. $9.5 \%$ adults(less than half of those who were aware of their raised BP reported to be on treatment. $5.4 \%$ adults on treatment had raised BP (uncontrolled) at the time of survey and only $4.1 \%$ of adults were on treatment and controlled.

- Similar to diagnosis gap, the proportion of adults who were on treatment increased with increasing age.
- The proportion of adults with raised BP who were on treatment which did not control their BP increased with increasing age group ( $1.2 \%$ in the $15-24$ years age group to $11.4 \%$ in the $55-69$ years age group)
- The proportion of adults who were on treatment increased with increasing household wealth, but no consistent trends was seen with education level.

[^39]Quality of treatment (Table 10.1): Adults on treatment and controlled

Overall, $4.1 \%$ of adults were on treatment with BP within normal limits at the time of survey.

The proportion of adults who were on treatment with controlled BP- $1.5 \%$ were in the lowest quintile which increased progressively to $9.9 \%$ in the highest quintile (Figure 10.3).

Figure 10.3 Diagnosis and Treatment gaps among adults aged 15-69 by wealth quintile, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


### 10.3. Screening coverage

Early detection of raised BP through regular (at least annual) screening of healthy individuals is one of the key public health strategies for reduction the morbidity and mortality associated with hypertension. Though data were not elicited about annual screening, $55.9 \%$ adults ( $60.8 \%$ among the age group 40-69 years old) had had their blood pressure ever measured by a doctor or a health care provider.

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 10.2):

- More women reported ever having their BP measured or hypertension ( $58.7 \%$ - women versus $52.8 \%$ - men).
- Younger adults age 15-24 years were much less likely to report their BP ever measured compared to other age-groups (Figure 10.4).
- The likelihood of ever having BP measured did not vary by residence types but varied by Province. In Karnali Province and Sudoorpashchim Province number of people who had their BP checked was significantly lower than other Provinces (Figure 10.5).
- The likelihood of having had BP measured increased with education level and by household wealth (Figure 10.4).

Figure 10.4 Percent of adults who have ever had their BP measured by a doctor or health care provider among adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPs survey 2019


Figure 10.5 Percent of adults who have ever had their BP measured by a doctor or health care provider among adults aged 15-59 by province, Nepal STEPs survey 2019


## Trends between $2013{ }^{5}$ and 2019 survey:

The percentage of adults who reported ever measurement of their blood pressure levels by a doctor or health care provider decreased from $57.3 \%$ in 2013 to $55.9 \%$ in 2019. This decrease was observed across in both sexes (Figure 10.6).

Figure 10.6 Trends in percent of adults aged 15-69 who have ever had their blood pressure measured by sex, Nepal STEPS Survey 2013 and 2019


### 10.4. Prescription of medications and compliance with treatment (Table 10.2)

Monitoring of prescription practices and treatment compliance is an important strategy for evaluating the outcomes at individual and at population level. Hypertension is a chronic risk factor, requiring treatment over the lifetime of a person, which may reduce the compliance with treatment as observed with many other chronic conditions such as HIV/AIDS or tuberculosis.

Overall, $9.7 \%$ - about a half of the adults (51.0\%) who were ever told to have raised BP were actually prescribed the medications, and $41.9 \%$ ever took the medicines (or $83.6 \%$ of those who were prescribed) and $32.8 \%$ (or $72.0 \%$ of those who were prescribed medications) reported currently taking the medications, showing poor compliance with the prescriptions.

- Both the likelihood of being prescribed medication and compliance with treatment increased with age. So, if a person was diagnosed and prescribed medicine in 30-44 years age group, he/she was less likely to take drug compared to adults 45-69 years of age.
- The likelihood of being prescribed the medications to control blood pressure decreased with increasing education level.
- While the prescription of medicines did not vary by household wealth index, the proportion of the adults reported currently taking medications increased with household wealth.


### 10.5. Sources of care for treatment and advice and medications for raised BP

Overall a much higher proportion of adults sought treatment advise and care from private facilities (which include NGO run centers) ( $52.9 \%$ ) than from government ( $33 \%$ ) or other sources (such as ayurvedic, homeopathic or naturopathic hospital/clinic, medicine shops, pharmacies, etc.) (7.6\%) (Table 10.3). Similarly, for medications, majority of the adults approached only private providers ( $73.7 \%$ ), and only $14.6 \%$ of adults went to government providers. $6.0 \%$ of adults mentioned both government and private sources for medications for raised BP (Table 10.4).

## Background patterns: (Table 10.3 and 10.4)

- The proportion of adults who usually visited private facilities for care and medication decreased with increasing age. Highest proportion of adults sought care from private sources (73.7\%).
- Women were more likely to seek both treatment/advice ( $39.4 \%$ - women vs $27.3 \%-\mathrm{men}$ ) and medications ( $21.7 \%$ - women vs $6.2 \%$-men) only from government facilities.
- Sources of care and household wealth: More than half of all adults, even in the poorest wealth quintile sought care from private facilities. The proportion of adults seeking treatment and advice at government had a reverse relationship with wealth quintile (Figure 10.7). Lower wealth quintiles were more likely to seek advice and consultation from government facilities ( $50.8 \%$ in the lowest wealth index group) while higher wealth quintiles usually seek care form private facilities ( $66.2 \%$ in the wealthiest group).
- Source of care and Province: In all the Provinces, irrespective of the residence in metropolitan or municipalities, more than $50 \%$ of adults sought both care/advice and medications from private providers. The use of government facilities for both advice/consultation and medications was lowest in Province 2 and 3, and higher in Provinces 5, Karnali Province, and Sudoorpashchim Province. By residence, while use of government facilities was much higher in rural municipalities compared to metropolitan or municipalities, the same was not true for source of medication (Figure 10.8).

Figure 10.7 Percent of adults (who were ever told to have raised BP) who sought treatment care/advise and medications from government and private facilities with respect to wealth quintile, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


Figure 10.8 Percent of adults (who were ever told to have raised BP) who sought treatment care/advise from government facilities with respect to Province, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


### 10.6. Consultation with traditional healers and use of herbal remedies

- A negligible proportion of adults with raised BP reported visiting a traditional healer like Dhami/ Jhakri/ Purohit/Lama/Gubaji/ Matas for treatment and advise. The same trend was observed in adults who reported currently taking herbal remedies for their raised blood pressure.
- Additionally, the number of adults who reported usually going to seek care, advise or medications at ayurvedic, homeopathic or naturopathic hospitals/clinics was also negligible.


### 10.7. Reasons for not on treatment

$55.4 \%$ of adults who were prescribed medications cited "didn't think the drugs were necessary" and "their blood pressure got normal "as reasons for not currently taking medications/treatment (Table 10.5). The second most common reason given for not taking medications was "medicine not advised by doctor" as cited by $45.9 \%$ adults (Figure 10.9).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 10.5):

- The highest proportion of adults who reported "medicine not advised by doctor" were below 40 years of age groups (54.5\%).
- A higher proportion of men ( $48.8 \%$ ) gave the reasons "did not think drugs were necessary" or "their blood pressure was under control" compared to women ( $39.8 \%$ ).
- The proportion of individuals gave the reasons that "drugs were not necessary" or "their blood pressure got normal" did not vary by education-level.
- However, the proportion of adults who stated that the medicines were too expensive decreased with increase in education level, decreasing from $9.2 \%$ in the lowest education group to $0 \%$ in the "higher than secondary education" group.

Figure 10.9 Reasons for which adults reported not taking drugs for raised BP, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on raised blood pressure prevalence, Screening and treatment coverage or sources of care, see the following tables:

Table: 10.1 Prevalence of raised BP and diagnosis, treatment and control rates
Table 10.2 Measurement of BP, prescription of medications, treatment compliance
Table 10.3 Sources of care for raised BP or hypertension
Table 10.4 Sources of medications for raised BP or hypertension
Table 10.5 Reasons for not taking medications among those told to have raised BP or hypertension and have been prescribed medications

Table 10.6 Care seeking from traditional healers and use of traditional/herbal remedies

| Table 10.1 Prevalence of raised BP or hypertension and diagnosis, treatment and control rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of people 15-69 years who had raised BP or hypertension at the time of survey or on BP medications and who were aware of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| their diagnosis, on treatment or have their BP controlled or uncontrolled with medications, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Among those with raised BP ${ }^{1}$ |  |


| Table.10.2 Measurement of BP, prescription of medications, treatment compliance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of people 15-69 who have ever had their blood pressure measured and who have been told by a health care provider that they have raised blood pressure or hypertension; amo told they have high blood pressure, the percentage told in the past 12 months they have raised blood pressure or hypertension, prescribed medication to control blood pressure, and taking pressure, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Ever told have |  | Among all who have been told by a doctor or health care provider they have high blood pressure, the percentage who were: |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Ever had blood pressure measured by doctor or health care provider (\%) | (N) | pressure by doctor or health care provider (\%) among those ever measured | (N) | Told in the past 12 months have high blood pressure (\%) (among those ever told) | Prescribed medication to control blood pressure (\%) | ever taken medication to control blood pressure (\%) | currently taking medication to control blood pressure (\%) | (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 41.0 | 843 | 4.1 | 360 | 55.0* |  | 17.8* | 6.5* | 17* |
| 25-39 | 62.0 | 2,087 | 8.5 | 1,279 | 74.8 | 30.8 | 28.9 | 14.6 | 131 |
| 40-54 | 61.7 | 1,574 | 18.9 | 960 | 66.2 | 54.9 | 38.9 | 34.6 | 193 |
| 55-69 | 59.5 | 1,089 | 24.1 | 632 | 65.8 | 73.4 | 65.0 | 56.1 | 160 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 58.7 | 3,595 | 10.6 | 2,116 | 67.3 | 54.8 | 47.0 | 34.8 | 293 |
| Men | 52.8 | 1,998 | 14.3 | 1,115 | 68.4 | 47.5 | 37.1 | 31.0 | 208 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/sub metropolitan | 52.0 | 705 | 16.0 | 516 | 65.4 | 49.0 | 45.5 | 37.0 | 100 |
| Municipality | 59.5 | 2,755 | 13.3 | 1,639 | 71.9 | 54.7 | 46.3 | 37.0 | 252 |
| Rural Municipality | 51.7 | 2,133 | 9.6 | 1,076 | 59.7 | 43.5 | 30.2 | 21.5 | 149 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 63.5 | 804 | 13.5 | 551 | 60.1 | 50.1 | 40.0 | 32.5 | 90 |
| Province 2 | 56.5 | 803 | 8.4 | 428 | 98.6 | 66.6 | 58.6 | 45.1 | 44 |
| Province 3 | 66.1 | 759 | 10.4 | 556 | 70.4 | 55.8 | 48.3 | 48.3 | 86 |


| Gandaki Province | 68.5 | 793 | 14.1 | 587 | 50.5 | 48.7 | 46.5 | 39.1 | 101 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province 5 | 46.2 | 797 | 11.8 | 395 | 75.8 | 44.9 | 37.2 | 27.0 | 64 |
| Karnali Province | 39.9 | 808 | 17.3 | 325 | 55.6 | 42.2 | 34.9 | 23.3 | 59 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 45.1 | 829 | 18.0 | 389 | 58.2 | 44.6 | 25.9 | 9.0 | 57 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 51.3 | 2,792 | 16.1 | 1,430 | 66.3 | 61.3 | 48.2 | 36.1 | 251 |
| Primary | 54.3 | 1,051 | 11.5 | 614 | 65.2 | 55.3 | 44.5 | 35.6 | 94 |
| Secondary | 54.3 | 1,088 | 9.2 | 680 | 71.7 | 33.8 | 33.8 | 29.6 | 92 |
| More than secondary | 72.6 | 661 | 9.5 | 507 | 71.5 | 34.7 | 28.7 | 23.2 | 64 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 43.4 | 1,653 | 10.0 | 660 | 61.0 | 50.8 | 41.3 | 26.5 | 97 |
| Second | 50.5 | 1,062 | 10.1 | 580 | 62.6 | 48.4 | 34.2 | 23.6 | 64 |
| Middle | 55.8 | 949 | 13.4 | 607 | 66.7 | 52.0 | 38.2 | 27.0 | 95 |
| Fourth | 63.0 | 878 | 11.6 | 591 | 68.8 | 46.2 | 37.6 | 31.3 | 96 |
| Highest | 66.9 | 1,051 | 15.0 | 793 | 73.8 | 55.4 | 52.0 | 45.8 | 149 |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 48.9 | 1,466 | 5.3 | 747 | 73.1 | 20.7 | 17.3 | 5.5 | 50 |
| 30-44 | 63.0 | 2,039 | 11.0 | 1,241 | 67.8 | 37.3 | 31.6 | 21.0 | 153 |
| 45-69 | 60.2 | 2,088 | 23.3 | 1,243 | 66.3 | 68.0 | 55.2 | 47.8 | 298 |
| Total ( $15-39$ ) | 53.4 | 2,930 | 7.1 | 1,639 | 71.2 | 30.0 | 26.9 | 13.1 | 148 |
| Total (40-69) | 60.8 | 2,663 | 20.9 | 1,592 | 66.0 | 63.1 | 50.5 | 44.1 | 353 |
| Total (15-69) | 55.9 | 5,593 | 12.3 | 3,231 | 67.9 | 51.0 | 41.9 | 32.8 | 501 |


| Table 10.3 Source of care for treatment for raised BP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of people 15-69 who were ever told to have raised BP or hypertension and who mentioned different sources of care for treatment/advise, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | government facilities |  |  | Private |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Government Only | Private only | Both government and private | Other Facilities** | Primary ${ }^{1}$ | Secondary ${ }^{2}$ | Tertiary ${ }^{3}$ | Primary ${ }^{4}$ | Secondary ${ }^{5}$ | N |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 40.3* | 54.2* | 3.2* | 0* | 27.9* | 4.7* | 14.4* | 13.2* | 44.3* | 17* |
| 25-39 | 25.1 | 64.9 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 18.1 | 7.8 | 4.2 | 27.4 | 45.4 | 8 |
| 40-54 | 42.7 | 46.0 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 31.1 | 12.2 | 10.0 | 24.2 | 31.9 | 193 |
| 55-69 | 27.6 | 48.5 | 5.2 | 16.4 | 20.8 | 8.4 | 14.3 | 32.9 | 35.0 | 160 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 39.4 | 48.8 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 24.3 | 11.3 | 12.4 | 29.9 | 25.9 | 293 |
| Men | 27.2 | 56.7 | 5.7 | 8.8 | 23.9 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 24.0 | 48.5 | 208 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 31.4 | 45.2 | 6.6 | 15.1 | 12.0 | 8.5 | 26.5 | 24.2 | 46.8 | 100 |
| Municipality | 28.8 | 59.9 | 2.3 | 6.9 | 20.1 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 29.3 | 38.6 | 252 |
| Rural Municipality | 43.4 | 39.8 | 8.5 | 6.2 | 38.3 | 13.5 | 6.6 | 22.4 | 31.8 | 149 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 51.5 | 38.7 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 42.7 | 9.1 | 11.5 | 16.5 | 31.4 | 90 |
| Province 2 | 8.6 | 69.3 | 9.4 | 7.8 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 2.7 | 45.2 | 42.9 | 44 |
| Province 3 | 18.4 | 55.5 | 2.9 | 19.0 | 14.3 | 5.0 | 11.8 | 18.7 | 50.8 | 86 |
| Gandaki Province | 41.0 | 46.3 | 11.1 | 1.6 | 23.6 | 13.3 | 18.2 | 32.5 | 28.2 | 101 |
| Province 5 | 34.1 | 57.9 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 25.3 | 7.0 | 10.3 | 24.3 | 39.9 | 64 |
| Karnali Province | 43.7 | 44.1 | 2.4 | 6.2 | 27.4 | 14.6 | 8.0 | 24.0 | 23.7 | 59 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 31.1 | 60.4 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 19.9 | 10.9 | 4.6 | 35.3 | 38.2 | 57 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 37.2 | 45.9 | 3.8 | 10.4 | 23.6 | 12.9 | 10.6 | 30.7 | 25.2 | 251 |
| Primary | 24.6 | 67.1 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 21.8 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 25.8 | 50.3 | 94 |
| Secondary | 32.6 | 49.4 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 28.8 | 7.7 | 11.9 | 27.2 | 40.4 | 92 |
| More than secondary | 30.6 | 61.7 | 5.8 | 1.9 | 23.2 | 4.5 | 11.6 | 16.0 | 57.8 | 64 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 50.8 | 35.7 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 36.5 | 15.8 | 18.2 | 23.1 | 18.4 | 97 |
| Second | 46.1 | 36.1 | 2.3 | 7.0 | 41.0 | 8.5 | 2.9 | 18.8 | 25.2 | 64 |


| Middle | 35.6 | 51.8 | 4.2 | 7.8 | 23.0 | 14.6 | 7.0 | 30.7 | 27.5 | 95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fourth | 28.1 | 57.8 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 24.7 | 7.0 | 10.5 | 29.4 | 48.4 | 96 |
| Highest | 20.2 | 66.2 | 3.4 | 8.7 | 10.5 | 4.6 | 11.1 | 27.9 | 52.1 | 149 |
| Total (15-39) | 27.9 | 63.0 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 19.9 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 24.8 | 45.2 | 148 |
| Total (40-69) | 36.0 | 47.1 | 4.9 | 9.3 | 26.5 | 10.5 | 11.9 | 28.0 | 33.3 | 353 |
| Total (15-69) | 33.0 | 52.9 | 4.5 | 7.6 | 24.1 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 26.9 | 37.6 | 501 |

${ }^{1}$ Primary government facilities include government primary health centres and government health posts
${ }^{2}$ Secondary government facilities include government distict hospitals
${ }^{3}$ Tertiary government facilities include government tertiary level hospitals and government regional and sub regional hospitals
${ }^{4}$ Primary private facilities include Private Clinics

[^40]| Table 10.4 Source of drugs/medications for BP: all |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of people 15-69 who have ever taken medication for raised BP or hypertension and who mentioned different sources medications, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Government Only* | Private Only** | Both government and private | Other Facilities | N |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 33.7* | 66.3* | 0* | 0* | 4* |
| 25-39 | 21.3 | 56.6 | 7.1 | 14.5 | 38 |
| 40-54 | 17.3 | 75.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 94 |
| 55-69 | 8.1 | 80.9 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 111 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 21.7 | 61.9 | 7.4 | 4.1 | 148 |
| Men | 6.2 | 87.6 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 99 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 36.1 | 46.0 | 11.4 | 6.4 | 62 |
| Municipality | 9.3 | 80.7 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 129 |
| Rural Municipality | 20.2 | 65.8 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 56 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 12.9 | 72.9 | 10.7 | 3.5 | 45 |
| Province 2 | 0.9* | 89.2* | 1.7* | 0.0* | 29* |
| Province 3 | 8.5 | 86.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 45 |
| Gandaki Province | 17.0 | 70.2 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 57 |
| Province 5 | 25.9* | 47.6* | 8.5* | 15.3* | 29* |
| Karnali Province | 17.8* | 82.2* | 0* | 0.0* | 25* |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 37.2* | 59.0* | 3.6* | 0.0* | 17* |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 15.0 | 69.6 | 6.4 | 4.0 | 132 |
| Primary | 15.8 | 82.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 45 |
| Secondary | 11.7 | 77.0 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 39 |
| More than secondary | 14.1* | 73.8* | 12.2* | 0.0* | 31* |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 26.2 | 66.3 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 40 |
| Second | 24.3* | 63.0* | 0* | 0.0* | 26* |
| Middle | 25.4 | 63.8 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 46 |
| Fourth | 6.1 | 83.9 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 46 |
| Highest | 5.7 | 80.0 | 4.4 | 8.2 | 89 |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 50.7* | 36.7* | 12.6* | 0.0* | 10* |
| 30-44 | 13.3 | 69.9 | 2.8 | 13.5 | 50 |
| 45-69 | 11.4 | 78.6 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 187 |
| Total (15-39) | 22.8 | 57.8 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 42 |
| Total (40-69) | 12.0 | 78.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 205 |
| Total (15-69) | 14.6 | 73.7 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 247 |

## Table 10.5 Reasons for not taking medications for raised BP or hypertension: all

Percentage of people 15-69 who have been ever advised to take drugs but not taking drugs in the past 2 weeks and specified different reasons for not taking medication for raised BP or hypertension, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019]

| Background characteristic | don't think drugs <br> are necessary/BP <br> got normal | fear or have side <br> effects | too expensive/not <br> available | Medicines not <br> advised by doctor | (N) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-24$ | $49.2^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $54.4^{*}$ | $15^{*}$ |
| $25-39$ | 53.7 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 54.5 | 111 |
| $40-54$ | 58.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 40.7 | 110 |
| $55-69$ | 57.3 | 4.0 | 14.7 | 33.4 | 60 |

Sex

| Women | 39.8 | 5.9 | 7.3 | 42.6 | 176 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Men | 48.8 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 48.7 | 120 |

## Residence

| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 71.2 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 24.5 | 42 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | 46.7 | 6.7 | 8.1 | 53.3 | 141 |
| Rural Municipality | 66.5 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 39.1 | 113 |

## Province

| Province 1 | 63.8 | 3.2 | 8.2 | 35.3 | 51 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province 2 | $52.7^{*}$ | $2.9^{*}$ | $7.6^{*}$ | $58.0^{*}$ | $20^{*}$ |
| Province 3 | 50.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 63.9 | 42 |
| Gandaki Province | 48.7 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 49.3 | 49 |
| Province 5 | 65.8 | 9.8 | 2.9 | 34.2 | 41 |
| Karnali Province | 58.8 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 41.2 | 44 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 42.7 | 2.3 | 9.1 | 50.5 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education | 59.6 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 35.4 | 147 |
| None/Less than primary | 55.5 | 3.1 | 6.4 | 52.7 | 55 |
| Primary | 46.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 62.7 | 57 |
| Secondary | 54.3 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 47.7 | 37 |

## Wealth quintile

| Lowest | 72.0 | 6.8 | 4.6 | 32.9 | 74 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second | 50.8 | 2.2 | 5.8 | 47.3 | 43 |
| Middle | 40.8 | 6.5 | 9.1 | 53.7 | 56 |
| Fourth | 56.7 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 39.6 | 55 |
| Highest | 62.6 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 50.4 | 68 |
|  |  |  |  | 54.5 | 126 |
| Total (15-39) | 52.8 | 4.3 | 9.0 | 38.2 | 170 |
| Total (40-69) | 57.7 | 5.6 | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 6}$ |
| Total (15-69) | $\mathbf{5 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ |  |  |  |

Notes:*interpret data with caution due to small sample size

| Percentage of people 15-69 who have been ever told to have raised BP or hypertension and who sought care from a traditional healer or currently using a traditional/herbal remedy, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | For raised BP |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | ever seen a local healer | Total Number (N) | currently taking a herbal remedy | Total Number (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 0* | 17 | 0* | 17 |
| 25-39 | 8.6 | 131 | 7.6 | 131 |
| 40-54 | 2.1 | 193 | 0.0 | 193 |
| 55-69 | 3.6 | 160 | 0.8 | 160 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 2.9 | 293 | 0.0 | 293 |
| Men | 5.6 | 208 | 4.8 | 208 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 1.7 | 100 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Municipality | 6.3 | 252 | 4.0 | 252 |
| Rural Municipality | 0.8 | 149 | 0.0 | 149 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 11.7 | 90 | 10.9 | 90 |
| Province 2 | 0.0 | 44 | 0.0 | 44 |
| Province 3 | 0.0 | 86 | 0.0 | 86 |
| Gandaki Province | 1.4 | 101 | 0.0 | 101 |
| Province 5 | 7.4 | 64 | 0.0 | 64 |
| Karnali Province | 0.0 | 59 | 0.0 | 59 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 1.9 | 57 | 0.0 | 57 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 3.8 | 251 | 0.0 | 251 |
| Primary | 1.2 | 94 | 1.2 | 94 |
| Secondary | 0.0 | 92 | 0.0 | 92 |
| More than secondary | 14.7 | 64 | 14.7 | 64 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 5.5 | 97 | 0.0 | 97 |
| Second | 2.3 | 64 | 0.0 | 64 |
| Middle | 13.6 | 95 | 11.4 | 95 |
| Fourth | 1.2 | 96 | 0.0 | 96 |
| Highest | 0.2 | 149 | 0.0 | 149 |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 13.5 | 50 | 13.5 | 50 |
| 30-44 | 2.1 | 153 | 0.0 | 153 |
| 45-69 | 2.6 | 298 | 0.4 | 298 |
| Total (15-39) | 7.0 | 148 | 6.2 | 148 |
| Total (40-69) | 2.8 | 353 | 0.3 | 353 |
| Total (15-69) | 4.3 | 501 | 2.5 | 501 |
| Notes:*data not shown as sample size $<35$; |  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 11

## DIABETES: PREVALENCE, SCREENING COVERAGE, DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT

## Key Findings

- Prevalence of raised blood sugar among adults age 15-69 years.
o Actual measurement: Based on the criteria of fasting blood glucose $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, the prevalence of raised blood sugar was $5.8 \%$. This includes people on medication whose blood sugar levels were normal at the time of survey.
o Self-reported prevalence: Among all, 2.0\% adults were ever told by a doctor or a health care provider that they have raised blood sugar.
- Diagnosis and treatment gap among those noted to have raised blood sugar at the time of survey
o Unaware about their raised Blood sugar: 73.5\% adults
o Not on treatment: $5.9 \%$ for adults knew they had raised blood sugar but were not on treatment.
o On treatment but not controlled: $14.7 \%$ of aadults.
o On treatment and controlled: $6.0 \%$ of adults.
- Screening coverage, prescription of medications, treatment compliance
o Screening coverage: $17.2 \%$ of adults ( $21.2 \%$ among 40-69 years old) had had their blood sugar ever measured by a doctor or a health care provider.
o $79.7 \%$ of the adults who were told to have raised blood sugar were prescribed medication to lower their blood sugar levels.
o Treatment compliance: $70 \%$ adults who were told to have raised blood sugar reported ever taking any medications to control their blood sugar. A little over half adults (55\%) reported currently taking their prescribed medications (including insulin) in the two weeks prior to the survey.
- Sources of care and medications
o Sources of care: 78.6\% of adults usually sought treatment and advice for raised blood sugar from private facilities only, and $11.0 \%$ reported so from government facilities only. $5.3 \%$ sought care from both government and private facilities.
o Sources of drugs/medication: Majority of the adults who were prescribed medication reported usually getting them only from private facilities $(82.2 \%)$ and $11.8 \%$ reported getting their medication only from government facilities.
o No adult reported taking herbal remedies or visiting a traditional healer like Dhami/Jhakri/Purohit/ Lama/Gubaji/Matas for controlling their diabetes or raised blood sugar.
- Reasons for not taking medications among those prescribed medication to control their blood sugar
"Medication not necessary" and "Blood sugar got normal" were the most common reasons given for not taking medication-- reported by $53.0 \%$ adults who were ever prescribed medications

Diabetes is a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by raised blood sugar or hyperglycemia that occurs when the pancreas does not produce sufficient insulin (Type 1 diabetes) or when the body cannot effectively use the insulin it produces (Type 2 diabetes). Over time, diabetes can cause damage to the heart, blood vessels, eyes, kidneys and nerves. Type 2 diabetes is much more common and affects older people (generally 35 years or older) around the world. The risk for Type 2 diabetes increases among obese and physically inactive individuals .${ }^{1}$ Smoking also notably increases the risk of diabetes and other cardiovascular diseases ${ }^{1}$. An individual is considered to be hyperglycemic/diabetic if their fasting blood glucose is $\geq 7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ or $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}{ }^{1}$.

Simple lifestyle changes have been shown to be effective in preventing or delaying the onset of type 2 diabetes. These include being physically active (at least 30 minutes of regular, moderate intensity activity on most days), achieving and maintaining a healthy body weight, eating a healthy diet and avoiding tobacco use.

Under the WHO Global Action Plan, two of the nine voluntary targets are directed at global diabetes control. These include attaining a $25 \%$ relative reduction in risk of premature mortality from cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes, or chronic respiratory diseases and halting the rise in diabetes and obesity ${ }^{2}$. In line with the global NCD targets, Nepal has also adopted the same targets for diabetes control as stated under the WHO Global Action Plan ${ }^{3}$.

The availability of diabetes care services and quality of care are not structured and uniform in the country. ${ }^{4}$ The report of the assessment of diabetic retinopathy and diabetic management system in Nepal, 2015, reports lack of diabetes services at the primary health-care level. The majority of the services are clustered in urban areas and are provided by nongovernmental organizations and the private sector ${ }^{5}$. Nepal has adapted and implemented WHO Package of Essential Noncommunicable (PEN) disease interventions for primary health care in lowresource settings as an essential package of cost-effective interventions with high impact, including those for early detection and management of type 2 diabetes, which are feasible for application in resource poor settings since 2017. This will provide opportunity for integrating diabetes services within the primary health care system.

This chapter focuses on indicators related to raised blood sugar; assessing prevalence, diagnosis and treatment gaps and care seeking behaviors around blood sugar and diabetes management. This information will help Nepal to assess trends and progress towards diabetes management as specified in its multisectoral action plan as well as evaluation of current policies and programs in place to reduce population blood sugar levels. These will also guide future policy and programs to manage diabetes at population level.

## Blood Glucose Measurement

Blood glucose was measured in the step 3 of the Survey in the whole blood obtained through a finger prick following the guidelines and using the validated equipment (cardiocheck PA glucometers and strips) mentioned in the data collection section. Appropriate consent was obtained from the participants to obtain blood sample and carry out the biochemical measurements.

## Analysis

Hyperglycemia or raised blood sugar was defined as having fasting blood glucose $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ during the study, or blood sugar $<126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ but currently taking medications to lower blood sugar based on previous diagnosis.

Observations which had fasting blood glucose $\leq 18 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or $\geq 630 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ were excluded, though none of adults were recorded in this range in the survey.

[^41]
### 11.1. Prevalence of raised blood sugar based on measurement and medications history

Self-reported prevalence is likely to underestimate the true prevalence as many people with raised blood sugar may not have any symptoms in the initial stages and few asymptomatic people get their blood glucose measured regularly. Therefore, carrying out actual measurements of blood sugar levels is essential to determine the actual population-based prevalence.

Overall $5.8 \%$ of adults had raised blood sugar based on both the measurement and prior diagnosis and medications history. On the other hand, based on self-reports among individuals who ever got their blood sugar measured, the prevalence was only $2.0 \%$.

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 11.1):

- The prevalence of raised blood sugar increased with age. The prevalence increased substantially after the age of 40 yrs ( $9.6 \%$ prevalence among adults aged 40-54 years). Prevalence of diabetes was higher in men compared to women (6.3\% vs 5.3\%) (Figure 11.1).
- The prevalence of raised blood sugar decreased with increase in education level. $6.2 \%$ of adults with "no education/ less than primary education" and $4.1 \%$ adults with more than secondary education were determined to have

Figure 11.1 Prevalence raised Blood among adults aged 15-69 years by age and household wealth, Nepal STEPS survey 2019
 raised blood sugar.

- The prevalence of raised blood sugar increased directly with increasing household wealth. ( $2.7 \%$ in the lowest group and $8.7 \%$ in the wealthiest group) (Figure 11.1).
- Adults from metropolitan/submetropolitan residences were most likely to have raised blood sugar (10.5\%) compared to rural municipalities. The raised blood glucose prevalence was highest in Province 2 (11.3\%) and lowest in Province 6 ( $0.7 \%$ ) (Figure 11.2).

Figure 11.2 Provincial differences in diabetes prevalence among 15-69 years population, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


## Trends between $2013{ }^{6}$ and 2019 survey:

The prevalence of diabetes among adults increased from $3.6 \%$ in 2013 to $5.8 \%$ in 2019. The increase was noticed across all the age groups (Figure 11.3).

Figure 11.3 Trends in prevalence of diabetes by age group, Nepal STEPS Survey 2013 and 2019


### 11.2. Diagnosis and treatment gap (Table 11.1)

Diabetes increases the risk of development of severe health complications such as heart disease or problems with nerves, blood vessels, eyes and kidneys. Ensuring early diagnosis and initiation of treatment enables adults to make necessary lifestyle adjustments and reduces the risk of lasting damage. Hence, early detection of diabetes by regular screening using fasting blood sugar levels (at least annually is an important secondary prevention strategy to control morbidity and mortality associated with diabetes.

## Diagnosis gap

Of all the adults who were diagnosed to be diabetic as presented in (Table 11.1), $73.5 \%$ diabetic adults were unaware of their raised blood sugar status. The largest proportion amongst this group was observed to be between the ages 30-44 years (80.1\%).

- Percentage of diabetic adults unaware of their raised blood glucose status declined with age.
- More diabetic women were unaware of their raised blood glucose status than men (76.9\%- women vs 70.1\%-men)
- Residents of municipalities and rural municipalities were more likely to be unaware of their blood sugar status compared to metropolitan/sub metropolitan residents.
- The proportion of adults who were unaware of their diagnosis status decreased with increased wealth (Figure 11.4), but no consistent trends were seen with education level.


## Treatment gap:

Overall, $5.9 \%$ of the people with raised blood sugar were aware of diagnosis but not on treatment.

- The proportion of adults who were aware of their status not on treatment was highest in the age group of 40-54 years (12.1\%)
- There were no consistent trends for adults on treatment in terms of household wealth (Figure 11.4) or education level.

[^42]Quality of treatment: controlled or uncontrolled while on treatment

Overall, $6.0 \%$ of adults with raised blood sugar and on treatment had their blood sugar under control and $14.7 \%$ on treatment did not have it under control

- The proportion on treatment who did not have their blood sugar under control increased with increasing age group ( $5.2 \%$ in $25-39$ years age group to $24.5 \%$ in the $55-69$ years age group). The proportion of participant under treatment and controlled blood sugar level was highest among adults aged 40-54 years (10.0\%).



## Trends between $2013{ }^{6}$ and 2019 survey (Figure 11.5):

There is an overall increase in the percentage of adults who are not aware of their raised blood glucose status compared to the 2013 survey, particularly in the younger age group (15-29 years). However, the percentage of adults who are aware of their raised blood sugar status and are not on treatment has significantly decreased. Overall, the number of diabetic individuals on treatment has significantly increased (20.7\%).

Figure 11.5 Trend in percent of adults aged 15-69 who are aware of their raised blood sugar status and are on treatment by age group, Nepal STEPS Survey 2013 and 2019


### 11.3. Screening coverage (Table 11.2)

Early detection of raised blood sugar through regular (at least annual) checkups of healthy individuals is one of the key public health strategies for reducing the morbidity and mortality associated with diabetes. Though data were not elicited about annual screening, $17.2 \%$ adults ( $21.2 \%$ among the age group 40-69 years old) had had their blood sugar ever measured by a doctor or a health care provider.

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 11.2 and Figure 11.6):

- No significant differences were observed by sex.
- Younger adults age 15-24 years were much less likely to report their blood sugar ever measured compared to other age-groups.
- The likelihood of ever having blood sugar measured was highest in metropolitan or sub-metropolitan areas ( $22.9 \%$ ) and lowest in the rural municipalities (14.3\%). The screening coverage in Karnali Province and Sudoorpashcim Province was significantly lower than other Provinces, with highest screening coverage in Province 1 and Province 3 (Figure 11.7).
- The likelihood of having had blood sugar measured increased with education level and by household wealth.

Figure 11.6 Percent of adults who have ever had their Blood Sugar measured by a doctor or health care provide among adults aged 15-69 years, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


Figure 11.7 Percent of adults who have ever had their Blood Sugar measured by a doctor or health care provide among adults aged 15-69 years by Province, Nepal STEPS survey 2019


## Trends between $2013{ }^{6}$ and 2019 survey (Figure 11.8):

The percentage of adults who had ever had their blood sugar levels measured by a doctor or health care provider increased from $10.8 \%$ in 2013 to $17.2 \%$ in 2019. This increase was observed across all age groups and in both sexes.

Figure 11.8 Trend in percent of adults aged 15-69 who have ever had their blood sugar measured by age group and sex, Nepal STEPS Survey 2013 and 2019


### 11.4. Prescription of medications and compliance with treatment (Table 11.2)

Monitoring of prescription practices and treatment compliance is an important strategy for evaluating the outcomes at individual and at population level. Raised blood sugar is a chronic risk factor, requiring treatment over the lifetime of a person, which may reduce the compliance with treatment as observed with many other chronic conditions such as HIV/AIDS or tuberculosis.

Among adults who were ever told to have raised blood sugar majority of the participants (79.7\%) were prescribed the medications, $70 \%$ ( $87.9 \%$ of those who were prescribed medications) ever took the medicines and $55 \%$ (or $69.0 \% \%$ of those who were prescribed medications) reported currently taking the medications, showing fairly good compliance with the prescriptions.

- Both the likelihood of being prescribed medication and compliance with treatment increased with age being highest in 40-69 years age groups ( $85.6 \%$ prescribed medication, $86 \%$ ever taken medication, $66.1 \%$ currently taking medication).
- The likelihood of being prescribed the medications increased with increase in household wealth.


### 11.5. Sources of care for treatment and advice and medications for raised blood sugar

Overall a much higher proportion of adults sought treatment advise and care from private facilities (which include NGO run centers) ( $78.6 \%$ ) than from government (11\%) or other sources (such as Ayurvedic, homeopathic or naturopathic hospital/clinic, medicine shops, pharmacies, etc.) (3.9\%) (Table 11.3). Similarly, for medications, majority of the adults approached only private providers ( $82.2 \%$ ), and only $11.8 \%$ of adults went to government providers. $5.7 \%$ of adults mentioned both government and private sources for medications for raised blood sugar
(Table 11.4).

### 11.6. Consultation with traditional healers and use of herbal remedies

- A negligible proportion of adults with raised blood sugar reported visiting a traditional healer like Dhami/ Jhakri/Purohit/Lama/Gubaji/ Matas for treatment and advise. The same trend was observed in adults who reported currently taking herbal remedies for their raised blood sugar. However, in 2013, $8.8 \%$ of the known diabetic participants had visited a traditional healer and $14.2 \%$ were taking herbal and traditional treatments for diabetes.
- Additionally, the percentage of adults who reported usually going to seek care, advise or medications at ayurvedic, homeopathic or naturopathic hospitals/clinics was also negligible.


### 11.7. Reasons for not on treatment

$53.0 \%$ of adults who were prescribed medications cited "didn't think the drugs were necessary" and "their blood sugar got normal "as reasons for not currently taking medications/treatment (Table 11.5). The second most common reason given for not taking medications was "medicines not advised by doctor" as cited by $46.2 \%$ adults.

Figure 11.9 Reasons for which adults reported not taking drugs for raised Blood sugar, Nepal STEPS 2019


## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on raised blood sugar prevalence, screening coverage and treatment coverage or sources of care, see the following tables:

Table 11.1 Prevalence of raised Blood sugar and diagnosis, treatment and control rates
Table 11.2 Measurement of Blood sugar, prescription of medications, treatment compliance
Table 11.3 Sources of care for raised Blood sugar
Table 11.4 Sources of medications for raised Blood sugar
Table 11.5 Reasons for not taking medications among those told to have raised Blood sugar and have been prescribed medications

| Percentage of people 15-69 years who had raised blood sugar at the time of survey or on blood sugar medications and who were aware of their diagnosis, on treatment or have their Blood sugar controlled or uncontrolled with medications, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Prevalence of raised Blood sugar ${ }^{1}$ | (N) | Among those with raised Blood sugar levels ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Not aware of diagnosis | Aware of diagnosis but not treatment | On treatment but not controlled | On treatment and controlled | Number of Participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 2.1 | 775 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15 |
| 25-39 | 5.0 | 1,931 | 90.0 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 93 |
| 40-54 | 9.6 | 1,457 | 56.8 | 12.1 | 21.0 | 10.0 | 133 |
| 55-69 | 9.2 | 1,028 | 64.8 | 3.5 | 24.5 | 7.2 | 102 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 5.3 | 3357 | 76.9 | 3.5 | 14.2 | 5.4 | 199 |
| Men | 6.3 | 1834 | 70.1 | 8.2 | 15.1 | 6.7 | 144 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 10.5 | 648 | 57.5 | 16.3 | 22.0 | 4.1 | 89 |
| Municipality | 6.1 | 2,570 | 76.2 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 8.4 | 184 |
| Rural Municipality | 4.1 | 1,973 | 77.5 | 4.2 | 16.6 | 1.8 | 70 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 4.4 | 743 | 70.2 | 0.9 | 22.6 | 6.3 | 49 |
| Province 2 | 11.3 | 759 | 79.9 | 4.3 | 12.7 | 3.1 | 102 |
| Province 3 | 4.1 | 687 | 63.9 | 5.8 | 12.2 | 18.1 | 48 |
| Gandaki Province | 3.2 | 757 | 68.1 | 10.8 | 12.6 | 8.5 | 30 |
| Province 5 | 6.4 | 748 | 65.9 | 12.6 | 17.8 | 3.6 | 58 |
| Karnali Province | 0.7 | 763 | 32.9 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 60.0 | 14 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 3.9 | 734 | 93.6 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 42 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 6.2 | 2,595 | 78.6 | 2.9 | 13.1 | 5.4 | 177 |
| Primary | 6.5 | 975 | 65.7 | 8.0 | 18.6 | 7.8 | 76 |
| Secondary | 5.4 | 1,005 | 66.5 | 12.3 | 14.2 | 7.1 | 56 |
| More than secondary | 4.1 | 615 | 83.8 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 2.4 | 34 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 2.7 | 1,533 | 83.3 | 6.8 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 42 |
| Second | 4.2 | 998 | 81.0 | 2.3 | 14.0 | 2.8 | 50 |
| Middle | 6.5 | 890 | 90.8 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 2.6 | 66 |
| Fourth | 6.8 | 803 | 62.9 | 12.6 | 23.2 | 1.4 | 71 |
| Highest | 8.7 | 967 | 62.9 | 6.3 | 17.2 | 13.6 | 114 |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 2.5 | 1,356 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30 |
| 30-44 | 6.7 | 1,876 | 80.1 | 6.9 | 9.8 | 3.2 | 112 |
| 45-69 | 10.2 | 1,959 | 58.9 | 7.4 | 23.5 | 10.2 | 201 |
| Total (15-39) | 3.8 | 2,706 | 92.3 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 108 |
| Total (40-69) | 9.4 | 2,485 | 59.9 | 8.8 | 22.4 | 8.9 | 235 |
| Total (15-69) | 5.8 | 5191 | 73.5 | 5.9 | 14.7 | 6.0 | 343 |

1 Total DM prevalence based on measurement/self reported medication insulin/oral
Table 11.2 Blood sugar measured, self-reported prevalence and treament of raised blood sugar: all

Among participants who have been told by a doctor or health care provider that they have raised blood
sugar, the percentage who were:
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| Education |
| :--- |
| None/Less than primary |
| Primary |
| Secondary |
| More than secondary |
| Wealth quintile |
| Lowest |
| Second |
| Middle |
| Fourth |
| Highest |
| Age (previous 2013) |
| 15-29 |
| 30-44 |
| 45-69 |
| Total (15-39) |
| Total (40-69) |


| Percentage of people 15-69 years who were ever told to have raised blood sugar and who mentioned different sources of care for treatment/advice, by background characteristics, [Nepal, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Government } \\ & \text { Only }^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Private only ${ }^{2}$ | Both government and private | Other Facilities ${ }^{3}$ | Total number (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 0* | 100* | 0* | 0* | 1* |
| 25-39 | 1.4* | 93.2* | 2.2* | 3.2* | 23* |
| 40-54 | 6.7 | 82.0 | 6.7 | 2.0 | 60 |
| 55-69 | 27.3 | 59.0 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 46 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 8.7 | 75.3 | 8.9 | 4.2 | 69 |
| Men | 12.6 | 81.0 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 61 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 11.9 | 75.8 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 38 |
| Municipality | 5.9 | 75.8 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 61 |
| Rural Municipality | 21.6* | 85.5* | 8.0* | 4.5* | 31* |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 7.8* | 75.0* | 10.7* | 6.6* | 25* |
| Province 2 | 0* | 84.3* | 2.4* | 7.2* | 19* |
| Province 3 | 6.1* | 92.2* | 0* | 1.8* | 22* |
| Gandaki Province | 21.2* | 78.3* | 0* | 0* | 13* |
| Province 5 | 17.5* | 72.4* | 8.2* | 1.9* | $25 *$ |
| Karnali Province | 11.1* | 85.3* | 0* | 3.7* | 14* |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 31.3* | 68.7* | 0* | 0* | 12* |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 13.3 | 62.1 | 14.1 | 7.1 | 65 |
| Primary | 14.9* | 80.2* | 1.8* | 3.2* | $24 *$ |
| Secondary | 11.4* | 85.9* | 0* | 2.7* | 24* |
| More than secondary | 1.7* | 97.9* | 0* | 0.4* | 17* |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 33.2* | 66.8* | 0* | 0* | 14* |
| Second | 30.0* | 62.2* | 5.3* | $2.5 *$ | 15* |
| Middle | 11.6* | 68.1* | 13.3* | 7.0* | 18* |
| Fourth | 1.8 | 89.2 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 35 |
| Highest | 8.9 | 81.3 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 48 |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 0* | 100* | 0* | 0* | 5* |
| 30-44 | 2.9* | 91.4* | 2.3* | 3.4* | 28* |
| 45-69 | 15.6 | 70.6 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 97 |
| Total (15-39) | 1.4* | 93.4* | 2.1* | 3.1* | 24* |
| Total (40-69) | 14.2 | 73.7 | 6.4 | 4.1 | 106 |
| Total (15-69) | 11.0 | 78.6 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 130 |
| *interpret with caution due to small sample size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Govt tertiary level hosp, Govt regional or sub regional hosp, Govt dist hosp, Govt PHC, Govt health post 2 NGO run/community hosp, private hosp, private clinic <br> 3 Ayurvedic, homeopathic hosp/clinic, medical shops/pharmacies |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11.4 Source of drugs/medications for raised blood sugar: all

Percentage of people 15-69 years who have ever taken medication for raised Blood sugar and who mentioned different sources medications, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019]

| Background characteristic | Government <br> Only | Private only | Both government <br> and private | Other Facilities | Total number <br> $(\mathrm{N})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-24$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ |
| $25-39$ | $0^{*}$ | $100^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $10^{*}$ |
| $40-54$ | 6.6 | 86.3 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 37 |
| $55-69$ | $22.2^{*}$ | $71.6^{*}$ | $6.2^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $32^{*}$ |

Sex

| Women | 5.9 | 89.1 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 41 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men | 17.4 | 75.6 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 38 |

Residence

| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | $6.8^{*}$ | $75.7^{*}$ | $17.3^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $26^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | 6.9 | 91.1 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 37 |
| Rural Municipality | $27.1^{*}$ | $67.2^{*}$ | $5.7^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $16^{*}$ |

## Province

| Province 1 | 0* | 94.03* | 6.0* | 0* | 16* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province 2 | 5.0* | 91.9* | 3.1* | 0* | 15* |
| Province 3 | 0* | 100* | 0* | 0* | 15* |
| Gandaki Province | 16.9* | 75.4* | 6.2* | 0* | 7* |
| Province 5 | 36.8* | 57.3* | 5.9* | 0* | 13* |
| Karnali Province | 0* | 83.5* | 0* | 16.5* | 7* |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 28.1* | 26.2* | 45.7* | 0* | 6* |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 10.4* | 79.1* | 9.4* | 0.9* | 34* |
| Primary | 16.7* | 82.7* | 0.6* | 0* | 16* |
| Secondary | 7.0* | 84.9* | 8.1* | 0* | 19* |
| More than secondary | 14.8* | 85.2* | 0* | 0* | 10* |

## Wealth quintile

| Lowest | $34.7^{*}$ | $65.4^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $4^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | $46.8^{*}$ | $53.2^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $9^{*}$ |
| Middle | $4.2^{*}$ | $64.3^{*}$ | $28.8^{*}$ | $2.7^{*}$ | $12^{*}$ |
| Fourth | $3.2^{*}$ | $92.7^{*}$ | $4.1^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $20^{*}$ |
| Highest | $9.8^{*}$ | $87.8^{*}$ | $2.4^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $34^{*}$ |

Age (previous 2013)

| $15-29$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $30-44$ | $0^{*}$ | $100^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $14^{*}$ |
| $45-69$ | 14.2 | 78.5 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 65 |
| Total (15-39) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (40-69) | $0^{*}$ | $100^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $10^{*}$ |
|  | 13.0 | 80.2 | 6.3 | 0.4 | 69 |
| Total (15-69) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 9}$ |

*interpret with caution due to small sample size
1 Govt tertiary level hosp, Govt regional or sub regional hosp, Govt dist hosp, Govt PHC, Govt health post
2 NGO run/community hosp, private hosp, private clinic
3 Ayurvedic, homeopathic hosp/clinic, medical shops/pharmacies

| Table 11.5 Reasons for not taking medications for raised blood sugar: all |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of people 15-69 years who have been ever advised to take drugs but not taking drugs in the past 2 weeks and specified different reasons for not taking medication for raised blood sugar, by background characteristics, [Nepal, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Don't think drug is necessary/Blood sugar got normal | Got side effects/ afraid of side effects | Too expensive/ medicines not available | Medicines not advised by doctor | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 0* | 0* | 0* | 100* | 1* |
| 25-39 | 32.9* | 0* | 0* | 71.5* | 13* |
| 40-54 | 70.1* | 2.0* | 7.4* | 26.4* | 23* |
| 55-69 | 67.3* | 0* | 8.0* | 24.8* | 14* |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 54.2* | 0* | 7.2* | 45.6* | 28* |
| Men | 52.4* | 1.3* | 2.7* | 46.4* | 23* |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 94.9* | 2.5* | 2.3* | 6.0* | 12* |
| Municipality | 32.0* | 0* | 1.9* | 68.2* | 24* |
| Rural Municipality | 34.7* | 0* | 12.8* | 59.4* | 15* |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 6.7* | 3.1* | 0* | 90.2* | 9* |
| Province 2 | 89.5* | 0* | 0* | 10.5* | 4* |
| Province 3 | 37.9* | 0* | 14.6* | 47.5* | 7* |
| Gandaki Province | 31.6* | 0* | 0* | 85.1* | 6* |
| Province 5 | 90.8* | 0* | 6.4* | 6.9* | 12* |
| Karnali Province | 11.0* | 0* | 2.0* | 87.0* | 7* |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 76* | 0* | 12.6* | 34.3* | 6* |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 60.6* | 2.6* | 13.2* | 36.9* | 31* |
| Primary | 54.1* | 0* | 0* | 45.9* | 8* |
| Secondary | 94.5* | 0* | 0* | 5.5* | 5* |
| More than secondary | 20.0* | 0* | 0* | 80.0* | 7* |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 21.3* | 0* | 9.6* | 69.0* | 10* |
| Second | 38.0* | 0* | 1.8* | 79.9* | 6* |
| Middle | 10.0* | 0* | 0* | 90.0* | 6* |
| Fourth | 78.7* | 0* | 7.4* | 18.7* | 15* |
| Highest | 78.6* | 2.9* | 3.3* | 18.8* | 14* |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 0* | 0* | 0* | 100* | 5* |
| 30-44 | 67.1* | 0* | 2.5* | 36.5* | 14* |
| 45-69 | 72.2* | 1.8* | 7.7* | 23.5* | 32* |
| Total (15-39) | 31.9* | 0* | 0* | 72.4* | 14* |
| Total (40-69) | 69.3 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 26.0 | 37 |
| Total (15-69) | 53.0 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 46.2 | 51 |

## Chapter 12

## RAISED BLOOD CHOLESTEROL LEVELS: SCREENING, PREVALENCE AND TREATMENT

## Key Findings

- Prevalence of raised blood cholesterol among adults age 15-69 yrs.
o Actual measurement: Based on the criteria of total cholesterol $\geq 190 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, the prevalence of raised blood cholesterol was $11.0 \%$. This includes those with raised blood cholesterol at the time of survey and those with normal levels but on medications to lower blood cholesterol at the time of survey.
o Self-reported prevalence: Among adults who had ever had their blood cholesterol measured, 13.4\% adults were ever told by a doctor or a health care provider that they have raised blood cholesterol.
- Diagnosis and treatment gap among those noted to have raised blood cholesterol at the time of survey
o Unaware about their raised Blood cholesterol: 97.9\% adults
o Not on treatment: $0.7 \%$ of adults who knew that they had raised blood cholesterol but were not on treatment.
o On treatment but not controlled: $1.4 \% \%$ of adults.
o On treatment and controlled: $0 \%$ of adults.
- Screening coverage, prescription of medications, treatment compliance
o Screening coverage: $4.6 \%$ of adults ( $5.5 \%$ among 40-69 years old) had had their blood cholesterol ever measured by a doctor or a health care provider.
o $95.2 \%$ of the adults who were told to have raised blood cholesterol were prescribed medication to lower their blood cholesterol levels.
o Treatment compliance: $34.9 \%$ adults who were told to have raised blood cholesterol reported ever taking any medications to control their blood cholesterol. $24.4 \%$ reported currently taking their prescribed medications in the two weeks prior to the survey.
- Sources of care and medications
o Sources of care: $84.7 \%$ of adults usually sought treatment and advice for raised blood cholesterol from private facilities only, and $12.6 \%$ reported so from government facilities only.
o Sources of drugs/medications: Majority of the adults who were prescribed medication reported getting them only from private facilities ( $72.5 \%$ ) and only $2.5 \%$ reported getting their medications only from government facilities.
o No adult reported taking herbal remedies or visiting a traditional healer like Dhami/Jhakri/Purohit/ Lama/Gubaji/Matas for controlling their raised blood cholesterol.

High blood cholesterol is a condition characterized by high concentrations of bad fats, or lipid in the blood and increases the risk of cardiovascular diseases. Certain modifiable lifestyle factors such as diet, exercise, and tobacco smoking may influence the amount of cholesterol in the blood. Certain individuals may also be genetically predisposed to the condition and less commonly, it may result as a side effect of certain medical conditions or medications ${ }^{1}$.

An individual is considered to have raised total cholesterol levels if when measured through capillary blood, the total cholesterol level is $\geq 190 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}^{2}$.

Considering, that high cholesterol is a significant biochemical risk factor for CVD, controlling it will contribute to attainment of goal of $25 \%$ reduction in premature mortality from NCDs included in Nepal Multisectoral action plan.

This chapter focuses on indicators related to raised blood cholesterol; assessing prevalence, diagnosis and treatment gaps and care seeking behaviors around blood cholesterol management. This information will help Nepal assess its current policies and programs in place to reduce population blood cholesterol levels. These will also guide future policy and programs to manage at hypercholesterolemia at population level to reduce CVD and its associated mortality.

## Blood Cholesterol Measurement

A biochemical assessment for total cholesterol was performed through dry chemistry using CardioCheck PA Analyser as part of the STEP 3 of the survey.

## Analysis

Raised blood cholesterol was defined as having total cholesterol of $\geq 5.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ or $\geq 190 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ during the study or normal cholesterol levels at the time of survey but previously diagnosed as having raised blood cholesterol and currently taking medications to control blood cholesterol.

Observations which had cholesterol levels $<75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or $>470 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ were excluded, though none of adults were recorded in this range.

### 12.1. Prevalence of raised blood cholesterol based on measurement and medications history

Overall $11.0 \%$ of adults were measured to have raised cholesterol based on both the measurement and medications history (Table 12.1). This was somewhat similar to the prevalence based on self-reports (13.4\%) among individuals who ever got their Blood cholesterol measured (4.6\%) (Table 12.2).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 12.1):

- The prevalence of raised cholesterol increased with age. The prevalence increased substantially after the age 40 ( $18.1 \%$ prevalence among adults aged 40-69 years). Prevalence of raised cholesterol was significantly higher in women compared to men ( $13.9 \%$ vs $7.7 \%$ ).
- There were no significant trends observed in raised cholesterol prevalence by education level. However, raised cholesterol prevalence increased directly with increase in household wealth with a $6.9 \%$ prevalence in the poorest group and a $13.3 \%$ prevalence in the wealthiest group.

[^43]- While no significant differences were observed by metropolitan/municipality or rural municipality, the raised blood cholesterol prevalence was highest in Province 1 (14.3\%) and lowest in the Karnali Province (4.3\%) (Figure 12.1).

Figure 12.1 Provincial differences in raised cholesterol prevalence among 15-69 years population, Nepal's STEPS survey 2019


### 12.2. Diagnosis and treatment gap

Raised blood cholesterol increases the risk of development of severe health complications such as heart disease or stroke. Ensuring early diagnosis and initiation of treatment enables adults to make necessary lifestyle adjustments and reduces the risk of lasting damage.

## Diagnosis gap (Table 12.1):

Of all the people who were diagnosed to have raised blood cholesterol as presented in section 12.1, $97.9 \%$ adults with raised blood cholesterol were unaware of their raised blood cholesterol status (Figure 12.2).

- Percentage of people unaware of their raised cholesterol status declined with age.
- More women were unaware of their raised blood cholesterol status than men ( $98.6 \%$ - women vs $96.5 \%$ men)
- No consistent trends were seen in the proportion of adults who were unaware of their diagnosis status by wealth or educational level.


## Treatment gap (Table 12.1):

Overall, $0.7 \%$ of the people with raised cholesterol at the time of survey were aware of diagnosis but were not on treatment. Similarly $1.4 \%$ of adults who had received treatement had still raised blood cholesterol level(uncontrolled) and none of adults under medication had controlled level of cholesterol.

- Similar to diagnosis gap, the proportion of adults who were on treatment increased with increasing age.
- More men were on treatment which did not control their blood cholesterol than women (3.5\%-men vs $0.3 \%$ - women)
- The proportion of adults who were on treatment increased with increasing household wealth, but no consistent trends were seen with education level.

Quality of treatment (Table 12.1): Adults on treatment and controlled

None of the adults surveyed reported being on treatment with controlled blood cholesterol levels; this is likely due to the majority of adults surveyed being unaware of their raised blood cholesterol status.

Figure 12.2 Diagnosis and Treatment gaps among adults aged 15-69 years by wealth quintile, Nepal's'
STEPS survey 2019


### 12.3. Screening coverage

Early detection of raised blood cholesterol through regular (at least annual) screening of healthy individuals is one of the key public health strategies for reducing the morbidity and mortality associated with CVD. Though data were not elicited about annual screening, only $4.6 \%$ adults ( $5.5 \%$ of 40-69 years old) had had their blood cholesterol ever measured by a doctor or a health care provider.

### 12.4. Prescription of medications and compliance with treatment (Table 12.2)

Monitoring of prescription practices and treatment compliance is an important strategy for evaluating the outcomes at individual and at population level. Raised blood cholesterol is a chronic risk factor, requiring treatment over the lifetime of a person, which may reduce the compliance with treatment as observed with many other chronic conditions such as HIV/AIDS or tuberculosis.

Overall, a majority ( $95.2 \%$ ) who were ever told to have raised blood cholesterol was actually prescribed the medications, and $34.9 \%$ ever took the medicines and $24.4 \%$ reported currently taking the medications, showing poor compliance with the prescriptions.

- Both the likelihood of being prescribed medication and compliance with treatment increased with age. So, if a person is diagnosed and prescribed medicine in 30-44-year age group, he/she is less likely to take drug compared to adults 45-69 years of age.
- The likelihood of being prescribed the medications varied with educational level and household wealth, however, exact patterns were difficult to determine due to the small sample size of adults who responded.


### 12.5. Sources of care for treatment and advice and medications for raised blood cholesterol

Overall a much higher proportion of adults sought treatment advice and care from only private facilities (which include NGO run centers) ( $84.7 \%$ ) than from only government facilities ( $12.6 \%$ ) or other sources (such as Ayurvedic, homeopathic or naturopathic hospital/clinic, medicine shops, pharmacies, etc.) (2.4\%) (Table 12.3). Similarly, for medications, majority of the adults approached only private providers ( $72.5 \%$ ), and only $2.5 \%$ of adults went to government providers. $24.1 \%$ of adults mentioned both government and private sources for medications for raised blood cholesterol (Table 12.4). Disaggregation by background characteristics not shown due to small sample sizes.

### 12.6. Consultation with traditional healers and use of herbal remedies

- A negligible proportion of adults with raised blood cholesterol reported visiting a traditional healer like Dhami/ Jhakri/Purohit/Lama/Gubaji/ Matas for treatment and advise. The same trend was observed in adults who reported currently taking herbal remedies for their raised blood cholesterol
- Additionally, the number of adults who reported usually going to seek care, advice or medications at ayurvedic, homeopathic or naturopathic hospitals/clinics was also negligible.

Of the 5 adults who cited reasons for not currently taking their prescribed medications, 1 responded that "didn't think the drugs were necessary", 2 responded that "their blood cholesterol got normal "and the other 2 responded "being advised against medications by their doctors".

## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on raised blood cholesterol prevalence, screening and treatment coverage or sources of care, see the following tables:

Table: 12.1 Prevalence of raised blood cholesterol and diagnosis, treatment and control rates
Table: 12.2 Measurement of blood cholesterol, prescription of medications, treatment compliance
Table: 12.3 Sources of care for raised blood cholesterol
Table: 12.4 Sources of medications for raised blood cholesterol

| Percentage of people 15-69 who had raised blood cholesterol at the time of survey or on blood cholesterol medications and who were aware of their diagnosis, on treatment or have their blood cholesterol controlled or uncontrolled with medications, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prevalence of raised Blood cholesterol ${ }^{1}$ | (N) | Among those with raised Blood cholesterol levels ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic |  |  | Not aware of diagnosis | Aware of diagnosis but not on treatment | On treatment but not controlled | On treatment and controlled |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 4.5 | 795 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 25-39 | 9.1 | 1990 | 98.7 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| 40-54 | 16.7 | 1509 | 97.2 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| 55-69 | 20.2 | 1048 | 96.8 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 13.9 | 3438 | 98.6 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Men | 7.7 | 1904 | 96.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 9.7 | 668 | 97.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| Municipality | 11.7 | 2632 | 97.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| Rural Municipality | 10.4 | 2042 | 99.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 14.3 | 761 | 97.7 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Province 2 | 11.8 | 770 | 97.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Province 3 | 8.1 | 717 | 95.3 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Gandaki Province | 12.8 | 764 | 96.7 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| Province 5 | 11.6 | 766 | 99.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Karnali Province | 4.3 | 768 | 97.8 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 9.6 | 796 | 99.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 14.8 | 2660 | 97.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Primary | 10.4 | 1006 | 98.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| Secondary | 6.0 | 1040 | 97.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
| More than secondary | 10.1 | 635 | 99.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 6.9 | 1588 | 99.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Second | 10.6 | 1016 | 99.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Middle | 11.2 | 904 | 99.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Fourth | 13.2 | 833 | 96.9 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| Highest | 13.3 | 1001 | 95.1 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 5.7 | 1388 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 30-44 | 12.0 | 1943 | 98.5 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| 45-69 | 18.7 | 2011 | 96.4 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| Total (15-39) | 7.3 | 2785 | 99.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Total (40-69) | 18.1 | 2557 | 96.4 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| Total (15-69) | 11.0 | 5342 | 97.9 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 |


| Table.12.2 Cholesterol measured and medicated: all |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of people $15-69$ who have ever had their cholesterol measured and who have been told by a health care provider that they have raised cholesterol; among people who have be cholesterol, the percentage told in the past 12 months they have raised cholesterol, percentage prescribed medication to control cholesterol, and percentage taking medication to control co characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Among all who have been told by a doctor or health care provider they have high cholesterol, the percentage who were: |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Ever had cholesterol measured by doctor or health care provider (\%) | (N) | Ever told have high cholesterol by doctor or health care provider (\%) among those ever measured | (N) | Told in the past 12 months have high blood cholesterol (\%) (among those ever told) | Ever been told to take medicine by a doctor or health worker (\%) (among those ever told) | Ever taken medicine to control raised cholesterol (\%) (among those ever told) | Currently taking medication to control cholesterol (\%) | (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 3.7 | 843 | 7.1* | 24* | 0* | 100* | 0* | 0* | 2* |
| 25-39 | 4.3 | 2,087 | 17.3 | 89 | 67.2* | 100* | 15.8* | 15.8* | 12* |
| 40-54 | 5.8 | 1,574 | 13.3 | 105 | 1.9* | 83.6* | 59.9* | 19.9* | 17* |
| 55-69 | 5.1 | 1,089 | 13.2 | 52 | 0* | 100* | 78.0* | 78.0* | 8* |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 4.0 | 3,595 | 8.5 | 146 | 94.0* | 100* | 46.1* | 14.5* | 19* |
| Men | 5.2 | 1,998 | 17.7 | 124 | 56.7* | 93.3* | 30.1* | 28.5* | 20* |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/submetropolitan | 3.9 | 705 | 9.3 | 85 | 90.6* | 100* | 89.9* | 88.9* | 10* |
| Municipality | 5.7 | 2,755 | 18.3 | 136 | 65.5* | 94.7* | 29.8* | 18.4* | 27* |
| Rural Municipality | 3.1 | 2,133 | 1.5 | 49 | 100* | 100* | 100* | 100* | 2* |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 7.1 | 804 | 17.3 | 53 | 27.5* | 100* | 19.6* | 17.0* | 9* |
| Province 2 | 3.8 | 803 | 16.5* | 22* | 92.4* | 85.6* | 43.2* | 43.2* | 5* |
| Province 3 | 8.8 | 759 | 11.9 | 95 | 99.4* | 100* | 33.0* | 7.9* | 10* |
| Gandaki Province | 3.3 | 793 | 18.9 | 39 | 51.8* | 100* | 76.7* | 63.3* | 8* |
| Province 5 | 2.5 | 797 | 3.5* | 26* | 100* | 100* | 66.9* | 16.6* | 3* |


| Karnali Province | 1.9 | 808 | 20.5* | 15* | 100* | 100* | 24.1* | 24.1* | 3* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 1.8 | 829 | 1.7* | 20* | 100* | 98.2* | 100* | 100* | 1* |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 2.0 | 2,792 | 22.2 | 56 | 99.7* | 100* | 74.4* | 44.7* | 13* |
| Primary | 3.9 | 1,051 | 12.0 | 46 | 29.4* | 100* | 37.7* | 37.7* | 4* |
| Secondary | 5.5 | 1,088 | 16.3 | 90 | 42.6* | 100* | 18.1* | 12.5* | 13* |
| More than secondary | 10.5 | 661 | 7.3 | 78 | 98.8* | 55.2* | 6.3* | 6.3* | 9* |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 1.0 | 1,653 | 7.7* | 16* | 100* | 100* | 81.1* | 81.1* | 2* |
| Second | 2.9 | 1,062 | 0.4* | 27* | 100* | 100* | 100* | 100* | 1* |
| Middle | 2.1 | 949 | 10.4* | 28* | 78.8* | 100* | 27.4* | 12.1* | 5* |
| Fourth | 5.1 | 878 | 23.6 | 41 | 32.6* | 100* | 32.6* | 28.8* | 7* |
| Highest | 11.7 | 1,051 | 13.3 | 158 | 91.7* | 91.1* | 35.0* | 19.3* | 24* |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 3.9 | 1,466 | 11.4 | 44 | 40.4* | 100* | 0* | 0* | 4* |
| 30-44 | 4.9 | 2,039 | 15.5 | 105 | 63.7* | 100* | 44.4* | 21.7* | 15* |
| 45-69 | 5.4 | 2,088 | 13.8 | 121 | 99.8* | 91.1* | 59.5* | 51.8* | 20* |
| Total (15-39) | 4.0 | 2,930 | 13.5* | 14* | 45.9* | 100* | 12.7* | 12.7* | 14* |
| Total (40-69) | 5.5 | 2,663 | 13.3* | 25* | 98.8* | 91.1* | 66.5* | 41.0* | 25 |
| Total (15-69) | 4.6 | 5593 | 13.4 | 270 | 67.8 | 95.2 | 34.9 | 24.4 | 39 |
| *interpret with caution due to small sample size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percentage of people 15-69 who were ever told to have raised cholesterol and who mentioned different sources of care for treatment/ advise, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Government Only ${ }^{1}$ | Private only ${ }^{2}$ | Other Facilities ${ }^{3}$ | Total number (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 0* | 100* | 0* | 2* |
| 25-39 | 1.7* | 98.3* | 0* | 12* |
| 40-54 | 37.5* | 52.2* | 9.1* | 17* |
| 55-69 | 12.9* | 87.1* | 0* | 8* |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 30.9* | 68.6* | 0.2* | 19* |
| Men | 4.8* | 91.5* | 3.3* | 20* |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ sub metropolitan | 81.8* | 11.1* | 1.0* | 10* |
| Municipality | 8.1* | 89.4* | 2.6* | 27* |
| Rural Municipality | 31.2* | 68.8* | 0* | 2* |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 11.7* | 88.3* | 0* | 9* |
| Province 2 | 0* | 88.4* | 11.6* | 5* |
| Province 3 | 24.9* | 74.9* | 0.2* | 10* |
| Gandaki Province | 10.6* | 85.6* | 0* | 8* |
| Province 5 | 16.6* | 83.4* | 0* | 3* |
| Karnali Province | 0* | 100* | 0* | 3* |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 0* | 100* | 0* | 1* |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 31.1* | 68.4* | 0.2* | 13* |
| Primary | 0* | 100* | 0* | 4* |
| Secondary | 10.2* | 89.8* | 0* | 13* |
| More than secondary | 0* | 86.7* | 12.1* | 9* |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 81.1* | 18.9* | 0* | 2* |
| Second | 0* | 100* | 0* | 1* |
| Middle | 12.1* | 87.9* | 0 * | 5* |
| Fourth | 0* | 100* | 0* | 7* |
| Highest | 19.11* | 75.5* | 4.8* | 24* |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 0* | 100* | 0* | 4* |
| 30-44 | 21.8* | 77.6* | 0* | 15* |
| 45-69 | 15.1* | 77.2* | 7.5* | $20^{*}$ |
| Total (15-39) | 1.3* | 98.7* | 0* | 14* |
| Total (40-69) | 28.6* | 64.8* | 5.8* | $25 *$ |
| Total (15-69) | 12.6 | 84.7 | 2.4 | 39 |

*interpret with caution due to small sample size
${ }^{1}$ Govt tertiary level hosp, Govt regional or sub regional hosp, Govt dist hosp, Govt PHC, Govt health post
${ }^{2} \mathrm{NGO}$ run/community hosp, private hosp, private clinic
${ }^{3}$ Ayurvedic, homeopathic hosp/clinic, medical shops/pharmacies

| Percentage of people 15-69 who have ever taken medication for raised cholesterol and who mentioned different sources medications, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Government Only ${ }^{1}$ | Private Only ${ }^{2}$ | Both government and private | Total number ( N ) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 0* | 0* | 0* | 0* |
| 25-39 | 0* | 100* | 0* | 4* |
| 40-54 | 5.6* | 48.3* | 44.1* | 11* |
| 55-69 | 0* | 87.6* | 12.4* | 6* |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 0* | 38.2* | 61.3* | 10* |
| Men | 4.2* | 94.7* | 0* | 11* |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 0* | 62.5* | 30.8* | 8* |
| Municipality | 0* | 74.6* | 25.4* | 11* |
| Rural Municipality | 31.2* | 68.8* | 0* | 2* |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 0* | 79.8* | 20.2* | 6* |
| Province 2 | 0* | 100* | 0* | 1* |
| Province 3 | 0* | 24.4* | 75.6* | 4* |
| Gandaki Province | 13.8* | 81.3* | 0* | 6* |
| Province 5 | 0* | 100* | 0* | 2* |
| Karnali Province | 0* | 100* | 0* | 1* |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 0* | 100* | 0* | 1* |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| None/Less than primary | 0* | 60.1* | 39.6* | 10* |
| Primary | 0* | 100* | 0* | 2* |
| Secondary | 13.3* | 86.7* | 0* | 6* |
| More than secondary | 0* | 80.3* | 0* | 3* |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 0* | 100* | 0* | 1* |
| Second | 0* | 100* | 0* | 1* |
| Middle | 44.0* | 56.0* | 0* | 2* |
| Fourth | 0* | 100* | 0* | 5* |
| Highest | 0* | 50.7* | 47.6* | 12* |
| Age (previous 2013) |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 0* | 0* | 0* | 0* |
| 30-44 | 0* | 54.4* | 44.1* | 7* |
| 45-69 | 4.6* | 87.4* | 7.6* | 14* |
| Total (15-39) | 0* | 0* | 0* | 4* |
| Total (40-69) | 3.2* | 65.0* | 30.6* | 17* |
| Total (15-69) | 2.5* | 72.5* | 24.1* | 21* |
| *interpret with caution due to $s$ <br> ${ }^{1}$ Govt tertiary level hosp, Govt <br> ${ }^{2}$ NGO run/community hosp, pr | size <br> sub regional hosp, private clinic | osp, Govt PHC | health post |  |

## Chapter 13

## CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES HISTORY, PREDICTED CVD RISK AND LIFE-STYLE ADVICE

## Key Findings

- History of cardiovascular disease
o $1.1 \%$ of adults $15-69$ years of age ( $1.4 \%$ in women, $0.8 \%$ in men) and $1.7 \%$ of $40-69$ years old adults reported ever having a heart attack or chest pain from heart disease (angina) or a stroke (cerebrovascular accident or incident).
- Predicted 10-year cardiovascular disease risk
o $3.3 \%$ of adults aged 40-69 have a predicted $30 \%$ or more chance of having a fatal or non-fatal major cardiovascular event (myocardial infarction or stroke) in the next 10 years based on WHO/ISH risk prediction charts.


## - Lifestyle advice

o The adults, who visited a health provider in the previous 12 months, most commonly reported receiving lifestyle advice from doctors and other health workers on: (1) "eat at least five servings of fruit and/or vegetables each day" (52.3\%), (2) "reduce fat in your diet" ( $48.2 \%$ ) and (3) "reduce salt in your diet" (46.1\%). A much smaller proportion of adults reported advice on other behavioral risk factors.

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), the most common NCD, are responsible for over 17.8 million deaths globally and of which more than three quarters are in lower middle income countries ${ }^{1}$. In the WHO SEA region, CVDs are estimated to cause almost $44 \%$ of all the NCD-related deaths ( $\sim 8.6$ million deaths) and almost half of these deaths occur in the economically productive years between 30-69 years of age ${ }^{2}$. Therefore, reducing the burden of CVDs is critical to achieve the target of a $25 \%$ relative reduction in risk of premature mortality from NCDs ${ }^{3}$.

CVDs include diseases of the heart and blood vessels and vascular diseases of the brain. Atherosclerosis - a complex process involving deposits of plaques made in the blood vessels leading to the narrowing of blood vessels and formation of blood clots (thrombus) is implicated in many cases of CVD ${ }^{4}$. Modification of certain behaviour (tobacco use, physical inactivity, unhealthy diet, harmful alcohol use) and managing metabolic risk factors (raised blood pressure, raised blood sugar and cholesterol) can slow down the development of atherosclerosis and overall cardiovascular risk ${ }^{5}$.

While national health policies that address population-wide health are important tools for reducing behavioural risk factors, strategies targeted at high-risk individuals are essential in managing and reducing metabolic risks.

[^44]WHO/ISH cardiovascular disease risk charts developed ${ }^{6}$ and revised ${ }^{7}$ for different WHO regions and sub-regions in 2007 are being used for clinical decision-making by physicians as well as for predicting the proportion of population with different levels of CVD risk for the purpose of planning of health service delivery and resource allocation ${ }^{8}$. These risk prediction charts take into account the age, sex, blood pressure, smoking status, total blood cholesterol and presence or absence of diabetes mellitus to compute the overall risk/probability of developing a CVD event in the next 10 years.

At the time of writing, WHO is working to revise the risk prediction charts. However, pending the availability of revised charts, this report uses 2007 risk prediction charts (SEAR D) to facilitate comparison with 2013 survey.

Nepal is committed to reducing CVDs burden and has included the $25 \%$ relative reduction in premature death from NCDs as one of the targets in its 5-year multisectoral action plan for 2014-2020 ${ }^{9}$.

Current relevant policies and programs in Nepal for the prevention and treatment of CVDs:

- To tackle with growing burden of CVDs Government of Nepal has adopted Package of Essential Non-communicable Diseases (PEN). This package has been introduced to screen, diagnose, treat and refer Cardio Vascular Diseases, COPD, cancer, diabetes, and mental health at health posts, primary health care centers and district hospitals for early detection and management of chronic diseases within the community ${ }^{10}$.

This chapter describes self-reported history of cardiovascular diseases and lifestyle advice received from doctors or health workers. Additionally, 10-year cardiovascular disease risk is predicted for Nepalese population. This information will help Nepal assess trends and progress towards the reduction in CVDs burden as well as the evaluation of current policies and programs in place.

### 13.1 History of Cardiovascular disease

Only $1.1 \%$ of adults age 15-69 years reported ever having a CVD event including heart attacks or chest pain from a heart disease or a stroke (Table 13.1). Amongst high risk age group (i.e. 40 years old and above), 1.7\% of adults reported ever having a heart attack or chest pain (Table 13.1). However, these data may underestimate true prevalence of heart attacks/stroke due to survivor bias (people who died from fatal cardiovascular events were excluded from the survey), recall bias, and failure to take into account asymptomatic or undiagnosed nonfatal events.

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 13.1):

- A significantly higher proportion of adults aged 55-69 (1.0\%) reported ever having a CVD event compared to 15-24-year-old ( $0.6 \%$ ).
- Sudoorpashchim (3.5\%), a more rural Province, had significantly higher self-reported prevalence of CVD events compared to Province 3, the most urban Province, with the lowest prevalence ( $0.4 \%$ ) (Figure 13. 1).

[^45]

### 13.2 Predicted 10-year cardiovascular disease risk

10-year cardiovascular disease risk at population-level was estimated using WHO/ISH risk prediction chart (2007) for South-East Asia (SEAR D) ${ }^{11}$. To calculate predicted risk for fatal or non-fatal CVD event (myocardial infarction or stroke), participants' information on age, sex, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol and the presence or absence of type 2 diabetes are utilized and combined ${ }^{10}$.

Amongst adults aged $40-69,3.3 \%$ of adults have a predicted 10 -year CVD risk of $30 \%$ or more.

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 13.2)

- Sudoorpashchim Province had a significantly higher percent (9.8\%) of adults aged 40-69 with 30\% or more CVD risk than almost all other Provinces (Figure 13.2).

[^46]Figure 13.2 Percent adults aged 40-69 who have a 30\% or higher predicted 10-year cardiovascular disease risk, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## Trends between $2013{ }^{12}$ and 2019 survey:

Prevalence of adults with a $30 \%$ or more 10-year predicted CVD risk did not change significantly between 2013 to 2019 (3.2\% to $3.3 \%$ ).

### 13.3 Lifestyle advice

Individual-based intervention involving life-style advice from doctors and health workers to modify key risk behaviors among high-risk individuals have an important place for overall NCD prevention and control along with population-based measured targeted at the whole population.

Amongst those who visited a doctor or health worker in the past 12 months, the three most common lifestyle advice that adults received were: (1) "eat at least five servings of fruit and/or vegetables each day" ( $52.3 \%$ ), (2) "reduce fat in your diet" ( $48.2 \%$ ) and (3) "reduce salt in your diet" ( $46.1 \%$ ) (Table 13.3 and Figure 13.3). A smaller proportion of individuals received advice to quit using tobacco ( $27.2 \%$ ), reduce sugary beverages ( $22.7 \%$ ) or maintain a healthy weight ( $17.7 \%$ ).

[^47]Figure 13.3 Percent adults aged 15-69 who have received different lifestyle advice from a doctor or health worker, Nepal STEPS Survery 2019


Patterns by background characteristic (Table 13.3):

- The likelihood of receiving a lifestyle advice increased with age.
- Men, aged 40-69, who resided in municipalities were more likely to receive any kind of lifestyle advice compared to women (Figure 13.4 and Figure 13.5).

Figure 13.4 Differentials in lifestyle advice received by sex amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPs Survery 2019


Figure 13.5 Differentials in lifestyle advice received by residence amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPs Survery 2019


- Adults in Province 1, 2 and 3 received overall more lifestyle advice than other Provinces.
- Adults who are wealthier were more likely to receive any type of health advice than others (Figure 13.6).

Figure 13.6 Differentials in lifestyle advice received amongst adults aged 15-69 by wealth, Nepal STEPs Survery 2019


## Patterns by disease and risk conditions (Table 13.4):

- Presence of a physiological risk factor increased the probability of receiving an advice to reduce salt and dietary fat, increase physical activity or quit tobacco. Similarly, a significantly higher proportion of smokers reported receiving an advice to quit.
- Adults with predicted 10-year cardiovascular disease risk of $30 \%$ or more received more lifestyle advice than their counterparts.


## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on cardiovascular diseases, see the following tables:
Table 13.1 History of cardiovascular disease: all participants
Table 13.2 Predicted 10-year cardiovascular disease risk: all participants
Table 13.3 Lifestyle advice from doctors and other health workers: all participants (by background characteristics)

Table 13.4 Lifestyle advice from doctors and other health workers: all participants (by presence of a disease condition and/or risk factor)

| Table 13.1 History of cardiovascular disease: all participants |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of adults aged 15-69 who reported ever having a heart attack or chest pain from heart disease or stroke, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Ever having a heart attack or chest pain from heart disease or stroke |  |  | Number of participants <br> (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 1.4 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 3595 |
| Men | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1998 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 705 |
| Municipality | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 1.1 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 1.4 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 1.9 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 3.5 | 2.0 | 6.1 | 829 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2792 |
| Primary | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 1.1 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 1653 |
| Second | 1.4 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 1062 |
| Middle | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 949 |
| Fourth | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 878 |
| Highest | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 1051 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1466 |
| 30-44 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 2039 |
| 45-69 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 2088 |
| Total (15-39) | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2930 |
| Total (40-69) | 1.7 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 2663 |
| Total (15-69) | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 5593 |


| Table 13.2 Predicted 10-year cardiovascular disease risk: all participants |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of adults aged 40-69 who have different predicted risk levels for heart attacks or stroke in 10 years based on WHO/ISH risk prediction charts (2007)* for South-East Asia Region D, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Percent population with 10-year risk levels of $>=30 \%$ : |  |  | Number of participants <br> (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 40-54 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 1449 |
| 55-69 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 1024 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 3.3 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 1455 |
| Men | 3.2 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 1018 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 3.4 | 1.7 | 6.8 | 297 |
| Municipality | 3.9 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 1211 |
| Rural Municipality | 2.4 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 965 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 5.0 | 386 |
| Province 2 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 386 |
| Province 3 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 366 |
| Gandaki Province | 3.9 | 1.8 | 8.2 | 376 |
| Province 5 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 338 |
| Karnali Province | 3.7 | 1.8 | 7.5 | 339 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 9.8 | 6.3 | 14.9 | 282 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.8 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 1713 |
| Primary | 2.4 | 0.9 | 5.9 | 370 |
| Secondary | 1.4 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 246 |
| More than secondary | 3.2 | 1.0 | 9.7 | 143 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 3.3 | 1.9 | 5.5 | 767 |
| Second | 3.0 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 464 |
| Middle | 2.9 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 394 |
| Fourth | 4.5 | 2.6 | 7.4 | 377 |
| Highest | 2.8 | 1.1 | 6.5 | 471 |
| Total (40-69) | 3.3 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 2473 |

*Revised WHO CVD risk charts (2019) for LMICs are currently underway, therefore 2007 risk charts for SEAR D was used: https:// www.who.int/ncds/management/WHO_ISH_Risk_Prediction_Charts.pdf?ua=1

| Table 13.3 Lifestyle advice from doctors and other health workers: all participants (By background characteristics) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of adults aged 15-69 who have ever visited a doctor or health worker and received lifestyle advice on behavioural risk factors for non-communicable diseases by background cha 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent adults who reported receiving lifestyle advice to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | quit using tobacco or don't start | reduce salt in your diet | eat at least five servings of fruit and/ or vegetables each day | reduce fat in your diet | start or do more physical activity | maintain a healthy body weight or lose weight | reduce sugar beverages in your diet | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 18.8 | 37.1 | 50.8 | 42.3 | 16.9 | 7.4 | 18.7 | 223 |
| 25-39 | 24.1 | 42.2 | 49.7 | 45.3 | 24.9 | 16.0 | 19.0 | 670 |
| 40-54 | 33.1 | 58.0 | 56.8 | 54.0 | 29.9 | 28.1 | 27.6 | 468 |
| 55-69 | 43.0 | 55.9 | 56.4 | 58.9 | 40.3 | 25.7 | 34.2 | 312 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 18.3 | 42.9 | 52.3 | 45.7 | 21.3 | 15.5 | 19.7 | 1128 |
| Men | 39.9 | 50.6 | 52.3 | 51.8 | 33.1 | 20.9 | 27.0 | 545 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 20.6 | 42.7 | 45.4 | 27.3 | 14.3 | 12.2 | 16.8 | 263 |
| Municipality | 24.4 | 47.3 | 54.7 | 55.5 | 32.0 | 19.0 | 25.1 | 803 |
| Rural Municipality | 33.4 | 45.2 | 50.5 | 43.0 | 20.4 | 17.3 | 20.8 | 607 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 26.4 | 44.2 | 56.8 | 59.0 | 44.4 | 22.6 | 34.2 | 211 |
| Province 2 | 40.6 | 54.7 | 51.2 | 40.1 | 23.5 | 22.1 | 22.7 | 203 |
| Province 3 | 20.1 | 47.2 | 59.8 | 54.1 | 38.2 | 26.6 | 22.5 | 240 |
| Gandaki Province | 29.0 | 53.6 | 54.6 | 45.4 | 24.2 | 20.6 | 19.0 | 299 |
| Province 5 | 22.1 | 44.4 | 50.3 | 46.0 | 15.2 | 10.0 | 20.4 | 229 |
| Karnali Province | 16.5 | 33.4 | 47.0 | 42.3 | 19.3 | 12.3 | 22.1 | 235 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 24.6 | 35.6 | 45.9 | 53.0 | 21.1 | 9.2 | 16.9 | 256 |


| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No education | 28.7 | 52.6 | 58.0 | 54.3 | 23.9 | 17.1 | 23.2 | 688 |
| Primary | 28.5 | 43.0 | 50.8 | 55.5 | 33.3 | 21.1 | 25.7 | 331 |
| Secondary | 26.0 | 43.3 | 50.7 | 43.4 | 23.3 | 15.5 | 26.7 | 348 |
| More than secondary | 25.7 | 43.1 | 48.3 | 40.2 | 25.9 | 18.0 | 17.1 | 305 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 26.0 | 36.3 | 43.0 | 43.8 | 14.9 | 8.7 | 20.7 | 381 |
| Second | 18.9 | 37.1 | 46.9 | 39.8 | 18.4 | 11.1 | 22.9 | 301 |
| Middle | 19.3 | 42.4 | 53.3 | 51.4 | 30.3 | 14.3 | 13.8 | 304 |
| Fourth | 35.3 | 56.5 | 61.2 | 48.1 | 28.8 | 21.8 | 25.9 | 288 |
| Highest | 31.6 | 50.7 | 52.4 | 53.8 | 32.1 | 26.1 | 27.5 | 399 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 18.8 | 35.5 | 46.9 | 40.6 | 20.4 | 9.4 | 17.3 | 437 |
| 30-44 | 28.4 | 49.8 | 56.5 | 51.3 | 25.0 | 21.9 | 20.6 | 617 |
| 45-69 | 39.9 | 59.6 | 56.5 | 57.5 | 36.9 | 26.9 | 34.1 | 619 |
| Total (15-39) | 22.2 | 40.4 | 50.1 | 44.3 | 22.1 | 13.0 | 18.9 | 893 |
| Total (40-69) | 37.1 | 57.2 | 56.7 | 56.0 | 34.1 | 27.2 | 30.3 | 780 |
| Total (15-69) | 27.2 | 46.1 | 52.3 | 48.2 | 26.2 | 17.7 | 22.7 | 1673 |

Table 13.4 Lifestyle advice from doctors and other health workers: all participants (by disease and risk conditions)

| Percent of adults aged 15-69 who have ever visited a doctor or health worker and received lifestyle advice on behavioural risk factors for non-communicable diseases by disease and risk 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent adults who reported receiving lifestyle advice to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disease and risk condition | Quit using tobacco or don't start | Reduce salt in your diet | Eat at least five servings of fruit and/or vegetables each day | Reduce fat in your diet | Start or do more physical activity | Maintain a healthy body weight or lose weight | Reduce sugar beverages in your diet | Number of participants |
| Smoking status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current smokers | 70.8 | 57.1 | 54.0 | 50.1 | 33.2 | 20.3 | 27.1 | 277 |
| Previous smokers | 27.1 | 41.1 | 48.6 | 61.0 | 36.7 | 19.5 | 19.8 | 168 |
| Never smokers | 18.8 | 44.5 | 52.4 | 46.3 | 23.5 | 17.0 | 22.2 | 1228 |
| Blood Pressure status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raised blood pressure | 32.3 | 65.6 | 60.2 | 64.3 | 40.8 | 34.1 | 31.9 | 1142 |
| Normal blood pressure | 25.9 | 39.6 | 49.2 | 43.0 | 21.3 | 12.2 | 19.7 | 512 |
| Diabetes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raised blood sugar/ Diabetes | 46.6 | 56.2 | 52.5 | 56.0 | 41.7 | 32.7 | 51.6 | 1443 |
| Normal blood-sugar/ Diabetes | 26.9 | 46.1 | 51.5 | 46.6 | 24.0 | 16.0 | 21.2 | 117 |
| Cholesterol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raised cholesterol | 32.9 | 66.3 | 70.9 | 71.7 | 36.1 | 26.5 | 26.0 | 1375 |
| Normal cholesterol | 27.4 | 43.6 | 48.5 | 43.5 | 23.9 | 16.1 | 23.0 | 238 |
| Nutrition Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obese | 27.1 | 68.8 | 72.0 | 67.2 | 50.9 | 49.8 | 28.4 | 133 |
| Overweight | 26.8 | 53.0 | 47.7 | 50.2 | 29.6 | 23.5 | 24.2 | 399 |
| Normal and underweight | 28.3 | 42.9 | 51.0 | 45.3 | 23.2 | 14.0 | 21.9 | 1102 |
| Predicted 10-year CVD risk (adults aged 40-69) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $>=30 \%$ | 57.5 | 82.7 | 81.9 | 85.2 | 46.1 | 43.0 | 58.1 | 728 |
| Total (15-69) | 27.2 | 46.1 | 52.3 | 48.2 | 26.2 | 17.7 | 22.7 | 1673 |

## Chapter 14

## CERVICAL CANCER: SCREENING AND TREATMENT

## Key Findings

- Testing for cervical cancer
o Ever tested for cervical cancer: Among total, 168 (8.2\%) (5.9\% in the last 5 years) and 264 (5.2\%) ( $4 \%$ in the last five years) of women age 30-49 years and 15-69 years, respectively, reported ever getting a cervical cancer test.
o Main reason for testing: 49.4\% of women who were tested reported getting test done as they were experiencing pain or other symptoms; $21.9 \%$ women reported the test as part of routine exam.
- Source (type of facility) for the most recent test for cervical cancer (15-69 years)
o $55.6 \%$ of women got their most recent test at private clinics, NGO or community-run hospitals.
o $38.4 \%$ of women got their most recent test at government facilities.
- Treatment for cervical cancer
o Treatment: $63.5 \%$ of women who received abnormal or inconclusive test results received treatment
o Follow-up: $50.0 \%$ of women who received abnormal or inconclusive test results received a follow-up visit.

Cervical cancer is the second most common cause of cancer morbidity and mortality among women in the Southeast Asia Region. The burden is particularly high in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)accounting for $85 \%$ of deaths related to cervical cancer worldwide ${ }^{1,2}$. It is the most common cancer among women in Nepal. Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is the main cause of cervical cancer and when detected early, cervical cancer is largely preventable and treatable form of cancer ${ }^{2,3}$. However, lack of access to timely and effective health services (vaccination, screening and treatment); social stigma and lack of awareness has posed major barriers to the reduction of cervical cancer related morbidity and mortality in low resource settings ${ }^{4}$.

It is estimated that without further intervention there would be 44.4 million cervical cancer cases diagnosed globally over the period 2020-69, with almost two-thirds of cases occurring in LMICs ${ }^{5}$. In May 2018, the WHO Director-General made a global call for action to eliminate ${ }^{6}$ cervical cancer as a public health problem ${ }^{7}$ and proposed targets for 2030 (Figure 14.1) ${ }^{8}$.

Current WHO recommendation for cervical cancer prevention and treatment include': (1) HPV vaccination

[^48]Figure 14.1 Global Targets for the elimination of Cervical Cancer by 2030

- $\mathbf{9 0} \%$ of girls fully vaccinated with HPV vaccine by 15 years of age.
- $\mathbf{7 0} \%$ of women are screened with a high-precision test at 35 and 45 years of age.
- $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ of women identified with cervical disease receive treatment and care.
for girls aged 9-13 before they initiate sexual activity; (2) Every woman aged $30-49$ should be screened for cervical cancer at least once in a life-time regardless of vaccination status and should be repeated at least every 5 years if previous results are negative; (3)Adopt the "screen-and-treat" approach where treatment is given ideally on the same day and same location after positive diagnosis of pre-cancerous lesions to prevent loss to follow-up and delayed treatment.

Current relevant policies and programs in Nepal for the prevention and treatment of cervical cancer:
In Nepal, the National Guideline for Cervical Cancer Screening and Prevention program was launched in $2010^{10}$ and since then has included the expansion of its cervical cancer screening program in its 5 -year multisectoral action plan for 2014-2020 ${ }^{11}$. As of 2017/18 DoHS annual report, national coverage on cervical cancer screening program has been achieved. Cervical cancer screening is done by visual inspection of the cervix by trained nurses or doctors using acetic acid ${ }^{12}$.

This chapter focuses on the health service component of cervical cancer prevention and treatment. This information will help Nepal assess trends and progress towards the elimination of cervical cancer as well as the evaluation of current policies and programs in place.

### 14.1 Testing for cervical cancer

Only $5.4 \%$ of women age 15-69 years reported ever tested for cervical cancer and $4.0 \%$ were tested within the past 5 years (Table 14.1). In the age recommended for screening (i.e. 30-49 years of age), $8.2 \%$ of women got ever tested for cervical cancer, and $5.9 \%$ were tested within the last 5 years.

Amongst those who have ever been tested, $49.5 \%$ received their first testing between the age of 30-49 years, $34.2 \%$ were first tested between the age of $15-29$ years and $5.4 \%$ between $50-69$ years (Table 14.1).

Amongst women who have ever been tested for cervical cancer, $49.4 \%$ of women stated the main reason for their last test was due to experiencing pain or some other symptoms; $21.9 \%$ of women stated that it was a routine exam and $12.4 \%$ of women reported getting tested as per advice by a health care provider. $93.3 \%$ of women who have ever been tested for cervical cancer received their test results (Table 14.2 and Figure 14.2).

Figure 14.2 Percent women aged 15-69 who cited different reasons for seeking cervical cancer testing, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


[^49]
## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 14.1 and 14.2):

- The highest percentage of women who were ever tested for cervical cancer and highest percentage of women who received their last test less than 5 years ago was amongst women aged 30-49 (8.2\% and 5.9\% respectively).
- Karnali Province had substantially higher proportion (11.3\%) of women who were tested compared to all other Provinces (Figure 14.3).
- Percentage of women who have ever been tested and those who were tested within the last 5 years increased with increasing levels of education (Figure 14.4).

Figure 14.3 Percent of women aged 15-69 who have ever received testing for cervical cancer, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


- Older women who reside in metropolitan or sub-metropolitan areas who are more education are most likely to receive their test results (Figure 14.5). Although those who have secondary level education were least likely to receive their test results (Table 14.1).
- Younger women who were more educated were more likely to get tested as part of a routine examination or get tested as recommended by a health care provider than their counterparts (Figure 14.6).
- Residents of rural municipalities were

Figure 14.4 Percent women aged 15-69 who have ever tested for cervical cancer, whose most recent test was less than 5 years ago by education, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019
 most likely to get tested as part of a routine exam while residents of metropolitan or sub-metropolitan areas were more likely to be tested as recommended by a health care provider (Figure 14.7).

- Older women, who were less educated, were more likely to get tested due to symptoms of pain or others (Table 14.2).

Figure 14.5 Percent women aged 15-69 who received their test results, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 14.6 Differentials between reasons for testing for cervical cancer by age and education amongst women aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 14.7 Differentials between reasons for seeking testing for cervical cancer by residence amongst women aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


### 14.2 Sources of care for cervical cancer

$55.6 \%$ of women (15-69 year of age) received their most recent test at private clinics, NGO- or community-run hospitals and $38.4 \%$ of women received their most recent test at government facilities (Table 14.3).

## Patterns by background characteristic (Table 14.3):

- More women who reside in rural municipalities and who have lower household wealth got the test done at government facilities than their counterparts. The reverse relationship was seen with use of private facilities. Even among the poorest wealth quintile, more than $50 \%$ of women got their test done at private facilities (Figure 14.8).
- Lowest government facilities usage for testing was in Province 3 (9.4\%) and highest was in Karnali Province (64.3\%) (Figure 14.9). As noted before Karnali Province also had the highest proportion of women who ever received testing. The reverse relationship was observed for use of private facilities (Table 14.3).

Figure 14.8 Differentials in percent women aged 15-69 who received testing at government facilities by residence and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 14.9 Percent women aged 15-69 who reported receiving testing at government facilities, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


### 14.3 Treatment for cervical cancer

Amongst women with a cervical cancer test and those who received abnormal or inconclusive test results, $63.5 \%$ reported receiving treatment while $50 \%$ reported having a follow-up visit as a result of the test ${ }^{13}$ (Figure 14.10).

Figure 14.10 Percent women aged 15-69 who have received follow-up visits or treatment amongst those who have been tested for cervical cancer and received abnormal or inconclusive results, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019
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## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on cervical cancer, see the following tables:
Table 14.1 Testing for cervical cancer: all women
Table 14.2: Reasons for testing for cervical cancer: all women
Table 14.3 Sources of care for testing and treatment of cervical cancer

| Table 14.1 Testing for cervical cancer: all women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of women aged 15-69 years who have ever tested for cervical cancer; timing of the last test; age of first testing and percent who received test results, amongst women aged 15-69 years, by background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Amongst those who have ever been tested for cervical cancer: |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent women ever tested | women whose most recent test was less | Number <br> of | percent who received their first test at age*: |  |  | percent wh | Number of |
| Background characteristic | cancer | ago* | (N) | 15-29 | 30-49 | 50-69 | results | (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 860 | 81.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.5 | 43 |
| 30-49 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 1663 | 20.8 | 73.5 | 0.0 | 93.5 | 168 |
| 50-69 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 794 | 0.6 | 52.2 | 31.7 | 97.0 | 53 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 5.3 | 2.8 | 416 | 14.0 | 61.8 | 0.7 | 99.7 | 41 |
| Municipality | 5.8 | 4.4 | 1718 | 34.7 | 47.4 | 5.3 | 94.0 | 132 |
| Rural Municipality | 4.8 | 3.8 | 1305 | 38.4 | 50.0 | 6.8 | 90.3 | 91 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 506 | 30.1 | 59.8 | 10.1 | 99.1 | 25 |
| Province 2 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 431 | 31.6 | 34.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 24 |
| Province 3 | 5.6 | 3.3 | 444 | 23.2 | 58.5 | 12.2 | 96.9 | 42 |
| Gandaki Province | 7.1 | 4.8 | 510 | 22.4 | 73.6 | 1.2 | 86.3 | 38 |
| Province 5 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 504 | 38.2 | 52.5 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 36 |
| Karnali Province | 11.3 | 9.1 | 520 | 57.8 | 27.0 | 7.2 | 73.4 | 57 |
| Sudoorpashchim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province | 4.6 | 4.0 | 524 | 35.9 | 48.6 | 8.0 | 86.5 | 42 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 4.9 | 3.5 | 1884 | 22.9 | 54.3 | 12.8 | 92.9 | 134 |
| Primary | 5.2 | 3.7 | 612 | 44.3 | 40.1 | 0.0 | 96.5 | 41 |
| Secondary | 5.4 | 4.4 | 602 | 48.5 | 47.6 | 0.3 | 84.9 | 46 |
| More than secondary | 6.8 | 5.8 | 340 | 33.9 | 50.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 43 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 5.0 | 4.3 | 1067 | 48.1 | 39.1 | 7.0 | 90.0 | 68 |
| Second | 5.3 | 4.3 | 668 | 35.7 | 53.2 | 5.6 | 83.0 | 53 |
| Middle | 3.7 | 2.6 | 582 | 43.1 | 43.2 | 9.0 | 99.2 | 37 |
| Fourth | 5.1 | 4.0 | 526 | 31.3 | 43.4 | 3.3 | 98.0 | 38 |
| Highest | 8.2 | 5.0 | 596 | 20.1 | 61.3 | 3.6 | 97.7 | 68 |
| Total (15-69) | 5.4 | 4.0 | 3439 | 34.2 | 49.5 | 5.4 | 93.3 | 264 |
| * Women who refused to respond or stated "don't know" for these two questions are not presented here but included in the denominator at the time of the analysis. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 14.2: Reasons for testing for cervical cancer: all women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of women aged 15-69 years who have ever received cervical cancer testing and cited different reasons for seeking the test, by background characteristics [ Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent whose main reason for the last test was*: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Routine exam | Follow up on abnormal or inconclusive results | Recommended by health care provider | Recommended by other sources | Experiencing pain or other | Other | Number of women (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 30.2 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 3.8 | 37.0 | 2.8 | 43 |
| 30-49 | 19.0 | 4.9 | 14.6 | 4.5 | 53.0 | 3.0 | 168 |
| 50-69 | 17.9 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 7.7 | 58.0 | 7.6 | 53 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 7.1 | 6.9 | 21.5 | 0.6 | 50.0 | 13.9 | 41 |
| Municipality | 20.3 | 8.1 | 12.9 | 4.8 | 48.7 | 3.6 | 132 |
| Rural Municipality | 28.6 | 3.4 | 9.2 | 6.0 | 50.5 | 1.4 | 91 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 24.9 | 8.5 | 14.7 | 0.5 | 51.4 | 0.0 | 25 |
| Province 2 | 31.4 | 21.3 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 38.6 | 0.0 | 24 |
| Province 3 | 34.4 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 3.1 | 45.6 | 4.2 | 42 |
| Gandaki Province | 34.0 | 1.9 | 13.9 | 6.6 | 36.3 | 7.4 | 38 |
| Province 5 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 10.2 | 54.4 | 5.9 | 36 |
| Karnali Province | 5.1 | 4.0 | 24.2 | 4.3 | 54.9 | 5.3 | 57 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 5.6 | 0.5 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 68.7 | 2.8 | 42 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 17.0 | 2.2 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 60.1 | 6.3 | 134 |
| Primary | 22.6 | 9.9 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 2.5 | 41 |
| Secondary | 23.5 | 4.0 | 18.5 | 8.8 | 42.1 | 3.0 | 46 |
| More than secondary | 30.5 | 15.1 | 19.4 | 2.8 | 30.5 | 0.0 | 43 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 15.7 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 4.8 | 59.3 | 4.9 | 68 |
| Second | 18.2 | 1.0 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 43.6 | 3.6 | 53 |
| Middle | 38.8 | 3.3 | 9.0 | 1.4 | 42.8 | 1.3 | 37 |
| Fourth | 11.3 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 7.7 | 38 |
| Highest | 27.5 | 8.7 | 13.8 | 0.2 | 48.2 | 1.7 | 68 |
| Total (15-69) | 21.9 | 6.5 | 12.4 | 4.8 | 49.4 | 3.7 | 264 |

* Women who refused to respond or stated "don't know" for these two questions are not presented here but included in the denominator.

| Table 14.3 Sources of care for testing and treatment of cervical cancer |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of women aged 15-69 who received testing from difference sources by background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019]. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Source of care for testing |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Government facilities | Private hospital/ Private Clinic / NGO or community hospital | Other | Number of women (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 39.7 | 57.2 | 3.1 | 42 |
| 30-49 | 40.2 | 54.9 | 4.9 | 168 |
| 50-69 | 30.1 | 55.0 | 14.9 | 52 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 34.2 | 51.5 | 14.3 | 41 |
| Municipality | 37.7 | 57.4 | 5.0 | 130 |
| Rural Municipality | 40.6 | 53.5 | 6.0 | 91 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 45.6 | 48.9 | 5.5 | 25 |
| Province 2 | 37.2 | 62.9 | 0.0 | 23 |
| Province 3 | 9.4 | 78.9 | 11.7 | 42 |
| Gandaki Province | 31.8 | 65.1 | 3.2 | 38 |
| Province 5 | 40.2 | 51.2 | 8.6 | 36 |
| Karnali Province | 64.3 | 35.5 | 0.3 | 57 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 51.0 | 35.8 | 13.3 | 41 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 37.4 | 53.9 | 8.7 | 133 |
| Primary | 32.5 | 64.2 | 3.3 | 41 |
| Secondary | 40.8 | 54.9 | 4.3 | 46 |
| More than secondary | 43.7 | 51.3 | 5.0 | 42 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 43.8 | 51.9 | 4.3 | 68 |
| Second | 55.3 | 41.0 | 3.6 | 53 |
| Middle | 45.2 | 46.4 | 8.4 | 35 |
| Fourth | 34.1 | 51.0 | 15.0 | 38 |
| Highest | 20.5 | 76.9 | 2.6 | 68 |
| Total (15-69) | 38.4 | 55.6 | 6.1 | 262 |

## Chapter 15

## ORAL HEALTH

## Key Findings

- Oral hygiene practices
o Cleaning of teeth: majority of adults ( $89.9 \%$ ) reported that they clean their teeth daily or twice in a day whereas $8.6 \%$ participants did not clean their teeth every day.
o Cleaning materials: most of the participants used toothpaste (85.7\%) and toothbrush (96.7\%) followed by wooden toothpicks (Neem stick) 12.2\%.


## - Self-reported state of teeth/gums

o State of teeth: more than 4 out of $5(81.3 \%)$ of adults reported their teeth are in either good or average state. While one out of ten participants reported their teeth in excellent or very good state and $8.6 \%$ reported their teeth to be in poor or very poor condition.
o State of gums: similarly, $84.2 \%$ of adults reported good or average, $10.8 \%$ of adults reported excellent or very good state of their gums and $4.9 \%$ reported their gum to be poor to very poor.

- Care seeking for oral health issues
o Ever visited dentist: only $5.3 \%$ of adults ( $7.0 \%$ in women, $3.4 \%$ in men) reported that they ever visited dentist in the past.
o Timing of recent visit: half of them ( $52.2 \%$ ) among those who have ever seen a dentist visited within last one year followed by $39.8 \%$ visited between 1-5 years and rest of them ( $8.0 \%$ ) visited more than 5 years ago.
o Reason for visit: among those who ever visited a dentist, only $2.4 \%$ of adults visited for a preventive visit while others ( $97.6 \%$ ) visited for consultation or treatment.


## - Self-reported oral health issues

o Dental caries was the most common oral health issue reported by $23.0 \%$ adults $(26.4 \%$ in women, $19.2 \%$ in men), followed by bleeding from gums (8.2\%), difficulty in chewing (7.1\%) and swelling in gums (5.9\%).

## - Sources of care for oral health issues

o Visited health facility: one-fourth ( $24.8 \%$ ) of adults ( $31.1 \%$ in women, $15.0 \%$ in men) reported that they visited health facility for their oral health issues.
o Source of care: among those who visited health facility, half of them (50.6\%) visited private facilities exclusively and $30.4 \%$ visited government facilities exclusively. Only $8.1 \%$ of participants reported that they have visited dental homes or hospitals.

- Reason for not seeking care for oral health issues
o Demand side reasons: more than half $54.5 \%$ of adults reported that they didn't think it was required, $12.8 \%$ of participants said they don't know how or where to get treatment while $9.5 \%$ said they don't have time to visit health facility for oral health issues.
o Supply-side reasons: nearly one-fourth (23.3\%) said health facility is too far, $13.7 \%$ said treatment is too expensive and $2.8 \%$ reported poor service in the health facilities.

Oral diseases are one of the most common non communicable diseases affecting 3.6 billion people worldwide in 2016. Amongst those, the majority of oral diseases ( 2.4 billion) are dental caries carries of the permanent teeth, followed by periodontal diseases and caries of deciduous teeth ${ }^{1}$.

Oral health implies being free of chronic oro-facial pain, oral and pharyngeal cancers, oral tissue lesions, birth defects such as cleft lip and palate, and other diseases and disorders that affect the oral, dental and craniofacial tissues ${ }^{2}$. It is integral and essential to general health and quality of life and have significant economic implications from both direct treatment costs and costs incurred due to loss of productivity ${ }^{3}$.

Most oral diseases and conditions share modifiable risk factors (such as tobacco use, alcohol consumption and unhealthy diets high in free sugars) common to the other NCDs. Rapidly increasing levels of oral disease, have been observed in LMICs in parallel with changes in living conditions and the increasing adoption of unhealthy lifestyles. However, unequal distribution of oral health professionals, lack of appropriate health facilities, lack of awareness and socio-economic inequalities in most LMICs means that access to primary oral health services is often low ${ }^{4,5}$.

Oral health care systems often focus on disease treatment which require intensive health care resources and personnel that are often in critical shortage in LMICS, while attention on primary prevention and oral health promotion is lacking ${ }^{6}$.

South-East Asia Regional oral health strategy suggested two overall targets for 2025: (1) A $25 \%$ relative reduction of premature mortality from oral cancer (2) A $25 \%$ relative reduction of prevalence of dental caries. It also highlighted 5 priority action areas (Figure 15.1) ${ }^{7}$.

Figure 15.1 Strategy for oral health in South-East Asia (2013-2020)

## 5 priority action areas:

(1) Integrating oral diseases into prevention and control of NCDs
(2) Addressing oral cancer
(3) Promoting oral health through fluorides
(4) Increasing and diversifying the health workforce
(5) Oral health through school health

Nepal has developed national oral health policy aiming to provide high quality and effective basic oral health care to public ${ }^{8}$. This includes the emphasis on promotive, preventive, curative and rehabilitative care. The National Oral Health Policy and the National Strategic Plan for Oral Health addresses the following health outcomes:

- Reduced incidence and prevalence of dental cries (decay)
- Reduced incidence of oral cancers
- Reduced incidence and prevalence of periodontal diseases
- Reduced disability and handicap resulting from oro-facial defects (cleft lips and cleft palates)

This chapter focuses on oral hygiene practices, reported oral health issues and access and usage of oral health services. This information will help Nepal assess trends and progress of the national oral health status as well as the evaluation of current policies and programs in place that are related to oral health.

### 15.1 Oral hygiene practices

Most adults ( $89.9 \%$ ) aged 15-69 in Nepal reported cleaning their teeth once or more than once a day. Toothbrush usage for teeth cleaning was nearly universal (96.7\%) and most adults used toothpastes (85.7\%) (Table 15.1).

[^51]$12.2 \%$ of adults reported use of wooden toothpicks (Neem stick) to clean their teeth (Table 15.1).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 15.1)

- Adults aged 15-24 were most likely to practice oral hygiene including cleaning teeth daily ( $96.9 \%$ ), using a tooth brush ( $99.2 \%$ ) and toothpaste ( $89.2 \%$ ) for teeth cleaning compared to older adults (Figure 15. 2). On the other hand, use of wooden toothpicks (neem sticks) was most common amongst adults aged 55-69 (Figure 15.2).

Figure 15.2 Differentials in oral hygiene practices amongst adults aged 15-69 by age, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


- Interestingly, the lowest percentage of adults who clean their teeth daily ( $86.5 \%$ ) and use tooth pastes (76.0\%) was in Metropolitan and sub-metropolitan regions.
- Karnali Province had the lowest percentage of adults who cleaned their teeth daily (85.6\%) and the highest in Province 1 (93.5\%).
- Adults with lower level of education and wealth were least likely to clean their teeth daily and use toothbrush (Figure 15. 3).

Figure 15.3 Differentials in oral hygiene practices amongst adults aged 15-69 by education and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## Trends between $2013{ }^{9}$ and 2019 survey:

- Reported use of tooth brush increased from $88.2 \%$ to $96.7 \%$ (Figure 15. 4). However some decline is seen for percent adults who clean their teeth at least once a day ( $94.9 \%$ to $89.9 \%$ ) and use of toothpaste $(87.1 \%$ to $85.7 \%$ ) (Figure 15.4).

[^52]Figure 15.4 Trends between 2013 and 2019 in oral hygiene practices amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey


### 15.2 Self-reported state of teeth and gum

$8.6 \%$ and $4.9 \%$ of adults reported their state of teeth and gum, respectively to be poor (Table 15.2). Most adults reported their state of teeth (81.3\%) and gum (84.2\%) to be good or average (Table 15.2).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 15.2):

- A higher percentage of adults who are older, reside in rural municipalities, who are less educated and less wealthy report the state of their teeth to be poor or very poor (Figure 15.5 and Figure 15. 6 ).

Figure 15.5 Differentials in self-reported state of teeth being poor or very poor amongst adults aged 15-69 by age, residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 15.6 Differentials in self-reported state of gum being poor or very poor amongst adults aged 15-69 by age, residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


- Karnali Province had the highest percentage of adults who reported their state of teeth and gum to be poor or very poor ( $13.9 \%$ and $7.8 \%$ respectively), while the lowest percentage was in Province 2 (state of teeth, $5.6 \%$ ), and Province 5 (state of gum 3.0\%). (Table 15.2)

Trends between $2013{ }^{9}$ and 2019 survey:

- Fewer adults aged 15-69 reported their state of teeth and gum to be poor or very poor in 2019 compared to 2013.

Figure 15.7 Trends between 2013 and 2019 in percent adults aged 15-69 who report their state of teeth or gum to be poor or very poor, Nepal STEPS Survey


### 15.3 Care seeking for oral health issues with dentist

Only $5.3 \%$ of adults reported ever visiting a dentist (Table 15.3). Amongst those $52.2 \%$ reported their last visit to be within the past year and almost all ( $97.6 \%$ ) reported the reason for visit to be for a consultation/treatment (Table 15.3). It is clear that the utilization of dental services is primarily for treatment of oral health issues rather than prevention.

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 15.3):

- A higher percentage of women, who were older, with lower levels of education and wealth reported ever visiting a dentist. This may be related to poorer oral hygiene practices and reflect poorer self-reported state of teeth and gum as discussed above.
- However, visiting a dentist for preventative services was much higher amongst adults aged 15-24 who were men, living in municipalities, with higher levels of education and wealth (Figure 15. 8).

Figure 15.8 Differentials in percent of adults visiting a dentist for preventative services amongst adults aged 15-69 who have ever visits a dentist by age, sex, residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019 ( $n=451$ )


- Gandaki Province had the highest percentage of adults ( $\mathrm{n}=89$ ) reporting ever visited a dentist $(9.3 \%)$, and the lowest percentage $(\mathrm{n}=40)$ was in Province $1(2.8 \%)$ (Figure 15.9).

Figure 15.9 Percent adults aged 15-69 who have ever visited a dentist by Province , Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## Trends between $2013{ }^{9}$ and 2019 survey:

- A large decline in adults aged 15-69 who reported ever visiting a dentist is seen ( $16.1 \%$ vs 5.3\%) (Figure 15. 10). However, compared to 2013 STEPS survey, amongst those who have ever visited a dentist, a higher percentage of adults had their last visit in the past year.(Figure 15.10).


### 15.4 Self-reported oral health issues

The most commonly reported oral health issues in the past 12 months are dental caries $(23.0 \%)$, bleeding from gums (8.2\%) and difficulty in chewing (7.1\%) (Table 15.4).

Figure 15.10 Trends between 2013 to 2019 in percent adults who have ever visited a dentist and timing of visit amongst those who have ever visited a dentist in adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey


## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 15.4):

- For all reported oral health issues including dental caries, bleeding from the gums and difficulty chewing, women, who are older, who reside in rural municipalities, and have lower levels of education and wealth are most likely to report oral health issues.


## Trends between $2013{ }^{9}$ and 2019 survey:

Self-reported prevalence of dental caries in the past 12 months declined from $35.9 \%$ to $23.0 \%$; difficulty chewing also declined from $16.2 \%$ to $7.1 \%$ (Figure 15. 11). Information on gum bleeding was not collected in 2013.

### 15.5 Sources of care for oral health issues

Amongst adults who reported existing oral health issues, only $24.8 \%$ stated that they visited a health facility for it (Table 15.5). Within the types of health facilities visited, the most common source was private health facilities ( $50.6 \%$ ), followed by government facilities (30.4\%) and last dental homes/hospitals (8.1\%) (Table 15.5).

Figure 15.11 Trends in self-reported prevalence of oral health issues in the past 12 months amongst adults aged 15-69 between 2013 and 2019, Nepal STEPS Survey


## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 15.5):

- Women and those who reside in municipalities are more likely to visit a health facility for oral health issues compared to their counterparts.
- A much higher proportion of adults aged 55-69 visited private health facilities (61.3\%) for existing oral health issues than government facilities $(17.4 \%)$ relative to other age groups.
- Use of dental home/hospital was highest in metropolitan and sub-metropolitan areas (10.1\%).
- Use of government facilities varied greatly across Province with the highest use seen in Province 1 (53.5\%) compared to the lowest in Province $2(6.6 \%)$ (Figure 15.12). Province 1 also had the lowest use of private health facilities (37.1\%), while the highest use of private facilities was seen in Province 5 ( $71.9 \%$ ) (Figure 15.12) ${ }^{10}$.

Figure 15.12 Differentials in use of government health facilities vs private health facilities for care amongst adults aged 15-69 with existing oral health issues by Province , Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


[^53]- Interestingly, adults who are less educated were more likely to use private health facilities.
- Use of private health facilities increased with increasing wealth and use of government health facilities increased with lower wealth (Figure 15.13).

Figure 15.13 Differentials in use of government, private health facilities and dental homes/hospitals amongst adults aged 15-69 with existing oral health issues by wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


### 15.6 Reasons for not seeking care for oral health issues

On the demand side, the most common reason for not seeking care from the service amongst adults with existing oral health issues was "Not serious enough to require treatment" (54.5\%), followed by "don't know how/where to get treatment" ( $12.8 \%$ ); from the service supply side, the most common reasons were health centre being too far (23.3\%) and "too expensive" (13.7\%) (Table 15.6).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 15.6):

- Older adults are more likely to report reasons like "don't know how/where to get treatment" (20.3\%) and "too expensive" (19.5\%) for not seeking care for their existing oral health issues, compared to younger adults (Figure 15.14).

Figure 15.14 Differentials in reasons for not seeking care amongst adults aged 15-69 with existing oral health issues by age, Nepal STEPS Survey


- Adults residing in metropolitan or sub-metropolitan areas were more likely to report "don't know how/ where to get treatment relative to their counterparts, while they were least likely to report "health centre too far" as their reason.
- On the supply side, Province 1 had the highest reporting of health centre being too far as the reason $(45.0 \%)$ and lowest in Province $5(8.7 \%)$. While on the demand side, adults who reside in Gandaki Province were mostly likely to report "Not serious enough to require treatment" as a reason (82.8\%) and the lowest in Province 1 (33.9\%).
- Adults with lower levels of education and wealth reported fewer demand side issues and had more supply side issues (Figure 15.15 and Figure 15. 16).

Figure 15.15 Differentials in reasons for not seeking care amongst adults aged 15-69 with existing oral health issues by education, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 15.16 Differentials in reasons for not seeking care amongst adults aged 15-69 with existing oral health issues by wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


### 15.7 Removable dentures

Amongst adults aged 15-69, 2.1\% of adults reported currently having removable dentures (Figure 15. 17). Amongst those adults, $45.7 \%$ reported to have only removable upper dentures, $20.0 \%$ reported to have only removable lower dentures and $34.2 \%$ reported to have both removable upper and lower dentures (Figure 15. 17).

Figure 15.17 Types of dentures amongst adults aged 15-69 who reported to have removable dentures, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on oral health, see the following tables:
Table 15.1 Oral hygiene practices: all participants
Table 15.2 Self-reported state of teeth/gums: all participants
Table 15.3 Care seeking for oral health issues through visiting a dentist: all participants
Table 15.4 Self-reported oral health issues/problems: all participants
Table 15.5 Care seeking for oral health issues through different health facilities: all participants with existing oral health issues

Table 15.6 Reason for not seeking care for oral health issues: participants with existing oral health issues

Table 15.1 Oral hygiene practices: all participants
Percent distribution of participants age 15-69 years with different oral hygiene practices, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019]

| Background characteristic | Cleaning of teeth |  |  | Number of participants (N) | Percent of participants using different cleaning materials on usual basis among those who cleaned their teeth |  |  |  |  | Number of participants (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily ${ }^{1}$ | Nondaily ${ }^{2}$ | Never |  | Toothpaste | Toothbrush | Wooden toothpicks ${ }^{3}$ | Charcoal | Others ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 96.9 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 843 | 89.2 | 99.2 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 838 |
| 25-39 | 92.2 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 2087 | 84.4 | 97.8 | 11.0 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 2072 |
| 40-54 | 84.7 | 14.0 | 1.3 | 1574 | 87.6 | 96.1 | 16.3 | 2.1 | 7.4 | 1541 |
| 55-69 | 77.8 | 17.2 | 5.1 | 1089 | 79.2 | 89.0 | 19.9 | 3.9 | 12.6 | 1009 |

Sex

| Women | 89.9 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 3595 | 86.4 | 96.9 | 13.3 | 1.4 | 6.0 | 3501 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Men | 90.0 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 1998 | 85.0 | 96.5 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 1959 |

Residence

| Metropolitan/ <br> submetropolitan | 86.5 | 9.9 | 3.5 | 705 | 76.0 | 98.7 | 12.0 | 1.1 | 18.4 | 698 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | 91.5 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 2755 | 87.9 | 95.5 | 14.8 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 2692 |
| Rural Municipality | 88.5 | 10.2 | 1.3 | 2133 | 84.9 | 98.0 | 8.6 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 2070 |

Province

| Province 1 | 93.5 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 804 | 92.8 | 99.6 | 13.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 791 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province 2 | 89.9 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 803 | 66.2 | 89.0 | 17.5 | 0.3 | 11.2 | 788 |
| Province 3 | 86.2 | 11.6 | 2.2 | 759 | 91.2 | 98.7 | 8.4 | 2.0 | 8.8 | 744 |
| Gandaki Province | 92.1 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 793 | 92.6 | 99.5 | 13.0 | 0.7 | 4.6 | 787 |
| Province 5 | 89.2 | 8.8 | 2.0 | 797 | 84.5 | 97.8 | 11.7 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 774 |
| Karnali Province | 85.6 | 11.1 | 3.3 | 808 | 91.8 | 98.0 | 10.5 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 765 |
| Sudoorpashchim 91.6 7.1 1.3$(829$ | 94.3 | 97.5 | 7.8 | 2.8 | 9.3 | 811 |  |  |  |  |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Education

| No education | 84.1 | 13.5 | 2.4 | 2792 | 85.9 | 92.9 | 18.1 | 2.4 | 9.1 | 2675 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 91.8 | 7.3 | 0.9 | 1051 | 88.1 | 98.4 | 8.4 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 1042 |
| Secondary | 94.0 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 1088 | 89.1 | 99.3 | 9.9 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 1085 |
| More than secondary | 96.2 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 661 | 76.6 | 99.8 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 657 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 80.5 | 16.1 | 3.4 | 1653 | 87.4 | 95.5 | 15.4 | 2.5 | 7.0 | 1558 |
| Second | 90.2 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 1062 | 89.7 | 96.1 | 15.5 | 1.1 | 6.9 | 1044 |
| Middle | 92.3 | 6.4 | 1.3 | 949 | 89.8 | 95.3 | 13.9 | 0.9 | 7.9 | 939 |
| Fourth | 90.8 | 7.8 | 1.4 | 878 | 79.3 | 97.1 | 10.2 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 868 |
| Highest | 95.9 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1051 | 82.4 | 99.3 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 1051 |

Age (previous, 2013)

| $15-29$ | 95.7 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 1456 | 88.1 | 99.3 | 8.1 | 0.2 | 4.7 | 1456 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $30-44$ | 89.2 | 10.4 | 0.5 | 2020 | 84.2 | 96.4 | 13.2 | 1.3 | 5.6 | 2020 |
| $45-69$ | 81.0 | 15.7 | 3.3 | 1984 | 83.3 | 92.5 | 18.4 | 2.8 | 9.9 | 1984 |
| Total (15-69) | $\mathbf{8 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 6 0}$ |


| Total (15-69) | $\mathbf{8 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1}$ Once, or more than once a day; ${ }^{2}$ Once $/ 2-3$ times a month or Once $/ 2-6$ times a | $\mathbf{6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 6 0} ;{ }^{3}$ Neem stick; ${ }^{4}$ Plastic toothpics $/$ Thread (Dental |  |  |  |  |  |  |

floss) /Chewstick /Miswak /Dattiwan;

| Percent distribution of participants age 15-69 yrs who self-reported perceived state of their teeth and gums on a scale of 1-6, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State of teeth |  |  | State of gums |  |  | Number of participants (N) |
| Background characteristic | Excellent/ <br> Very good | Good / <br> Average | $\begin{gathered} \text { Poor / Very } \\ \text { poor } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Excellent/ <br> Very good | Good / <br> Average | $\begin{gathered} \text { Poor / Very } \\ \text { poor } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 15.8 | 80.5 | 3.7 | 17.1 | 81.0 | 1.8 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 10.6 | 84.4 | 5.0 | 10.4 | 86.3 | 3.2 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 6.8 | 80.5 | 12.8 | 7.9 | 84.0 | 8.1 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 2.6 | 75.3 | 22.0 | 3.7 | 85.1 | 11.0 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 8.7 | 81.9 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 85.1 | 5.6 | 3595 |
| Men | 11.7 | 80.6 | 7.7 | 12.6 | 83.2 | 4.2 | 1998 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 15.6 | 79.5 | 4.9 | 14.4 | 82.9 | 2.7 | 705 |
| Municipality | 8.2 | 84.9 | 6.8 | 9.0 | 87.1 | 3.8 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 11.4 | 76.5 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 80.4 | 7.1 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 12.0 | 77.1 | 10.8 | 13.2 | 79.3 | 7.5 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 11.7 | 82.7 | 5.6 | 12.7 | 83.5 | 3.7 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 9.6 | 82.5 | 7.9 | 10.7 | 84.9 | 4.4 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 8.7 | 82.4 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 86.8 | 4.2 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 11.0 | 81.8 | 7.2 | 10.8 | 86.2 | 3.0 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 5.0 | 81.1 | 13.9 | 6.4 | 85.9 | 7.8 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 7.0 | 82.4 | 10.6 | 7.6 | 86.1 | 6.2 | 829 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.6 | 79.3 | 14.1 | 7.1 | 84.4 | 8.4 | 2792 |
| Primary | 13.1 | 81.5 | 5.4 | 13.9 | 83.0 | 3.1 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 12.8 | 82.6 | 4.5 | 14.8 | 83.2 | 2.0 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 10.7 | 84.1 | 5.2 | 9.8 | 87.1 | 3.0 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 7.3 | 79.8 | 12.9 | 8.0 | 83.0 | 8.9 | 1653 |
| Second | 12.1 | 78.4 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 81.5 | 5.3 | 1062 |
| Middle | 12.7 | 77.4 | 9.9 | 13.7 | 80.5 | 5.7 | 949 |
| Fourth | 9.6 | 83.9 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 86.3 | 3.2 | 878 |
| Highest | 8.8 | 87.1 | 4.2 | 8.7 | 89.9 | 1.4 | 1051 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 15.0 | 80.8 | 4.2 | 15.4 | 82.1 | 2.5 | 1466 |
| 30-44 | 7.8 | 85.2 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 86.8 | 4.4 | 2039 |
| 45-69 | 4.2 | 77.9 | 17.9 | 5.0 | 85.1 | 9.8 | 2088 |
| Total (15-69) | 10.1 | 81.3 | 8.6 | 10.8 | 84.2 | 4.9 | 5593 |


| Table 15.3 Care seeking for oral health issues through visiting a dentist: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of participants age 15-69 who ever visited a dentist, timing of and reasons for last visit, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Timing of most recent visit among those ever visited |  |  | Reason for most recent visit among those ever visited |  | Number of participants (N) |
| Background characteristic | Ever visited a dentist | Number of participants (N) | within one year | 1-5 years | more <br> than 5 <br> years | consultation / treatment | preventative |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 2.0 | 843 | 67.3 | 30.3 | 2.4 | 87.6 | 12.4 | 25 |
| 25-39 | 5.2 | 2087 | 54.2 | 41.4 | 4.4 | 98.7 | 1.3 | 161 |
| 40-54 | 6.7 | 1574 | 42.4 | 43.7 | 13.9 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 145 |
| 55-69 | 10.1 | 1089 | 53.5 | 37.0 | 9.5 | 97.8 | 2.2 | 120 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 7.0 | 3595 | 55.9 | 38.2 | 5.9 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 333 |
| Men | 3.4 | 1998 | 43.7 | 43.4 | 12.9 | 94.1 | 5.9 | 118 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 3.3 | 705 | 36.1 | 53.0 | 11.0 | 99.3 | 0.7 | 61 |
| Municipality | 5.5 | 2755 | 49.9 | 41.5 | 8.5 | 95.7 | 4.3 | 212 |
| Rural Municipality | 5.5 | 2133 | 57.9 | 35.3 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 178 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 2.8 | 804 | 69.2 | 23.4 | 7.3 | 94.6 | 5.4 | 40 |
| Province 2 | 4.0 | 803 | 28.5 | 62.9 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 37 |
| Province 3 | 4.7 | 759 | 68.4 | 31.5 | 0.1 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 60 |
| Gandaki Province | 9.3 | 793 | 72.5 | 22.8 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 89 |
| Province 5 | 4.7 | 797 | 36.3 | 55.0 | 8.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 52 |
| Karnali Province | 8.4 | 808 | 35.3 | 46.5 | 18.2 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 86 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 9.0 | 829 | 57.1 | 32.1 | 10.8 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 87 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.1 | 2792 | 44.7 | 45.1 | 10.2 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 256 |
| Primary | 4.1 | 1051 | 52.9 | 38.4 | 8.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 69 |
| Secondary | 4.6 | 1088 | 67.3 | 28.2 | 4.5 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 83 |
| More than secondary | 3.6 | 661 | 58.6 | 38.7 | 2.8 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 43 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 7.1 | 1653 | 59.0 | 30.3 | 10.8 | 99.4 | 0.6 | 149 |
| Second | 4.7 | 1062 | 52.9 | 41.2 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 82 |
| Middle | 5.2 | 949 | 47.0 | 42.4 | 10.7 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 74 |
| Fourth | 4.4 | 878 | 52.5 | 39.7 | 7.8 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 59 |
| Highest | 5.1 | 1051 | 47.4 | 49.2 | 3.5 | 95.3 | 4.7 | 87 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 2.9 | 1466 | 62.0 | 36.2 | 1.7 | 94.9 | 5.1 | 64 |
| 30-44 | 6.4 | 2039 | 46.0 | 42.6 | 11.4 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 183 |
| 45-69 | 8.2 | 2088 | 51.6 | 39.5 | 8.9 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 204 |
| Total (15-69) | 5.3 | 5593 | 52.2 | 39.8 | 8.0 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 451 |


| Table 15.4 Self-reported oral health issues/problems: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of participants age 15-69 who reported experiencing different oral health problems in the past 12 months, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oral health issues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of participants (N) |
| Background characteristic | Difficulty in chewing | Difficulty in speaking | Bleeding from gums | Swelling from gums | Teeth appearance | Patch in mouth | Persistent wound | Took leaves at work due to teeth/ mouth | Difficulty in doing routine work | Difficulty in opening mouth | Dental caries |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 11.0 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 21.0 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 9.0 | 2.5 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 32.3 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 19.1 | 6.2 | 13.4 | 10.5 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 38.3 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 9.0 | 3.3 | 9.5 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 26.4 | 3595 |
| Men | 4.9 | 1.9 | 6.6 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 19.2 | 1998 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 3.0 | 2.6 | 8.3 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 13.8 | 705 |
| Municipality | 6.4 | 2.3 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 21.4 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 9.0 | 3.1 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 27.4 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 26.7 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 10.2 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 22.9 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 7.5 | 1.6 | 6.8 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 27.5 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 6.1 | 1.4 | 11.0 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 24.0 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 14.1 | 6.6 | 13.9 | 14.6 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 34.3 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 16.3 | 8.7 | 13.1 | 10.4 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 28.3 | 829 |


| No education | 12.1 | 4.4 | 10.5 | 9.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 32.0 | 2792 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 5.1 | 1.4 | 7.1 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 19.1 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 3.3 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 16.3 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 2.7 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 15.5 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 12.6 | 7.1 | 14.7 | 9.9 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 35.2 | 1653 |
| Second | 9.1 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 24.7 | 1062 |
| Middle | 5.7 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 19.8 | 949 |
| Fourth | 5.8 | 2.2 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 16.5 | 878 |
| Highest | 2.0 | 0.8 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 18.8 | 1051 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 14.8 | 1466 |
| 30-44 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 9.5 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 23.5 | 2039 |
| 45-69 | 14.4 | 4.6 | 11.6 | 9.9 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 36.4 | 2088 |
| Total (15-69) | 7.1 | 2.6 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 23.0 | 5593 |


| Percent distribution of participants age 15-69 who reported seeking care from different types of health facilities amongst those with reported existing oral health issues, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Visited health facility for existing oral health issues | Number of participants <br> (N) | Source of care for oral health issues ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Number of participants (N) |
| Background characteristic |  |  | Govt. health facilities only | Private health facilities only | Both govt. \& private health facilities | Dental homes/ hospital ${ }^{2}$ | Others ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 20.4 | 91 | 37.2 | 40.5 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 23* |
| 25-39 | 21.8 | 374 | 33.0 | 45.8 | 0.4 | 13.1 | 7.0 | 107 |
| 40-54 | 27.0 | 368 | 38.7 | 48.7 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 112 |
| 55-69 | 29.0 | 366 | 17.4 | 61.3 | 5.4 | 9.4 | 4.9 | 103 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 31.1 | 854 | 29.3 | 53.1 | 3.8 | 8.6 | 3.0 | 274 |
| Men | 15.0 | 345 | 33.8 | 42.6 | 2.7 | 6.5 | 10.0 | 71 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 18.5 | 104 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 10.1 | 17.4 | 0.2 | 37 |
| Municipality | 30.8 | 528 | 55.3 | 55.3 | 2.2 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 168 |
| Rural Municipality | 19.7 | 567 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 4.8 | 11.5 | 0.8 | 140 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 16.8 | 131 | 53.5 | 37.1 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 28* |
| Province 2 | 24.1 | 95 | 6.6 | 50.0 | 1.8 | 15.5 | 26.1 | 23* |
| Province 3 | 24.2 | 107 | 9.5 | 60.6 | 13.0 | 8.9 | 0.1 | 41 |
| Gandaki Province | 22.8 | 165 | 26.8 | 50.3 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 45 |
| Province 5 | 19.3 | 178 | 18.6 | 71.9 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 1.7 | 35 |
| Karnali Province | 32.9 | 263 | 40.6 | 45.2 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 4.0 | 79 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 34.8 | 260 | 44.6 | 41.3 | 4.1 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 94 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 25.0 | 773 | 25.7 | 55.5 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 209 |
| Primary | 23.3 | 173 | 41.4 | 48.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 51 |
| Secondary | 23.6 | 162 | 29.0 | 44.7 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 0.6 | 49 |
| More than secondary | 27.7 | 91 | 41.0 | 38.2 | 5.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 36 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 22.3 | 524 | 53.0 | 34.0 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 123 |
| Second | 20.7 | 233 | 32.9 | 35.2 | 5.5 | 12.5 | 10.9 | 65 |
| Middle | 33.5 | 176 | 28.3 | 61.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 67 |
| Fourth | 23.5 | 147 | 9.8 | 67.2 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 45 |
| Highest | 27.5 | 119 | 12.7 | 63.5 | 4.1 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 45 |
| Age (previous, 2013) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 19.3 | 194 | 37.6 | 44.3 | 2.6 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 52 |
| 30-44 | 25.3 | 385 | 31.1 | 47.9 | 1.0 | 9.8 | 8.3 | 114 |
| 45-69 | 28.0 | 610 | 26.6 | 55.3 | 5.6 | 7.4 | 4.1 | 179 |
| Total (15-69) | 24.8 | 1199 | 30.4 | 50.6 | 3.5 | 8.1 | 4.7 | 345 |

[^54]Table 15.6 Reason for not seeking care for oral health issues: participants with existing oral health issues
Percent distribution of participants age 15-69 that gave different reasons for not seeking care for existing oral health issues, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019]

| Background characteristic | Service demand |  |  |  |  | Service supply |  |  | Number of participants (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Don't think it's required | Don't know how /where to get treatment | Didn't have time | Fear of procedure | Family member did not allow | Too expensive | Health centre too far | Poor service |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 35.9 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 25.9 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 29.8 | 0.0 | 25* |
| 25-39 | 62.5 | 7.7 | 12.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 18.4 | 0.9 | 140 |
| 40-54 | 62.1 | 10.8 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 20.9 | 4.5 | 162 |
| 55-69 | 44.3 | 20.3 | 8.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 28.5 | 3.4 | 178 |

Sex

| Women | 52.0 | 13.6 | 10.3 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 26.4 | 2.0 | 139 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Men | 59.8 | 11.0 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 16.8 | 4.3 | 366 |

Residence

| Metropolitan/ <br> submetropolitan | 58.1 | 27.7 | 15.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 37 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | 60.2 | 16.4 | 10.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 17.2 | 2.0 | 198 |
| Rural Municipality | 50.7 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 28.3 | 3.5 | 270 |

## Province

| Province 1 | 33.9 | 3.7 | 13.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 45.0 | 0.4 | 72 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province 2 | 25.9 | 24.4 | 12.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 22.5 | 27.8 | $27^{*}$ |
| Province 3 | 80.1 | 10.8 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 10.2 | 0.0 | $34^{*}$ |
| Gandaki Province | 82.8 | 8.2 | 1.1 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 12.5 | 1.7 | 72 |
| Province 5 | 69.2 | 17.5 | 3.9 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 8.7 | 0.8 | 89 |
| Karnali Province | 53.4 | 10.8 | 12.4 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 24.0 | 0.8 | 122 |
| Sudoorpashchim | 45.5 | 18.3 | 15.9 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 27.5 | 2.3 | 89 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Education

| No education | 53.4 | 14.3 | 9.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 23.4 | 3.2 | 382 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 55.1 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 32.3 | 1.6 | 49 |
| Secondary | 76.4 | 7.6 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 22.1 | 1.2 | 49 |
| More than second- | 41.5 | 4.6 | 14.5 | 32.3 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 6.9 | 1.5 | $25^{*}$ |
| ary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Wealth quintile

| Lowest | 47.9 | 14.2 | 12.3 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 28.3 | 1.8 | 281 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 52.3 | 14.6 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 34.6 | 0.6 | 95 |
| Middle | 70.6 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 60 |
| Fourth | 69.3 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 38 |
| Highest | 50.3 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | $31^{*}$ |

## Age (previous, 2013)

| $15-29$ | 54.8 | 5.1 | 8.8 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 55 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $30-44$ | 58.8 | 10.2 | 13.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 20.3 | 1.1 | 162 |
| $45-69$ | 52.2 | 16.3 | 7.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 23.9 | 4.5 | $288 /$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (15-69) | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 5}$ |

* interpret with caution due to small sample size


## Chapter 16

## VIOLENCE AND INJURY

## Key Findings

- Unintentional Injuries (in the past $\mathbf{1 2}$ months)
o Road traffic injuries: $3.8 \%$ adults reported being involved in a road traffic injury as a driver, passenger, pedestrian or cyclist and $1.9 \%$ adults reported being involved in a serious road traffic injury that required medical attention as a driver, passenger, pedestrian or cyclist.
o Unintentional injuries: 4.1\% adults reported being involved in other serious accidental injuries (fall, burn, poisoning, cut, near-drowning, animal bite) that required medical attention.
- Practices of road safety measures (in the past $\mathbf{3 0}$ days)
o Drink-driving: $8.9 \%$ of adults ( $4.3 \%$ in women, $13.8 \%$ in men) reported ever ridden in a motorized vehicle where the driver has had 2 or more alcoholic drinks
o Use of seat belts: only $4.1 \%$ of adults ( $2.6 \%$ in women, $5.7 \%$ in men) reported ever using a seat belt while in a motor vehicle either as a driver or a passenger.
o Use of helmets: $36.0 \%$ of adults ( $12.6 \%$ in women, $53.4 \%$ in men) reported ever using a helmet while on a motorcycle or motor-scooter either as a driver or a passenger.


## - Violence

o $4.3 \%$ of adults ( $5.1 \%$ in women, $3.3 \%$ in men) reported being injured in a serious violent incident requiring medical attention in the past 12 months.

Violence and injuries are major contributors towards global mortality and morbidity and accounted for $8.0 \%$ of total deaths ( $\sim 4.48$ million deaths) in $2017^{1}$. Injuries can be categorized into road traffic injuries, unintentional injuries and self-harm and interpersonal injuries (Figure 16.1) ${ }^{1}$. The largest proportion of injury deaths were attributed by road traffic injuries in 2017 ( $27.7 \%$ of all injury deaths, $\sim 1.24$ million deaths) and is now the $6^{\text {th }}$ leading cause of deaths world wide ${ }^{1}$.

In South-East Asia and Nepal, $9.1 \%$ and $9.2 \%$, respectively of total deaths are due to all injuries which is higher than global average ${ }^{2}$. Moreover, injuries due to road traffic injures and self-harm are the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ leading cause of deaths amongst 10-24-year-olds in $\mathrm{Nepal}^{2}$.

Mortality aside, violence and injuries have far reaching consequences-people surviving injuries sustain temporary or permanent disabilities, mental health issues (depression, anxiety,

Figure 16.1 Different causes of death due to violence and injury*

Road Traffic Injuries:

- Pedestrian road injuries
- Cyclist road injuries
- Motorcyclist road injuries
- Motor vehicle road injuries
- Other road and transport injuries

Unintentional injuries

- Falls
- Drowning
- Fire, heat and hot substances
- Poisonings
- Exposure to mechanical forces
- Animal bites
- Natural disasters
- Other unintentional injuries

Self-harm and interpersonal:

- Self-harm
- Interpersonal violence
- Conflict and terrorism

[^55]post-traumatic stress disorder, suicide), as many households may be pushed into poverty due to catastrophic treatment costs and being out of the workforce temporarily or permanently ${ }^{3,4}$.

The Sustainable Development Goals target 3.6 aims to halve road traffic deaths by $2020^{5}$ and the Global action plan for the prevention and control of NCDs has also included violence and injury as an area that has implications for $\mathrm{NCDs}^{6}$.

Current implementation of the Nepal Road Safety Action Plan (2013-2020) ${ }^{7}$ is under way and has been recognized as a key area of work as part of Nepal's 5-year multisectoral action plan for 2014-2020 ${ }^{8}$.

## Current relevant policies and programs in Nepal for Violence and injury:

There are number of legislation procedure adopted to control road traffic injury. These laws and guidelines basically emphasize on ${ }^{9} 1011$ :

- Sustained road-safety awareness campaigns
- Increased efforts to improve the use of seat-belts and helmets
- Reduce drunk-driving and other risky behaviours
- Introduce better speed control
- Heavy penalty to undisciplined road-users including pedestrians

This is the first time Nepal collected data on violence and injuries as part of the STEPS survey and has prioritized the collection of information on self-reported incidence of road traffic injuries in the past 12 months, practices around road traffic safety measures (drink driving, use of helmet and seat belts), self-reported incidence of other unintentional injuries and violence and it's cause and context. The information presented in this chapter will help Nepal to assess trends and progress towards the reduction in violence and injuries and evaluate current policies and programs in place.

### 16.1 Road traffic injuries and accidental injuries

In the past 12 months, $3.8 \%$ of adults aged 15-69 years reported being involved in a road traffic injury either a driver ( $36.9 \%$ ), passenger ( $21.6 \%$ ) or pedestrian ( $23.8 \%$ ) or cyclist ( $17.7 \%$ ) (Table 16.1 and Figure 16.2). 1.9\% of adults overall (or $51.3 \%$ of those who were involved in road traffic injury) reported incurring serious road traffic injuries requiring medical attention (Table 16.1).

[^56]Prevalence of serious accidental injuries excluding road traffic injuries was $4.1 \%$ (Table 16.1). The most commonly reported cause was fall (Figure 16.3) and place of occurrence was home (Figure 16.4).

Figure 16.2 Percent breakdown of the type of involvement amongst adults aged 15-69 who reported being involved in a road traffic injury in the past 12 months, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 16.3 Causes of accidental injuries (excluding road traffic injuries) among adults who were involved in an accident in the past 12 months, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 16.4 Places where reported accidental injuries occurred amongst adults who were involved in an accident in the past 12 months, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Patterns by background characteristics (Table 16.1):

- Prevalence of reported road traffic injuries was highest amongst younger adults aged 15-24 (6.1\%) compared to older age groups (Figure 16.5).
- Men, who live in rural municipalities and have higher levels of education, had a higher prevalence than their counterparts (Figure 16.5). Similar patterns were observed for road traffic injuries requiring medical attention except across level of education (Table 16.1).
- Prevalence was the highest in Sudoorpashchim Province (7.2\%) and Karnali Province (4.5\%) and lowest in Province 2 (1.5\%) (Table 16.1).

Figure 16.5 Differentials in prevalence of reported road traffic injuries amongst adults aged 15-69, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


### 16.2 Practices of road safety measures

Information was elicited on road safety practices in the past 30 days.

Amongst adults who have been in a vehicle, only $4.1 \%$ reported using a seat belt either as a passenger or a driver. $52.9 \%$ of adults reported not having a seat belt in the vehicle (Table 16.2).

Amongst adults who have been on a motorcycle or motor scooter, $36.0 \%$ reported using a helmet either as a passenger or a driver while only $2.0 \%$ reported not having a helmet (Table 16.2).
$8.9 \%$ of adults who reported having ridden in a motorized vehicle where the driver has had 2 or more drinks (Table 16.2).

## Patterns by background characteristic (Table 16.2):

- Rural Municipalities reported the highest prevalence of drink-driving (13.2\%) and lowest prevalence of seat belt use (1.4\%) (Table 16.2), which aligns with the findings above that rural municipalities have the highest prevalence of reported road traffic injuries (Table 16.1).
- Gandaki Province had the highest prevalence of drink-driving (24.7\%) while the lowest was in Sudoorpashchim Province (3.2\%) (Figure 16.6) .

- Adults from the middle and fourth wealth quintile had the highest prevalence of drink driving $15.4 \%$ and $13.9 \%$ respectively.
- Adults who reside in metropolitan and sub-metropolitan regions, who are more educated and wealthier were most likely to use seat belts and least likely to report not having a seat belt in the vehicle (Figure 16. 7 and Figure 16.8).

Figure 16.7 Differentials in percent adults aged 15-69 who sometimes or always use seat belts by residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 16.8 Differentials in percent adults aged 15-69 who reports not having a seat belt in the vehicle by residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


- A much higher percentage of men use helmets than women (53.4\% vs $12.6 \%$ ) (Table 16.2).
- Younger adults, who live in metropolitan or sub-metropolitan areas, who are more educated and wealthier are more likely to use helmets than their counterparts (Figure 16.9).
- The use of helmets is lowest in Sudoorpashchim Province (19.7\%) (Table 16.2) where the prevalence of reported road traffic injuries is the highest (7.2\%) (Table 16.1). The highest use of helmet was in Province 3 (53.1\%) (Table 16.2).

Figure 16.9 Differentials in percent of adults aged 15-69 who report using a helmet by age, residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


### 16.3 Violence

In the past 12 month, $4.3 \%$ of adults reported being injured in a violent incident and required medical attention. Almost no adult reported the involvement of weapons or firearm during the violence incident (Table 16.3 and Figure 16. 10).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 16.3):

- Women, who are older, with lower levels of education and wealth are more likely to report experiences of serious violent incidents.
- Serious violent incidents were significantly higher in Karnali Province than in Province 2 ( $7.4 \%$ vs 1.8\%) (Table 16.3)

Figure 16.10 Use of weapon in violent incidents amongst adults aged 15-69 who were injured in a serious violent incident, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on violence and injury, see the following tables:
Table 16.1 Prevalence of self-reported road traffic injuries and accidental injuries: all participants
Table 16.2 Practice of road safety measures: all participants
Table 16.3 Violence: all participants

| Table 16.1 Prevalence of self-reported road traffic injuries and accidental injuries: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prevalence of self-reported road traffic injuries and accidental injuries in the past 12 months amongst adults aged 15-69, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Road traffic injuries |  |  | Unintentional injuries |  |
| Background characteristic | Prevalence of all road traffic injuries ${ }^{1}$ | Prevalence of road traffic injuries ${ }^{1}$ requiring medical attention | Number of participants ${ }^{3}$ | Prevalence of other unintentional injuries ${ }^{2}$ requiring medical attention | Number of participants (N) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 6.1 | 2.6 | 832 | 5.4 | 814 |
| 25-39 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2064 | 3.6 | 2006 |
| 40-54 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 1551 | 3.7 | 1517 |
| 55-69 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1069 | 3.9 | 1044 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 2.6 | 1.1 | 3533 | 4.3 | 3444 |
| Men | 5.1 | 2.9 | 1983 | 4.0 | 1937 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 2.7 | 1.7 | 695 | 3.2 | 665 |
| Municipality | 3.1 | 1.8 | 2720 | 4.6 | 2655 |
| Rural Municipality | 4.9 | 2.2 | 2101 | 3.7 | 2061 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 798 | 3.0 | 782 |
| Province 2 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 796 | 1.6 | 777 |
| Province 3 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 756 | 6.8 | 743 |
| Gandaki Province | 4.2 | 1.9 | 787 | 4.3 | 766 |
| Province 5 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 789 | 3.7 | 763 |
| Karnali Province | 4.5 | 3.9 | 796 | 7.1 | 779 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 7.2 | 3.3 | 794 | 5.7 | 771 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2743 | 3.7 | 2661 |
| Primary | 4.1 | 3.1 | 1035 | 3.7 | 1020 |
| Secondary | 4.2 | 1.9 | 1080 | 4.4 | 1057 |
| More than secondary | 5.1 | 2.3 | 657 | 5.5 | 642 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1615 | 4.9 | 1560 |
| Second | 3.2 | 1.7 | 1050 | 5.8 | 1010 |
| Middle | 5.3 | 2.4 | 940 | 3.4 | 922 |
| Fourth | 4.2 | 2.8 | 869 | 3.1 | 859 |
| Highest | 4.4 | 1.7 | 1042 | 3.5 | 1030 |
| Total (15-69) | 3.8 | 1.9 | 5516 | 4.1 | 5381 |

[^57]| Table 16.2 Practice of road safety measures: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Practice of road safety including drink driving, use of seat-belt and helmet in the past 30 days amongst adults aged 15-69, by background characteristics, [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Percent ever ridden in a motorized vehicle here the driver has had 2 or more alcoholic drinks | Number of participants ${ }^{1}$ | Amongst adults who have been in a vehicle in the past 30 days, percent who: |  |  |  | Amongst adults who have been on a motorcycle or motor scooter in the past 30 days, percent who: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | use of seat belt ${ }^{2}$ | never use a seat belt | does not have seat belt | Number of participants $(\mathrm{N})^{3}$ | use of helmet ${ }^{2}$ | never use a helmet | does not have a helmet | Number of participants $(\mathrm{N})^{4}$ |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 10.6 | 230 | 4.7 | 37.6 | 57.8 | 504 | 44.9 | 53.1 | 2.0 | 305 |
| 25-39 | 9.9 | 596 | 4.1 | 45.4 | 50.5 | 1348 | 39.6 | 58.5 | 2.0 | 777 |
| 40-54 | 9.2 | 448 | 4.0 | 38.8 | 57.2 | 999 | 29.4 | 67.9 | 2.7 | 522 |
| 55-69 | 2.1 | 318 | 3.3 | 54.2 | 42.5 | 605 | 11.6 | 87.2 | 1.2 | 319 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 4.3 | 992 | 2.6 | 47.5 | 49.9 | 2125 | 12.6 | 85.8 | 1.6 | 1071 |
| Men | 13.8 | 600 | 5.7 | 38.4 | 55.9 | 1331 | 53.4 | 44.3 | 2.4 | 852 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 8.6 | 173 | 8.7 | 46.2 | 45.1 | 526 | 46.2 | 52.7 | 1.1 | 298 |
| Municipality | 6.6 | 808 | 5.1 | 37.9 | 57.0 | 1707 | 35.7 | 61.4 | 2.9 | 924 |
| Rural Municipality | 13.2 | 611 | 1.4 | 49.7 | 48.9 | 1223 | 33.5 | 65.5 | 1.0 | 701 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 4.1 | 218 | 2.8 | 27.4 | 69.8 | 493 | 48.8 | 47.1 | 4.1 | 234 |
| Province 2 | 11.7 | 294 | 2.7 | 45.3 | 52.0 | 598 | 30.0 | 69.9 | 0.1 | 348 |
| Province 3 | 4.9 | 186 | 8.3 | 39.1 | 52.6 | 555 | 53.1 | 46.7 | 0.3 | 289 |
| Gandaki Province | 24.7 | 243 | 3.5 | 36.8 | 59.8 | 523 | 34.4 | 64.3 | 1.3 | 246 |
| Province 5 | 10.9 | 175 | 2.7 | 56.8 | 40.5 | 461 | 33.5 | 64.4 | 2.1 | 298 |
| Karnali Province | 6.7 | 217 | 6.2 | 39.5 | 54.3 | 393 | 21.3 | 71.4 | 7.3 | 224 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 3.2 | 259 | 4.3 | 47.9 | 47.8 | 433 | 19.7 | 75.2 | 5.1 | 284 |


| No education | 7.8 | 815 | 3.2 | 44.6 | 52.2 | 1558 | 14.7 | 82.8 | 2.5 | 817 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 9.5 | 295 | 2.9 | 38.1 | 59.0 | 674 | 35.9 | 61.2 | 2.9 | 348 |
| Secondary | 8.2 | 295 | 3.9 | 37.0 | 59.0 | 748 | 48.4 | 49.6 | 2.0 | 413 |
| More than secondary | 11.8 | 187 | 7.8 | 54.2 | 38.0 | 475 | 50.9 | 48.4 | 0.7 | 344 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 5.2 | 400 | 2.2 | 41.5 | 56.3 | 835 | 14.9 | 83.0 | 2.1 | 408 |
| Second | 3.8 | 294 | 1.8 | 34.2 | 63.9 | 583 | 21.3 | 77.0 | 1.7 | 274 |
| Middle | 15.4 | 295 | 1.9 | 44.2 | 53.9 | 627 | 30.9 | 65.5 | 3.6 | 366 |
| Fourth | 13.9 | 284 | 4.1 | 45.6 | 50.3 | 634 | 34.0 | 63.8 | 2.2 | 361 |
| Highest | 6.1 | 319 | 9.5 | 47.0 | 43.4 | 777 | 57.5 | 41.7 | 0.8 | 514 |
| Total (15-69) | 8.9 | 1592 | 4.1 | 42.9 | 52.9 | 3456 | 36.0 | 62.0 | 2.0 | 1923 |

[^58][^59]| Table 16.3 Violence: all participants |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of adults aged 15-69 who have ever experienced a violent indecent requiring medical attention in the past 12 months and related cause, by background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Percent adults who have ever experienced a violent incident |  |  | Number of participants ${ }^{1}$ |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 761 |
| 25-39 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 6.7 | 1927 |
| 40-54 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 1444 |
| 55-69 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 10.6 | 993 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 5.1 | 3.6 | 7.2 | 3305 |
| Men | 3.3 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 1820 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 3.8 | 1.6 | 8.5 | 640 |
| Municipality | 4.3 | 3.0 | 6.2 | 2504 |
| Rural Municipality | 4.3 | 2.1 | 8.7 | 1981 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 15.1 | 749 |
| Province 2 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 4.5 | 705 |
| Province 3 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 10.9 | 696 |
| Gandaki Province | 3.9 | 1.9 | 7.7 | 727 |
| Province 5 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 7.0 | 733 |
| Karnali Province | 7.4 | 4.6 | 11.8 | 742 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 5.2 | 3.0 | 8.8 | 773 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.1 | 4.1 | 8.9 | 2532 |
| Primary | 3.3 | 1.7 | 6.2 | 977 |
| Secondary | 2.8 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 981 |
| More than secondary | 3.3 | 1.9 | 5.8 | 634 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 6.1 | 3.9 | 9.3 | 1506 |
| Second | 5.7 | 3.3 | 9.7 | 950 |
| Middle | 4.2 | 2.8 | 6.3 | 874 |
| Fourth | 3.0 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 815 |
| Highest | 2.4 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 980 |
| Total (15-69) | 4.3 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 5125 |
| ${ }^{1} .468$ adults who responded "don't know" or "refused" were excluded from the denominator |  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 17

## MENTAL STRESS, MUSCULOSKELETAL PAIN AND HEALTH INSURANCE

## Key Findings

- Mental Stress
o $74.2 \%$ of adults reported having some form of stress (either from work, family, severe financial stress/ from unemployment, or from experiencing a stressful life event).
- Experience of Musculoskeletal pain
o Overall $17 \%$ of adults reported having pain, stiffness or swelling in or around a joint not related to injury that lasted for more than a month.
o Possible osteoarthritis: $8.7 \%$ of adults reported having joint pain/stiffness/swelling not related to any injury and lasting for more than a month, with morning stiffness that lasts less than 30 minutes and goes away with exercise/move- suggestive of osteoarthritis.
o Possible rheumatoid arthritis: 1.9\% of adults reported joint pain/stiffness/swelling not related to any injury and lasting for more than a month, with morning stiffness that lasts more than 30 minutes and does not go away with exercise/move -suggestive of rheumatoid arthritis.
o Back pain and headache: $18.9 \%$ reported experiencing back pain, and $15.2 \%$ reported experiencing headaches that prevented them from doing usual household chores or going out for work.


## - Health Insurance

o Only $6.9 \%$ of adults reported being a member of any health insurance scheme.
This chapter presents information on 3 main issues: mental stress, musculoskeletal conditions and participation in health insurance schemes.

## Mental stress

Stress comes in many forms and affects people of all ages and all walks of life. The experience of stress is highly individualized. However, it affects the mental health in general. Small amounts of stress may be desired, beneficial and even healthy; however excessive amounts of stress, may lead to many problems in the body that could be harmful. Excessive amounts of stress may increase the risk of NCDs such as hypertension, CVD, cancer, anxiety, depression and many more. The prevalence and disease condition of mental disorder is increasing globally that account $13 \%$ of total disability adjusted life year (DALYs) lost due to all-diseases and injuries and is likely to increase to $15 \%$ with depression accounting for $5.7 \%$ of DALYs by $2030^{1}$. The burden is even high for Nepal with less than efficient mental health services- regarding limited diagnostic, treatment and availability of human resources to address mental health issues. In Nepal, mental health is the least prioritized area of development; however, the Ministry of Health has drafted a new National Mental Health Policy, 2017, aiming to create an environment in which mental health is valued and promoted ${ }^{2}$. Mental health is an emerging health priority though we don't know the exact burden of it as of now. In this survey we tried to dig out the people perception towards the different types of stress they faced in their life among 15-69 years aged population. The findings from this survey may provide a glimpse of stress level among Nepalese population and may guide for better understanding of mental health status among Nepalese population in future.

[^60]
## Musculoskeletal conditions

Musculoskeletal conditions comprise over 150 diseases and syndromes which are usually progressive and associated with pain. Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common musculoskeletal degenerative condition usually involving big joints on one side such as hip or knees. Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic systemic disease that usually affects smaller joints on both sides and tends to strike younger adults (between 20 and 40) compared to osteoarthritis. Both OA and RA impair functionality of the patient and place a burden on individuals, communities, health systems and social systems.

## Health insurance scheme

Every citizen shall have the right to get basic health care and have equal access to health services. These are the fundamental rights guaranteed in the Constitution of Nepal. Nepal aims to fulfill its commitment of achieving Universal health coverage (UHC) by 2030 and social health insurance (SHI) has been considered as a means toward $\mathrm{it}^{3}$. Protecting people from catastrophic health care spending, thereby preventing people from falling into poverty trap, the government has rolled out the SHI scheme (Swasthya Bimaa Karyakram) in February 2015, to increase the financial protection by promoting pre-payment and risk pooling in the health sector. Before social health insurance scheme, a different health insurance scheme was implemented in Nepal, but none of them succeed. On the basis of evidence from the previous insurance scheme, social health insurance is implemented with the aim of universal coverage and with the plan for subsidizing premium for poor population who are not able to pay for the insurance package ${ }^{4}$. Till date, Government has roll out the insurance scheme across 49 districts of Nepal ${ }^{5}$. In this survey, we tried to assess the enrollment of adults aged 15-69 years to any health insurance scheme including social health insurance scheme. In this context, the findings will help Nepal to assess the coverage of SHI and the effectiveness of the insurance programs*.

* Findings should be interpreted with caution, since it gives an estimation of only 49 districts.

Current relevant policies and programs in Nepal for Health insurance:
The government of Nepal has rolled out the SHI scheme in February 2015, as a legal framework to increase the financial protection by promoting pre-payment and risk pooling in the health sector. The main objective of this policy is to get basic health care and have equal access to health services ensuring universal health coverage ${ }^{3}$.

### 17.1 Mental stress

Participants were asked about different types of stress including: work/business stress; general stress at home; severe financial stress/due to employment; stressful life events in past year which disturbed a lot. Overall, $74.2 \%$ of adults aged 15-69 reported at least one form of stress (Table 17.1). General stress at home ( $62.3 \%$ ) and work/ business stress ( $61.5 \%$ ) were most frequently reported (Table 17.1 and Figure 17.1).

[^61]Figure 17.1 Percent of adults aged 15-69 who reported having different types of stress, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Patterns by background characteristics (Table 17.1)

- Adults aged 40-54 were most likely to report stress of all types except for stressful life events.
- Residents of rural municipalities, less educated and poorer adults more often reported having stress of any type compared to their counterparts (Figure 17.2).

Figure 17.2 Percent of adults aged 15-69 who reported having stress of any type by residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


### 17.2 Musculoskeletal Conditions

Prevalence of probable osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis were assessed based on self-reported symptoms of joint pain, stiffness and swelling in the past 12 month lasting more than a month. Self-reported symptoms were then categorized as below:

Adults who reported having joint pain/ stiffness/ swelling lasting for more than one-month and not associated with any injury along with morning stiffness or stiffness after a long rest lasting less than 30 min that goes away after exercise of the joint are categorized as having probable osteoarthritis; while the adults who reported having morning stiffness or stiffness after a long rest lasting more than 30 min and that does not go away after exercise of the joint were categorized having probable rheumatoid arthritis.

Based on these criteria, $8.7 \%$ of adults aged $15-69$ reported having symptoms suggestive of osteoarthritis, $1.9 \%$ were suspected to be rheumatoid arthritis and $6.5 \%$ were possibly other types of joint disorder (Table 17.2).

Additionally, $18.9 \%$ and $15.2 \%$ of adults reported back pain and headaches respectively that prevented them from doing usual household chores or going out for work in the past 30 days (Table 17.3).

## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 17.2 and Table 17.3)

- Adults who reside in rural municipalities, who were less educated and from poorer wealth quintiles were more likely to report join pain/stiffness/ swelling than their counterparts (Figure 17.3). Similar patterns were observed for back pain and headache (Figure 17.4).

Figure 17.3 Percentage of adults aged 15-69 who reported experiencing joint pain/stiffness/swelling not related to any injury and lasting for more than a month in the past 12 months by residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


Figure 17.4 Percentage of adults aged 15-69 who reported back pain or headache that prevented them from doing usual activities in the past 30 days by residence, education and wealth, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019


A much higher percentage of adults who reside in rural municipalities report symptoms of OA than residents of metropolitan and sub-metropolitan areas ( $10.5 \%$ vs $1.8 \%$ ) (Table 17.2)

- Karnali and Sudoorpashchim Province have the highest percentage of adults who reported experiencing joint pain/stiffness/ swelling in the past 12 months (Table 17.2). Similar patterns are seen for back pain and headaches (Table 17.3).


### 17.3 Health insurance scheme

Only $6.9 \%$ of adults aged $15-69$ reported to be a member of some type of health insurance scheme including Swasthya Bimaa Karyakram (provided by government of Nepal), private insurance, community-based health insurance or others (Table 17.4). Amongst those who reported to be a member of some type of insurance $82.9 \%$ reported being members of insurance provided by the government and $16.2 \%$ were members of a private insurance scheme (Figure 17.5).

Figure 17.5 Types of health insurances reported by adults aged 15-69 who are a member of a health insurance scheme, Nepal STEPS Survey 2019
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## Patterns by background characteristics (Table 17.4):

- More adults who reside in metropolitan/submetropolitan areas and those with higher levels of education and wealth reported to be a member of some type of health insurance than their counterparts (Table 17.4).
- Province 3 (13.1\%) and Karnali Province (11.6\%) had the highest percentage of adults with some type of health insurance, while Province $2(1.3 \%)$ had the lowest percentage of adults with health insurance (Table 17.4).


### 17.4 Expenditures on care and treatment of chronic diseases

Amongst those who reported to have a chronic diseases (raised BP, raised blood sugar, raised cholesterol), nearly half of the adults reported spending 1000 Nepalese rupees or more every month on their chronic diseases including travel to health facility, fees, medicines, medical test or any other related expenses (Figure 17.6).

Figure 17.6 Monthly expenditure on chronic disease-related care amongst adults aged 15-69 with chronic diseases, Nepal STEPS Survey.


## LIST OF TABLES:

For more information on mental stress, musculoskeletal conditions and health insurance, see the following tables:
Table 17.1 Mental stress: all participants
Table 17.2 Prevalence of musculoskeletal conditions: all participants
Table 17.3 Prevalence of back pain and headache: all participants
Table 17.4 Membership in health insurance scheme: all participants

| Table 17.1 Mental stress: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of adults age 15-69 who reported different types of mental stress, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Any form of stress | Work / business stress | General stress at home | Severe financial stress / due to employment | Recent stressful life event | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 62.6 | 47.6 | 49.2 | 30.9 | 7.4 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 76.8 | 65.7 | 65.3 | 35.5 | 11.1 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 81.9 | 70.5 | 70.2 | 36.8 | 14.3 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 78.0 | 63.6 | 67.7 | 36.5 | 15.3 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 74.1 | 59.6 | 64.6 | 34.5 | 11.6 | 3595 |
| Men | 74.3 | 63.7 | 59.8 | 34.9 | 11.0 | 1998 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/sub metropolitan | 68.8 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 23.7 | 9.8 | 705 |
| Municipality | 71.2 | 58.5 | 58.2 | 32.0 | 10.7 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 79.9 | 67.8 | 70.3 | 41.2 | 12.6 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 68.9 | 58.5 | 58.3 | 35.7 | 11.3 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 77.7 | 64.9 | 64.6 | 21.8 | 10.6 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 81.5 | 68.8 | 65.5 | 37.3 | 13.4 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 79.4 | 71.8 | 65.3 | 35.9 | 11.2 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 67.7 | 58.9 | 60.5 | 39.6 | 9.8 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 76.7 | 59.5 | 63.5 | 45.0 | 13.5 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 73.1 | 49.2 | 61.0 | 36.4 | 11.3 | 829 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 80.6 | 66.6 | 69.6 | 39.8 | 13.9 | 2792 |
| Primary | 70.1 | 58.7 | 58.6 | 34.1 | 11.7 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 68.3 | 56.2 | 57.2 | 30.2 | 9.3 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 72.4 | 60.6 | 56.5 | 29.4 | 7.4 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 78.9 | 65.0 | 70.9 | 47.9 | 16.1 | 1653 |
| Second | 79.6 | 63.8 | 68.4 | 40.1 | 16.3 | 1062 |
| Middle | 70.4 | 57.8 | 59.4 | 35.2 | 8.8 | 949 |
| Fourth | 72.9 | 64.0 | 58.3 | 28.8 | 7.9 | 878 |
| Highest | 69.2 | 57.1 | 54.7 | 21.3 | 7.4 | 1051 |
| Total 15-69 | 74.2 | 61.5 | 62.3 | 34.7 | 11.3 | 5593 |


| Table 17.2 Prevalence of chronic joint pain: all participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of adults age 15-69 by whether they have ever experienced joint pain/ stiffness/ swelling not related to an injury in the last 12 months, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Experienced joint pain/ stiffness / swelling lasting for more than 1 month in the last 12 months |  |  |  | Number of participants |
| Background characteristic | experienced joint pain/stiffness/ swelling past 12 months | Suggestive of osteoarthritis* | Suggestive of Rheumatoid arthritis** | Other | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 8.4 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 13.4 | 6.4 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 25.1 | 12.4 | 2.2 | 10.5 | 100.0 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 32.3 | 15.0 | 6.2 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 20.1 | 9.8 | 2.2 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 3595 |
| Men | 13.6 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 1998 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/sub metropolitan | 9.9 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 705 |
| Municipality | 16.3 | 8.6 | 1.9 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 19.8 | 10.5 | 2.2 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 15.9 | 7.8 | 1.6 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 12.5 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 12.3 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 16.6 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 18.9 | 9.3 | 1.5 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 25.9 | 11.5 | 3.2 | 11.2 | 100.0 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 25.6 | 14.3 | 4.0 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 829 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 25.6 | 11.9 | 3.5 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 2792 |
| Primary | 12.4 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 10.9 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 11.0 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 23.3 | 13.7 | 2.6 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 1653 |
| Second | 20.8 | 10.2 | 2.7 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 1062 |
| Middle | 15.3 | 6.7 | 1.4 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 949 |
| Fourth | 15.3 | 7.6 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 878 |
| Highest | 10.4 | 5.2 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 1051 |
| Total 30-69 | 23.1 | 10.9 | 2.9 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 4127 |
| Total 15-69 | 17.0 | 8.7 | 1.9 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 5593 |
| * pain associated with stiffness in the morning or after a long rest lasting less than 30 min that goes away after exercise or movement of the joint. ${ }^{* *}$ pain associated with stiffness in the morning or after a long rest lasting more than 30 min that does not go away after exercise or movement of the joint. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 17.3 Prevalence of back pain and headache: all participants |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of adults aged 15-69 by whether they have experienced back pain and headache that prevented them from doing usual household chores or going to work according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |  |  |
|  | During the past 30 days, percent of adults who were prevented from doing usual household chores or going out for work due to: |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | back pain | Number of participants | headache | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 9.0 | 843 | 12.4 | 837 |
| 25-39 | 16.4 | 2087 | 13.4 | 2082 |
| 40-54 | 25.7 | 1574 | 18.7 | 1567 |
| 55-69 | 35.4 | 1089 | 20.8 | 1088 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 22.8 | 3595 | 19.2 | 3583 |
| Men | 14.5 | 1998 | 10.7 | 1991 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan/sub metropolitan | 10.7 | 705 | 7.0 | 703 |
| Municipality | 18.7 | 2755 | 15.4 | 2741 |
| Rural Municipality | 21.2 | 2133 | 16.9 | 2130 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | 15.3 | 804 | 12.2 | 799 |
| Province 2 | 16.3 | 803 | 10.9 | 801 |
| Province 3 | 17.4 | 759 | 13.8 | 755 |
| Gandaki Province | 18.6 | 793 | 13.0 | 792 |
| Province 5 | 20.2 | 797 | 17.2 | 794 |
| Karnali Province | 23.6 | 808 | 22.8 | 805 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 26.7 | 829 | 23.4 | 828 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 27.8 | 2792 | 20.4 | 2787 |
| Primary | 14.9 | 1051 | 13.0 | 1049 |
| Secondary | 14.0 | 1088 | 11.8 | 1080 |
| More than secondary | 9.1 | 661 | 10.1 | 657 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 27.1 | 1653 | 19.8 | 1648 |
| Second | 21.6 | 1062 | 16.6 | 1061 |
| Middle | 16.5 | 949 | 17.0 | 943 |
| Fourth | 17.5 | 878 | 13.6 | 877 |
| Highest | 11.7 | 1051 | 9.1 | 1045 |
| Total 30-69 | 25.5 | 4127 | 17.4 | 4117 |
| Total 15-69 | 18.9 | 5593 | 15.2 | 5574 |


| Table 17.4 Membership in health insurance scheme: all participants |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of adults age 15-69 who reported to be a member of any health insurance scheme, according to background characteristics [Nepal STEPS, 2019] |  |  |
| Background characteristics | Percent of adults who are a member of any health insurance scheme | Number of participants |
| Age |  |  |
| 15-24 | 5.9 | 843 |
| 25-39 | 6.4 | 2087 |
| 40-54 | 8.4 | 1574 |
| 55-69 | 8.3 | 1089 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Women | 6.1 | 3595 |
| Men | 7.8 | 1998 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Metropolitan/ submetropolitan | 10.8 | 705 |
| Municipality | 7.6 | 2755 |
| Rural Municipality | 4.9 | 2133 |
| Province |  |  |
| Province 1 | 8.3 | 804 |
| Province 2 | 1.3 | 803 |
| Province 3 | 13.1 | 759 |
| Gandaki Province | 7.5 | 793 |
| Province 5 | 5.0 | 797 |
| Karnali Province | 11.6 | 808 |
| Sudoorpashchim Province | 6.2 | 829 |
| Education |  |  |
| None/less than primary | 5.0 | 2792 |
| Primary | 6.5 | 1051 |
| Secondary | 7.1 | 1088 |
| More than secondary | 11.9 | 661 |
| Wealth quintile |  |  |
| Lowest | 3.0 | 1653 |
| Second | 3.8 | 1062 |
| Middle | 7.2 | 949 |
| Fourth | 7.7 | 878 |
| Highest | 12.9 | 1051 |
| Total 15-69 | 6.9 | 5593 |
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## ANNEX 2 : QUESTIONNAIRE

## Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors STEPS Survey, Nepal 2019



## Survey instrument

(Core and Expanded)

The WHO STEP wise approach to noncommunicable disease risk factor surveillance (STEPS) 2019

## WHO STEPS Instrument

For Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factor Surveillance, Nepal, 2019

| Survey Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location and Date | Response | Code |
| Interviewer ID <br> Must be between 1 to 30 . | +1.1 | 13 |
| PSU ID <br> PSU code must be between <br> 101 to 137 or <br> 201 to 237 or <br> 301 to 337 or <br> 401 to 437 or <br> 501 to 537 or <br> 601 to 637 or <br> 701 to 737. |  | 11 |
| Date of completion of the instrument Fill automatically. |  | 14 |
| Time of interview (24-hour clock) Fill automatically. |  | 17 |
| Family Surname <br> It will fill automatically, please check before editing |  | 18 |
| First Name <br> It will fill automatically, please check before editing |  | 19 |
| Contact number of respondents Must be in 10 digits; Put zero before number if it is less then 10 digits. |  | 110 |
| Consent has been read and obtained | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 & \\ \text { No } & 2 & \text { If NO, END } \end{array}$ | 15 |

Step 1 Demographic Information

| Question | Response | Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex (Record Male /Female as observed) It will fill automatically, please check before editing | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { Male } & 1 \\ \text { Female } & 2 \end{array}$ | C1 |
| What is your date of birth? <br> Don't Know 77777777 |  | C2 |
| How old are you? | Years | C3 |
| In total, how many years have you spent at school and in full-time study (excluding pre-school) [COUNT FROM GRADE 1]? <br> Should be between 025 years | Years $\qquad$ if 0 then go to C 6 | C4 |
| What is the highest level of education you have completed? | No formal schooling 1 <br> Less than primary school 2 <br> Primary school completed 3 <br> Secondary school completed 4 <br> High school completed (+2, intermediate, PCL) 5 <br> Bachelor level completed 6 <br> Post graduate degree 7 <br> Refused 88 | C5 |
| What is your ethnic background? <br> [REFER CASTE CLASSIFICATION CARD - CC1] | Dalit 1 <br> Disadvantaged Janajati 2 <br> Disadvantaged Non-Dalit Tarai caste group 3 <br> Religious Minorities 4 <br> Relatively advantaged janajati 5 <br> Upper caste Group 6 <br> Others 7 <br> Refused 88 | C6 |
| What is your marital status? | Never married 1 <br> Currently married 2 <br> Separated 3 <br> Divorced 4 <br> Widowed 5 <br> Cohabitating 6 <br> Refused 88 | C7 |
| Which of the following best describes your main work status over the past 12 months? | Government employee 1 <br> Non-government employee 2 <br> Self-employed 3 <br> Non-paid 4 <br> Student 5 <br> Homemaker 6 <br> Retired 7 <br> Unemployed (able to work) 8 go to C9x1 <br> Unemployed (unable to work) 9 go to C9x1 <br> Others 10 <br> Refused 88 | C8/ C8Other |
| Are you currently working as Health Care Worker such as doctor, dental surgeon, public health administrator/ officers, nurse, pharmacist, health assistants, physiotherapists, auxiliary health workers, ANM, Midwife, FCHV? <br> Are you currently working as a teacher/ instructor/ faculty/ lecturer/ professor in any school/ college/ university/ academic institutes? | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Yes 1 <br> No 2 | $\begin{aligned} & C 8 \times 1 \\ & C 8 \times 2 \end{aligned}$ |


| In total, how many persons live in this household (including infants)? | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ |  | C9x1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is any lady in the house currently pregnant? | Yes No Don't know Refuse | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 77 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | C10x |

Please ask/ observe - whether this household or any person who lives in the household has the following items:

| a. Electricity | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. Radio | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xb |
| c. Television | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xc |
| d. Landline | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xd |
| e. Mobile phone | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xe |
| f. Computer | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xf |
| g. Refrigerator | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xg |
| h. Inverter | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xh |
| i. Bed | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xi |
| j. Sofa | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xj |
| k. Table | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xk |
| I. Fan | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11x |
| m. Chair | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xm |
| n. Watch / Clock | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xn |
| 0. Bicycle | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xo |
| p. Motor cycle / Scooter | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xp |
| q. Car / Truck / Jeep / Tractor | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xq |
| r. Dhiki/Jato | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xr |
| s. Animal drawn cart | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xs |
| t. Domestic animal like Cow / Buffalo / Goat | Yes | 1 | No | 2 | C11xt |

What is the main material of the roof of the main house? [RECORD OBSERVATIONS]
Natural roofing

| No roof | 1 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Thatched/Palm leaf | 2 |  |
| Rudimentary Roofing | Rustic mat | 3 |
| Wamboo | 4 |  |
| Cord Planks | 5 |  |
| Cardboard | 6 |  |
| C12x/ |  |  |
| C12xOther |  |  |
| Metal/Galvanized sheet | 7 | 8 |
| Wood | 9 |  |
| Calamine/cement fiber | 10 |  |
| Ceramic tiles | 11 |  |
| Cement | 12 |  |
| Roofing singles | 13 |  |
| Other (Specify) |  |  |

Step 1 Behavioural Measurements

| Tobacco Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Now I am going to ask you some questions about tobacco use. |  |  |
| Question | Response | Code |
| Do you currently smoke any tobacco products, such as cigarettes, bidis, cigars, pipes, hukahs, or tamakhus? <br> (USE SHOWCARDS 1a) | Yes 1 <br> No 2 If No, go to T8 | T1 |
| Do you currently smoke tobacco products daily? | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ | T2 |
| How old were you when you first started smoking? | $\begin{aligned} \text { Age (years) } \\ \text { Don't know } 77 \text { If Known, go to T5a/T5aw } \\ \hline \end{aligned}$ | T3 |
| Do you remember how long ago it was? <br> (RECORD ONLY 1, NOT ALL 3) <br> Don't know 77 | In Years | T4a |
|  | OR in Months | T4b |
|  | OR in Weeks | T4c |
| On average, how many of the following products do you smoke each day/week? <br> (FOR CIGARETTES, INTERVIEWER NEED TO VERIFY THIS IS THE NUMBER OF CIGARETTES' NOT PACKS) | DAILY |  |
|  | Manufactured cigarettes | T5a/T5aw |
|  | Hand-rolled cigarettes | T5b/T5bw |
|  | Pipes full of tobacco | T5c/T5cw |
| (RECORD EITHER DAILY OR WEEKLY, BUT NOT BOTH, IF LESS THAN DAILY, RECORD WEEKLY) | Cigars, cheroots, cigarill | T5d/T5dw |
| (RECORD FOR EACH TYPE) | Bidi | T5e/T5ew |
| (USE SHOWCARDS 1a) | Hukka sessions | T5f/T5fw |
| Don't Know 7777 |  | T5g/T5gw |
|  | Other (please specify): | T5other/ T5otherw |
| During the past 12 months, have you tried to stop smoking? | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | T6 |
| During any visit to a doctor or other health worker in the past 12 months, were you advised to quit smoking tobacco? | Yes 1 If $T 2=$ Yes, go to $T 12$; if $T 2=$ No, go to $T 9$ <br> No 2 If $T 2=$ Yes, go to $T 12$; if $T 2=$ No, go to $T 9$ <br> No visit during the past 12 months 3 If $T 2=Y$ es, go to $T 12$; if $T 2=N o$, go to $T 9$ | T7 |
| In the past, did you ever smoke any tobacco products? (USE SHOWCARDS 1a) | Yes 1 <br> No 2 If No, go to T12 | T8 |
| In the past, did you ever smoke daily? | Yes 1 If $T 1=Y$ es, go to $T 12$, else go to $T 10$ <br> No 2 lf T1=Yes, go to T12, else go to T10 | T9 |
| How old were you when you stopped smoking? | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Age (years) } \\ \text { Don't Know } 77 \quad \text { If Known, go to T12 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | T10 |
| How long ago did you stop smoking? <br> (RECORD ONLY 1, NOT ALL 3) | Years ago $\quad$ If Known, go to T12 | T11a |
|  | OR Months ago | T11b |
| Don't Know 77 | OR Weeks ago | T11c |


| Do you currently use any smokeless tobacco products such as snuff，chewing tobacco，nasal snuffs，Khaini，surti，gutkha？ （USE SHOWCARDS 1b） |  | 1 <br> 2 If No，go to T15 | T12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you currently use smokeless tobacco products such as snuff，chewing tobacco，nasal snuffs，khaini，surti，gutkha daily？ |  | 1 <br> 2 If No，go to T14aw | T13 |
| On average，how many times a day／week do you use | DAILY |  |  |
|  | Snuff，by mouth | ■1ـ山1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { T14a/ } \\ & \text { T14aw } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Snuff，by nose | －1．1．1．1 | T14b／ T14bw |
|  | Chewing tobacco | －1．1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T14cl } \\ & \text { T14cw } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Betel leaves with tobacco（Jarda pan） | いவபப1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { T14d/ } \\ & \text { T14dw } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Betel，quid without tobacco（Sada pan） | ■11．1．1 | T14e／ T14ew |
| （RECORD EITHER DAILY OR WEEKLY，BUT NOT BOTH，IF LESS THAN DALLY，RECORD WEEKLY） | Gutkha | い1．1＋ـ」 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { T14f/ } \\ & \text { T14fw } \end{aligned}$ |
| （USE SHOWCARDS 1b） | Surti |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { T14g/ } \\ \text { T14gw } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Don＇t Know 7777 | Khaini | ய1ـ | T14h／ T14hw |
|  | Other | If Other，go to T14other，if T13＝No，go to T16，else go to T17 | T14i／ T14iw |
|  | Other（please specify）： | If T13＝No，go to T16，else go to T17 | T14other／ T14otherw |
| In the past，did you ever use smokeless tobacco products such as snuff，chewing tobacco， nasal snuff，khaini，surti，gutka？ |  | 1 <br> 2 If No，go to T17 | T15 |
| In the past，did you ever use smokeless tobacco products such as snuff，chewing tobacco，nasal snuff，khaini，surti，gutka daily？ | Yes <br> No |  | T16 |
| During the past 12 months，have you tried to stop using smokeless tobacco products？ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Tx1 |
| During any visit to a doctor or other health worker in the past 12 months，were you advised to quit smokeless tobacco？ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Yes } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { No visit during the past } 12 \text { months } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | Tx2 |
| During the past 12 months，what did you do to try and stop smoking or smokeless tobacco？ <br> ［Multiple answer］ <br> If $T 6=y e s$ or $T x 1=y e s$ | 1．Counseling by any health care workers <br> 2．Nicotine replacement therapy，such as the patch or gum <br> 3．Traditional medicine like ayurvedic，homeopathy，unani，naturopathy etc． <br> 4．A quit line or telephone support line <br> 5．Try to quit without assistance <br> 6．Other（Specify） |  | Tx3 |
| During the past 30 days，did someone smoke in your home in your presence？ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yes } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | 1 if yes，then go to T 17 x 2 | T17 |
| How often does anyone smoke in your home？ Would you say daily，weekly，monthly，or less than monthly？ | Daily 1 <br> Weekly 2 <br> Monthly 3 <br> Less than monthly 4 <br> Don＇t know 5 |  | T17x |
| During the past 30 days，did someone smoke in closed areas where you work（in the building，in a work area or a specific office）？ | Yes No Don＇t work in a closed area | 1 2 3 | T18 |


| In the past 30 days, did anyone smoke inside following places when you visited those places? <br> Restaurants / Bars / Canteens / Hotel | Yes <br> No <br> Didn't visit | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2 \\ 77 \end{gathered}$ | Tx5a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public transport such as bus/taxiltempo including bus stands and ticketing counter | Yes No Didn't use public transport | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ +\quad 77 \end{array}$ | Tx5b |
| School/College/University/hostels | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yes } \\ \mathrm{No} \\ \text { Didn't visit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2 \\ 77 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Tx5c |
| Health care facilities (Hospitals/Health Post/Primary Health Care Centers/ clinics) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yes } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Didn't visit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2 \\ 77 \end{gathered}$ | Tx5d |
| Electronic Cigarettes |  |  |  |
| The next questions are about using electronic cigarettes. Electronic cigarettes include any product that uses batteries or other methods to produce a vapor which contains nicotine. They have various other names such as e-cigarette, vape-pen, e-shisha, e-pipes. |  |  |  |
| Question | Response |  | Code |
| Before today, have you ever heard of electronic cigarettes? |  | 1 <br> 2 [ff 'No' go to TP1a] 88 [go to TP1a] | EC1 |
| Which one of the following is an electronic cigarette? <br> [USE SHOWCARDS 1c] | Pipes full of tobacco <br> E-cigarette <br> Shisha <br> Hukka | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | EC2 |
| Do you currently use electronic cigarettes? | Yes, Daily Less than daily Not at all Refused | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \text { [go to TP1a] } \\ 2 \text { [go to TP1a] } \\ 3 \\ 88 \end{array}$ | EC3 |
| Have you ever, even once, used an electronic cigarette? | Yes No Refused | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ 2 \\ 88 \end{array}$ | EC4 |


| Tobacco Policy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| You have been asked questions on tobacco consumption before. The next questions ask about tobacco control policies. They include questions on your exposure to the media and advertisement, on cigarette promotions, health warnings and cigarette purchase. |  |  |
| Question | Response | Code |
| During the past 30 days, have you noticed information about the dangers of smoking cigarettes, bidis or other tobacco products that encourages quitting through the following media? (RECORD FOR EACH) <br> Newspapers or magazines | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } 1 \\ \text { No } 2 \\ \text { Dontk know } 77 \end{gathered}$ | TP1a |
| Television | $\begin{aligned} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \\ \text { Don't kow } & 77 \end{aligned}$ | TP1b |
| Radio | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't know 77 | TP1c |
| InternetWebsites | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't use internet 77 | TP1d |


| In the last 30 days, have you seen any advertisements or signs promoting the cigarettes/bidis or any other smokeless tobacco products such as chewing tobacco / gutkha / surti / khaini on following medias? <br> (RECORD FOR EACH <br> Newspapers or magazines | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't know 77 | TPx1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Television | Yes 1  <br> No 2  <br> Don't know 77  | TPx2 |
| Radio | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Yes } 1 \\ \text { No } 2 \\ \text { Don't know } & 77 \end{array}$ | TPx3 |
| Internet / Websites <br> Billboards/posters/wall painting | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't know 77 <br> Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't know 77 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TPx4 } \\ & \text { TPx5 } \end{aligned}$ |
| During the past 30 days, have you noticed any advertisements or signs promoting cigarettes/bidis or any other tobacco products in stores where cigarettes are sold? | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't know 77 | TP2 |
| During the past 30 days, have you noticed any of the following types of cigarette promotions? <br> (RECORD FOR EACH) <br> Free samples of cigarettes | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \\ \text { Don't know } 77 \end{array}$ | TP3a |
| Cigarettes at sale prices | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't know 77 | TP3b |
| Coupons for cigarettes | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't know 77 | TP3c |
| Free gifts or special discount offers on other products when buying cigarettes | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't know 77 | TP3d |
| Clothing or other items with a cigarette brand name or logo | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't know 77 | TP3e |
| Cigarette promotions in the mail | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't know 77 | TP3f |
| During the past 30 days, did you notice any health warnings on cigarette/bidis/smokeless tobacco product packages? | Yes 1 <br> No 2 go to TP6 <br> Did not see any tobacco packages 3 go to TP6 <br> Don't know 77 go to TP6 | TP4 |
| The next questions TP5 - TP7 are to be asked for | ent smokers or current users of smokeless tobacco products |  |
| During the past 30 days, have warning labels on cigarette/bidis/smokeless tobacco product packages led you to think about quitting? | Yes 1 <br> No 2 <br> Don't know 77 | TP5 |
| The last time you bought manufactured cigarettes for yourself, how many cigarettes did you buy in total? | $\quad$ Number of cigarettes Don't know or Don't smoke or purchase manuf. Cigarettes enter 7777 $\quad$ If selected, end section | TP6 |
| In total, how much money did you pay for this purchase? |  | TP7 |
| Last time you bought cigarette for yourself, did you buy loose cigarettes, packets or something else how did you buy it? | Loose Cigarettes 1 <br> Packet 2 <br> Others specify $\ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | TPx6/ <br> TPx6others |


| Alcohol Consumption |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The next questions ask about the consumption of alcohol． |  |  |  |
| Question | Response |  | Code |
| Have you ever consumed an alcoholic drink such as beer，wine， spirits fermented cider or jaad，chyang，raksi，alia or tungba？ <br> （USE SHOWCARDS 2a） |  | 1 <br> 2 If No，go to A16 | A1 |
| Have you consumed an alcoholic drink within the past 12 months？ | Yes 1 If Yes，go to A4 |  | A2 |
| What are the reasons you stopped alcohol during past 12 months？ <br> （MULTIPLE RESPONSE） | Health reason <br> Family Pressure <br> Can＇t afford／No money to buy Just wanted to stop <br> Spiritual／religious reasons <br> Advice of your doctor or other health worker <br> Other（Specify） | 1 go to AP1 <br> 2 go to AP1 <br> 3 go to AP1 <br> 4 go to AP1 <br> 5 go to AP1 <br> 6 go to AP1 <br> 7 go to AP1 | Ax1／ <br> Ax1others |
| During the past 12 months，how frequently have you had at least one standard alcoholic drink？ <br> （READ RESPONSES） <br> （USE SHOWCARDS 2b） | Daily <br> 5－6 days per week <br> 3－4 days per week <br> 1－2 days per week <br> 1－3 days per month <br> Less than once a month | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | A4 |
| Have you consumed any alcohol within the past 30 days？ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | 1 <br> 2 If No，go to A13 | A5 |
| What is the type of alcohol do you usually or most often consume？ <br> （SELECT ONLY ONE） | Beer <br> Wine <br> Spirit（Whiskey／Vodka／Gin） <br> Jaad <br> Rakshi <br> Aila <br> Other | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | Ax2／ <br> Ax2Other |
| During the past 30 days，on how many occasions did you have at least one standard alcoholic drink？ <br> （USE SHOWCARDS 2b） | Number <br> Don＇t know 77 |  | A6 |
| During the past 30 days，when you drank alcohol，how many standard drinks on average did you have during one drinking occasion？ <br> （USE SHOWCARDS 2b） | Number <br> Don＇t know 77 | ＋ـ］ | A7 |
| During the past 30 days，what was the largest number of standard drinks you had on a single occasion，counting all types of alcoholic drinks together？ | Largest number <br> Don＇t Know 77 | －1． | A8 |
| During the past 30 days，how many times did you have six or more Standard drinks in a single drinking occasion？ | Number of times <br> Don＇t Know 77 | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | A9 |
| During each of the past 7 days，how many standard drinks did you have each day？ | Monday | －ـ」 | A10a |
|  | Tuesday | －ـ」 | A10b |
| （USE SHOWCARDS 2b） | Wednesday | －ـ | A10c |
|  | Thursday | － | A10d |
| Do | Friday | Lـ」 | A10e |




| Processed food high in salt means foods that have been altered from their natural state, such as packaged salty snacks (such as chau chau, salty buscuits, lays, kur kure, nimkeen, chips, titura, bhujiya), pappad canned salty food including aachar and preservatives, salty food prepared at a fast food restaurant, cheese, processed meat, dried fish, salty fish etc. (USE SHOWCARDS 4c) | Often 2 <br> Sometimes 3 <br> Rarely 4 <br> Never 5 <br> Don't know 77 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How much salt do you think you consume? | Far too much 1 <br> Too much 2 <br> Just the right amount 3 <br> Too little 4 <br> Far too little 5 <br> Don't know 77 |  | D8a |
| How much salty sauce such as soya sause do you think you consume? | Far too much 1 <br> Too much 2 <br> Just the right amount 3 <br> Too little 4 <br> Far too little 5 <br> Don't know 77 |  | D8b |
| How important is it to you to lower salt in your diet? | Very important <br> Somewhat important <br> Not at all important <br> Don't know | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | D9 |
| What is the maximum amount of salt do you think a person should take in a day from all sources? [In Teaspoonful (TSF)] | $\qquad$ Teaspoonful <br> Don't know | 77 | Dx2 |
| What do you think that too much salt in your diet can do to your health? [Multiple response] | Nothing, more salt is good for health Increase blood pressure Kidney disease <br> Asthma <br> Cancer <br> Tuberculosis <br> Other specify <br> Don't Know | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 77 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dx3/ } \\ \text { Dx3other } \end{gathered}$ |
| Currently are you doing anything on regular basis to control salt intake? | Yes No Don't know | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \text { go to Dx5 } \\ & 77 \text { go to Dx5 } \end{aligned}$ | Dx4 |
| Do you do any of the following on a regular basis to control your | ke? (RECORD FOR EACH) |  |  |
| Avoid /minimize consumption of processed foods such as achaar or papad | $\begin{array}{rr} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ |  | D11a |
| Look at the salt or sodium content on food labels | $\begin{array}{rr} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ |  | D11b |
| Buy low salt/sodium alternatives | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ |  | D11c |
| Use spices other than salt when cooking | $\begin{array}{rr} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ |  | D11d |
| Avoid eating foods prepared outside of home. | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ |  | D11e |
| Eat meals without adding extra salt at the table | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ |  | D11f |
| Cook meals such as rice or bread without adding salt | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ |  | D11g |
| Others | $\begin{array}{rr} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ |  | D11h |


| Other (please specify) | L |  |  | D110ther |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The next questions ask about the oil or fat that is most often used for meal preparation in your household, and about meals that you eat outside a home. |  |  |  |  |
| What types of oil or fat is most often used for meals preparation in your household | Mustard oil 1 <br> Refined vegetable oil 2 <br> Lard or suet 3 <br> Butter ghee 4 <br> Noodles oil 5 <br> Vanaspati ghee 6 <br> Others (specify) 7 <br> Nothing in particular 8 <br> Not used 9 <br> Don't know 77 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { D×5/ } \\ \text { Dx5other } \end{gathered}$ |
| On an average, how many meals (breakfast, lunch or dinner) per week do you eat that were not prepared at a home? | Number <br> Don't know |  | $\xrightarrow{\perp 1}$ | Dx6 |
| Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |
| Next, I am going to ask you about the time you spend doing different types of physical activity in a typical week. Please answer these questions even if you do not consider yourself to be a physically active person. Think first about the time you spend doing work. Think of work as the things that you have to do such as paid or unpaid work, study/training, household chores, harvesting food/crops, fishing or hunting for food, seeking employment. [Insert other examples if needed]. In answering the following questions 'vigorous-intensity activities' are activities that require hard physical effort and cause large increases in breathing or heart rate, 'moderate-intensity activities' are activities that require moderate physical effort and cause small increases in breathing or heart rate. |  |  |  |  |
| Work |  |  |  |  |
| Does your work involve vigorous-intensity activity that causes large increases in breathing or heart rate like carrying or lifting heavy loads, digging, ploughing cycling rikshaw or construction work for at least 10 minutes continuously? <br> (USE SHOWCARDS 5a) | Yes 1No 2 If No, go to P 4 |  |  |  |
| In a typical week, on how many days do you do vigorousintensity activities as part of your work? | days $\square_{\text {Enter }} 77$, if not known |  |  | P2 |
| How much time do you spend doing vigorous-intensity activities at work on a typical day? |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { P3 } \\ (a-b) \end{gathered}$ |
| Does your work involve moderate-intensity activity that causes small increases in breathing or heart rate such as brisk walking, carrying light loads, manual washing clothes, mopping of floor, gardening at home for at least 10 minutes continuously? (USE SHOWCARDS 5b) | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } 2 \text { If No, go to P7 }\end{array}$ |  |  | P4 |
| In a typical week, on how many days do you do moderateintensity activities as part of your work? | of days $\quad .$. |  |  | P5 |
| How much time do you spend doing moderate-intensity activities at work on a typical day? |  | Hours: minutes |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { P6 } \\ (a-b) \end{gathered}$ |
| Travel to and from places |  |  |  |  |
| The next questions exclude the physical activities at work that you have already mentioned. Now I would like to ask you about the usual way you travel to and from places. For example, to work, for shopping, to market, to place of worship. |  |  |  |  |
| Do you walk or use a bicycle (pedal cycle) for at least 10 minutes continuously to get to and from places? |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \text { If No, go to P } 10 \end{aligned}$ | P7 |
| In a typical week, on how many days do you walk or bicycle for at least 10 minutes continuously to get to and from places? | mber of days $\quad$ Lـ Enter 77, if not known |  |  | P8 |
| How much time do you spend walking or bicycling for travel on a typical day? | Hours: minutes |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { P9 } \\ (\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) \end{gathered}$ |



| [Appear only lf H2a=yes] | NGO run/Community hospital 6 <br> Private hospital 7 <br> Private Clinic 8 <br> Ayurvedic, homeopathic or naturopathic 9 <br> hospital/clinic 9 <br> Medical shops/Pharmacies 10 <br> Other (specify) 11 <br> Don't know 77 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Where do you usually get your drugs for raised blood pressure? <br> [Multiple Response] <br> [Appear only If $\mathrm{Hx1a}=y$ yes or $\mathrm{H} 3=y e s$ ] <br> What is the most important reason for which you are not currently taking medications for raised blood pressure or hypertension? <br> [Appear only if $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{a}=y$ yes and ( $\mathrm{H} x 1 \mathrm{a}=$ no or $\mathrm{H} 3=\mathrm{no}$ )] | Govt. Tertiary level hospital 1 <br> Govt. Regional and sub-regional hospital 2 <br> Govt. District hospital 3 <br> Govt. Primary Health Care centre 4 <br> Govt. Health Post 5 <br> NGO run/Community hospital 6 <br> Private hospital 7 <br> Private Clinic 8 <br> Ayurvedic, homeopathic or naturopathic 9 <br> hospital/clinic  <br> Medical shops/Pharmacies 10 <br> Other (specify) 11 <br> Don't know 77 <br> Gon't think drug is necessary 1 <br> Got side effects 2 <br> Afraid of side effects 3 <br> Too expensive 4 <br> Blood pressure got normal 5 <br> Medicine not available 6 <br> Medicine not advised by doctor 7 <br> Other (specify) 8 | Hx3/ Hx3Other <br> Hx4/ <br> Hx4other |
| Have you ever seen a traditional healer like Dhami / Jhakri/ Purohit / Lama / Gubaju / Matas for raised blood pressure or hypertension? | Yes 1 <br> No 2 go to H6 | H4 |
| Are you currently taking any herbal or traditional remedy for your raised blood pressure? | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ | H5 |
| History of Diabetes |  |  |
| Have you ever had your blood sugar measured by a doctor or other health worker? | $\begin{array}{rrr} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 & \\ \text { No } & 2 & \text { If } \mathrm{No} \text {, go to H12 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | H6 |
| Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health worker that you have raised blood sugar or diabetes? | Yes 1 <br> No 2 If No, go to H12 | H7a |
| Were you first told in the past 12 months? | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ | H7b |
| Have you ever been told to take a medicine by a doctor or health workers for raised blood sugar or diabetes? <br> [Appear only if H7a=yes] | Yes 1 <br> No 2 | Hx5 |
| Have you ever taken drugs/medications for diabetes prescribed by a doctor/health worker? <br> [Appear only if $\mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{a}=$ =yes] | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \text { (IIf No, go to Hx6] } \end{array}$ | Hx5a |
| In the past two weeks, have you taken any drugs (medication) for diabetes prescribed by a doctor or other health worker? [Appear only if $\mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{yes}$ and $\mathrm{Hx5a}=\mathrm{yes}$ ] | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \text { go to } \mathrm{Hx} 6 \end{array}$ | H8 |
| Are you currently taking insulin for diabetes prescribed by a doctor or other health worker? <br> [Appear only if H7a=yes] | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ | H9 |
| Where do you usually go for treatment or advice for diabetes? <br> [Multiple Response] | Govt. Tertiary level hospital 1 <br> Govt. Regional and sub-regional hospital 2 <br> Govt. District hospital 3 <br> Govt. Primary Health Care centre 4 | Hx6/ <br> Hx6other |


| [Appear only If H7a=yes] | Govt. Health Post <br> NGO run/Community hospital <br> Private hospital <br> Private Clinic <br> Ayurvedic, homeopathic or naturopathic <br> hospital/clinic <br> Medical shops/Pharmacies <br> Others (specify) <br> Don't know | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \\ & 10 \\ & 11 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Where do you usually get your drugs for diabetes? <br> [Multiple Response] <br> [Appear only If $\mathrm{Hx} 5 \mathrm{a}=$ yes or $\mathrm{H} 8=$ yes or $\mathrm{H} 9=$ yes] <br> What is the most important reason for which you are not currently taking medications for raised blood sugar or diabetes? <br> [Appear only if, $\mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{a}=$ yes and $(\mathrm{Hx5a}=$ no or H 8$)$ ] | Govt. Tertiary level hospital <br> Govt. Regional and sub-regional hospital <br> Govt. District hospital <br> Govt. Primary Health Care centre <br> Govt. Health Post <br> NGO run/Community hospital <br> Private hospital <br> Private Clinic <br> Ayurvedic, homeopathic or naturopathic hospital/clinic <br> Medical shops/Pharmacies <br> Others (specify) <br> Don't know <br> Don't think drug is necessary <br> Got side effects <br> Afraid of side effects <br> Too expensive <br> Diabetes got normal <br> Medicine not available <br> Medicine not advised <br> Other (specify) |  |  |
| Have you ever seen a traditional healer like Dhami/ Jhakri/ Purohit/ Lama/ Qubaju/ Matas for diabetes or raised blood sugar? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \text { go to } \mathrm{H} 12 \end{aligned}$ | H10 |
| Are you currently taking any herbal or traditional remedy for your diabetes? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | H11 |
| History of Raised Total Cholesterol |  |  |  |
| Have you ever had your cholesterol (fat levels in your blood) measured by a doctor or other health worker? |  | 1 <br> 2 If No, go to H17 | H12 |
| Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health worker that you have raised cholesterol? |  | $1$ <br> 2 If No, go to H17 | H13a |
| Were you first told in the past 12 months? | Yes <br> No | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | H13b |
| Have you ever been told to take a medicine by a doctor or health workers for raised cholesterol? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Hx9 |
| Have you ever taken drugs/medications for raised blood cholesterol prescribed by a doctor/health worker? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \text { If No, go to Hx11 } \end{aligned}$ | Hx10 |
| In the past two weeks, have you taken any oral treatment (medication) for raised total cholesterol prescribed by a doctor or other health worker? | Yes No | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | H14 |
| Where do you usually go for treatment or advice for your raised total cholesterol? <br> [Multiple Response] | Govt. Tertiary level hospital <br> Govt. Regional and sub-regional hospital <br> Govt. District hospital | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Hx11/ Hx11other |



During any of your visits to a doctor or other health worker in the past 12 months, were you advised to do any of the following? (RECORD FOR EACH)

| Quit using tobacco or don't start |  |  | H2Oa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reduce salt in your diet |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | H20b |
| Eat at least five servings of fruit and/or vegetables each day |  |  | H20c |
| Reduce fat in your diet |  |  | H20d |
| Start or do more physical activity |  |  | H 20 e |
| Maintain a healthy body weight or lose weight |  |  | H2Of |
| Reduce sugary beverages in your diet |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { If } C 1=1 \text { go to } 02 \text { and } C 1=2 \text { go to } C \times 1 \\ & 2 \text { If } C 1=1 \text { go to } 02 \text { and } C 1=2 \text { go to } C \times 1 \end{aligned}$ | H20g |
| Cervical Cancer Screening (for women only) |  |  |  |
| The next question asks about cervical cancer prevention. Screening tests for cervical cancer prevention can be done in different ways, including Visual Inspection with Acetic Acid/vinegar (VIA), pap smear and Human Papillomavirus (HPV) test. VIA is an inspection of the surface of the uterine cervix after acetic acid (or vinegar) has been applied to it. For both pap smear and HPV test, a doctor or nurse uses a swab to wipe from inside your vagina, take a sample and send it to a laboratory. It is even possible that you were given the swab yourself and asked to swab the inside of your vagina. The laboratory checks for abnormal cell changes if a pap smear is done, and for the HP virus if an HPV test is done. |  |  |  |
| Have you ever had a test for cervical cancer, using any of these methods described above? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { go to CX2 } \\ & 2 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | CX1 |
| At what age were you first tested for cervical cancer? |  |  | CX2 |
| When was your last (most recent) test for cervical cancer? | Less than 1 year ago <br> 1-2 years ago <br> 3-5 years ago <br> More than 5 years ago <br> Don't know <br> Refused | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | CX3 |
| What is the main reason you had your last test for cervical | Part of a routine exam <br> Following up on abnormal or inconclusive result <br> Recommended by healthcare <br> provider <br> Recommended by other source <br> Experiencing pain or other symptoms <br> Other (Specify) <br> Don't know | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 77 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | CX4I <br> CX4other |
| Where did you receive your last test for cervical cancer? | Govt. Tertiary level hospital Govt. Regional and sub-regional <br> Govt. District hospital Govt. Primary Health Care centre Govt. Health Post | 5 | CX5/ CX50ther |


|  | NGO run/Community hospital <br> Private hospital <br> Private Clinic <br> Other (specify) <br> Don't know | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What was the result of your last (most recent) test for cervical | Did not receive result <br> Normal / Negative Abnormal /Positive <br> Suspect cancer Inconclusive Don't know Refused | 1 If $C X 6=1$, go to 02 <br> 2 If $C X 6=2$, go to 02 <br> 3  <br> 4  <br> 5  <br> 77  <br> 88  | CX6 |
| Did you have any follow-up visits because of your test results? | Yes No Don't know Refused | 1 2 3 4 | CX7 |
| Did you receive any treatment to your cervix because of your test results? | Yes No Don't know | 1 2 3 | CX8 |
| Oral Health |  |  |  |
| The next questions I will ask about your oral health status and related behaviours. |  |  |  |
| How would you describe the state of your teeth? | Excellent <br> Very Good <br> Good <br> Average <br> Poor <br> Very Poor <br> Don't Know | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | 02 |
| How would you describe the state of your gums? | Excellent <br> Very Good <br> Good <br> Average <br> Poor <br> Very Poor <br> Don't know | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | 03 |
| Do you have any removable dentures? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Yes } \\ \text { No } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & \\ 2 & \text { If } \mathrm{No}, \text { go to } \mathrm{O} 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 04 |
| Which of the following removable dentures do you have? (RECORD FOR EACH) |  |  |  |
| An upper jaw denture | Yes No | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 05a |
| A lower jaw denture | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Yes } \\ \text { No } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 05b |
| During the past 12 months, did your teeth, gums or mouth cause any pain, swelling, bleeding or discomfort? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Yes } \\ \mathrm{No} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 06 |
| How long has it been since you last saw a dentist? | Less than 6 months <br> 6-12 months <br> More than 1 year but less than 2 <br> 2 or more years but less than 5 years <br> 5 or more years <br> Never received dental care | 1  <br> 2  <br> 3  <br> 4  <br> 5  <br> 6 If Never, go to 09 | 07 |
| What was the main reason for your last visit to the dentist? | Consultation / advice <br> Pain or trouble with teeth, gums or <br> Treatment / Follow-up treatment <br> Routine check-up treatment <br> Other (Specify) | 1 2 3 4 5 If Other, go to O9other | 08/ O8other |
| How often do you clean your teeth? | Never <br> Once a month <br> 2-3 times a month <br> Once a week <br> 2-6 times a week | 1 If Never, go to 013a 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 | 09 |


|  | Once a day <br> Twice or more a day | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you use toothpaste to clean your teeth? | Yes <br> No | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned} \text { If No, go to O12a }$ | 010 |
| Do you use toothpaste containing fluoride? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 77 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 011 |
| Do you use any of the following to clean your teeth on usual basis? (RECORD FOR EACH) |  |  |  |
| Toothbrush | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Yes } \\ \text { No } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 012a |
| Wooden toothpicks (Neem stick) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 012b |
| Plastic toothpicks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | O12c |
| Thread (Dental floss) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | O12d |
| Charcoal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | O12e |
| Chewstick / Miswak/ Dattiwan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | O12f |
| Other | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Yes } \\ \text { No } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { If Yes, go to O12other } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 012g |
| Other (please specify) | Cـ_ |  | O120ther |
| Have you experienced any of the following problems during the past 12 months because of the state of your teeth, gums or mouth? (RECORD FOR EACH) |  |  |  |
| Difficulty in chewing foods | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Yes } \\ \text { No } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 013a |
| Difficulty with speech/trouble pronouncing words | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 013b |
| Bleeding from gums | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \text { If no, go to 013e } \end{aligned}$ | O13c |
| When does your gums normally bleed? | On brushing On eating hard food Spontaneously | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 013d |
| Swelling from gums | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | O13e |
| Embarrassed about appearance of teeth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | O13f |
| Have a red and white patch in mouth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | O13g |
| Have a persistent wound and /or swelling in mouth for more than 3 weeks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | O13h |
| Days not at work because of teeth or mouth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 013i |
| Difficulty doing usual activities | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Yes } \\ \text { No } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 013j |
| Having difficulty in opening mouth | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 013k |
| Are you currently suffering from dental caries? | Yes <br> No <br> Don't know | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | Ox1 |
| Did you visit health facilities (hospital/PHCC/HP) because of dental caries? <br> (Should appear if yes to any questions 013a to 013k) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \text { If no, go to } 0 x 4 \end{aligned}$ | Ox2 |
| Where do you usually go for oral health problems? (If, Ox2=yes) | Govt. Tertiary level hospital Govt. Regional and sub-regional Govt. District hospital Govt. Primary Health Care Centre Govt. Health Post NGO run/Community hospital Dental homes/hospital | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ox3/ } \\ & \text { Ox3other } \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Private hospital <br> Private Clinic <br> Ayurveda, homeopathic or <br> Medical shops/Pharmacies <br> Other (Specify) <br> Don't know | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 9 \\ & 10 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ $77$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Why you did NOT take treatment or advice? <br> (If, Ox1=yes and Ox2=no) | Not serious enough to required treatment <br> Did not know how/where to get treatment <br> Too expensive <br> Didn't have time <br> Health Centre too far away <br> Poor service quality <br> Fear of procedure <br> Family member did not allow it <br> Other specify <br> Refused | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ $7$ | Ox4/ <br> Ox4other |
| Violence and Injury |  |  |  |
| Injury |  |  |  |
| The next questions ask about different experiences and behaviors that are related to road traffic injuries. |  |  |  |
| In the past 30 days, how often did you use a seat belt when you were the driver or passenger of a motor vehicle? |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline e & 1 \\ s & 2 \\ c & 3 \\ 0 & 4 \\ \text { s } & 4 \\ \text { e } & 5 \\ w & 77 \\ \text { d } & 88 \end{array}$ | V1 |
| In the past 30 days, how often did you wear a helmet when you drove or rode as a passenger on a motorcycle or motorscooter? | All of the tim Sometime Neve <br> Have not been on a motorcycle or motor-scooter in past 30 day Do not have a helme Don't Know Refuse | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { e } & 1 \\ s & 2 \\ \text { er } & 3 \\ \text { or } & 4 \\ s & 4 \\ \text { ct } & 5 \\ w & 77 \\ d & 88 \end{array}$ | V2 |
| In the past 12 months, have you been involved in a road traffic crash as a driver, passenger, pedestrian, or cyclist? | Yes (as driver) <br> Yes (as passenger) <br> Yes (as pedestrian) <br> Yes (as a cyclist) <br> Don't know <br> Refused | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline \text { r) } & 1 & \\ \text { r) } & 2 & \\ \text { l) } & 3 & \\ \text { t) } & 4 & \\ 0 & 5 & \text { If No, go to V5 } \\ \text { w } & 77 & \text { If don't know, go to V5 } \\ \text { d } & 88 & \text { If Refused, go to V5 } \end{array}$ | V3 |
| Did you have any injuries in this road traffic crash which required medical attention? |  | $\begin{array}{ll} s & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \\ w & 77 \\ d & 88 \end{array}$ | V4 |
| The next questions ask about the most serious accidental injury you have had in the past 12 months. |  |  |  |
| In the past 12 months, were you injured accidentally, other than the road traffic crashes which required medical attention? | Don't know <br> Refused | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { s } & 1 & \\ 0 & 2 & \text { If No, go to V8 } \\ \text { w } & 77 & \text { If don't know, go to V8 } \\ \text { d } & 88 & \text { If Refused, go to V8 } \end{array}$ | V5 |
| Please indicate which of the following the cause of this injury was. | Fal Burn Poisoning Cut Near-drowning | Il 1 <br>  2 <br>  3 <br>  4 <br>  5 | V6 |



| Joint and Back Pain |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In the past 12 months, did you ever experience followings (For question BK1 and BK2) |  |  |
| Pain, aching, stifness or swelling in or around the joint (like that arms, hands, legs or feet) which were not related to an injury and lasted for more than a month? | Yes 1 <br> No 2 | BK1 |
| Stiffness in the joint (such as hands, legs) in the morning after getting up from bed, or after a long rest of the joint without movement? | Yes 1 <br> No 2 (If No go to BK5) | BK2 |
| How long does this stiffness last? READ CHOICES AND MARK AS APPROPRIATE | About 30 minutes or less 1 <br> More than 30 minutes 2 | BK3 |
| Does this stiffness go away after exercise or movement in the joint? | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ | BK4 |
| During the past 30 days, did you experience back pain (including disc problems) that prevented you from doing usual household chores or going for work? | $\begin{aligned} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{aligned}$ | BK5 |
| During the past 30 days, did you experience severe headache that prevented you from doing usual household chores or going out for work? | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ | BK6 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |
| Are you member of any health insurance scheme? | $\begin{aligned} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \text { go to } \mathrm{Mx3} \end{aligned}$ | Mx1 |
| What type of insurance scheme do you have? | Swasthya Bimaa Karyakram 1 <br> (provided by Government of Nepal) 2 <br> Private Insurance 2 <br> Community based health insurance 3 <br> Others (Specify) 4 | Mx2/ Mx2other |
| On an average how much do you usually spend in a one month for care (including travel to health facility, fees, medicines, medical test or any other related expenses) of your chronic disease (hypertension, diabetes, raised cholesterol etc.)? (for those who have been told hypertensive or diabetic or having raised cholesterol) |  | Mx3 |

Step 2 Physical Measurements

| Blood Pressure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interviewer ID | - | M1 |
| Reading 1 | Systolic (mmHg) $\quad 1$. | M4a |
|  | Diastolic (mmHg) | M4b |
|  | Beats per minute $\stackrel{\text { d }}{ }$ | M16a |
| Reading 2 | Systolic (mmHg) | M5a |
|  | Diastolic (mmHg) | M5b |
|  | Beats per minute | M16b |
| Reading 3 | Systolic (mmHg) | M6a |
|  | Diastolic (mmHg) | M6b |
|  | Beats per minute | M16c |
| During the past two weeks, have you been treated for raised blood pressure with drugs (medication) prescribed by a doctor or other health worker? | Yes 1 <br> No 2 | M7 |
| Height, Weight, Waist and Hip Circumference |  |  |
| For women: Are you pregnant? | Yes 1 If Yes, go to End <br> No 2 | M8 |
| Height | in Centimetres (cm) | M11 |
| Weight  <br>  If too large for scale 666.6 | in Kilograms (kg) | M12 |
| Waist circumference | in Centimeters (cm) | M14 |
| Hip circumference | in Centimeters (cm) | M15 |

Step 3 Biochemical Measurements

| CORE: Blood Glucose |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question | Response | Code |
| Enter participant's ID (generated in Step 1 and QR code) | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | PID-3 |
| During the past 12 hours have you had anything to eat or drink, other than water? | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ | B1 |
| Technician ID | -1.1 | B2 |
| Device ID | - | B3 |
| Time of day blood specimen taken (24hour clock) | Hours: minutes $\underset{\text { hrs }}{\stackrel{-}{\sim}} \underset{\text { mins }}{\sim-ـ}$ | B4 |
| Fasting blood glucose (ff $\mathrm{B}=$ =no) | mg/dl | B5 |
| Random blood glucose (fi B1=yes) | mg/d | B5x |
| Today, have you taken insulin or other drugs (medication) that have been prescribed by a doctor or other health worker for raised blood glucose? | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ | B6 |
| CORE: Blood Lipids |  |  |
| Total cholesterol | mg/dl | B8 |
| During the past two weeks, have you been treated for raised cholesterol with drugs (medication) prescribed by a doctor or other health worker? | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Yes } & 1 \\ \text { No } & 2 \end{array}$ | B9 |
| Had you been fasting prior to the urine collection? | Yes 1 | B10 |
|  | No 2 |  |
| Time of day urine sample taken (24hour clock) | Hours: minutes $\underset{\text { hrs }}{\underset{\sim}{\square}}$ | B13 |

## Data will be key-in in the laboratory

| Urinary sodium and creatinine |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enter participant's ID (generated in Step 1) and QR code | $\stackrel{1}{\square}$ | PID-4 |
| Lab ID | -1.1 | B11 |
| Urinary sodium | mmol/ | B14 |
| Urinary creatinine | mmol/ | B15 |

## ANNEX 3 : SHOWCARDS

## Nepal STEPs - 2019 Show Cards

CC1- Caste Classification Card

| Dalit | Dalit | Disadvantaged jangjati | Disadvantaged janajati | Disadvantaged non-Dalit Terai caste groups | Religious minorities | Relatively advantaged janajatis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Upper } \\ & \text { Caste } \\ & \text { groups } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hill | Terai | Hill | Terai |  |  |  |  |
| Badi | Bantar | Baramu | Dhangad//hangad | Badhe | Churoute | Gurung | Baniya |
| Damai | Chamar | Bhote | Dhanuk | Bahae | Muslims | Thakali | Bengali |
| Gaine | Chiadimar | Bote | Dhimar! | Bhediyar |  | Newar | Brahman <br> (hill) |
| Sarkii | Dhobi | Byansi | Gangai | Bing/Banda |  |  | Brahman <br> (Terai) |
| Kami | Dom | Chepang | Kisan | Dhunia |  |  | Chhetri |
|  | Dusah | Chhantal | Koche | Hajam/Thakur |  |  | Jaine |
|  | Halkhor | Danuwar | Meche | Haluwai |  |  | Kayastha |
|  | Khatway | Darai | Munda | Kahar |  |  | Marwadi |
|  | Mushar | Dura | Pattarkatta/Kusbadiay | Kalwar |  |  | Nuraang |
|  | Paswan | Garri//Bhujel | Rajbansi | Kanu |  |  | Rajput |
|  | Tatma | Hayu | Santhal/Satar | Kewat |  |  | Sanyasi |
|  |  | Hyolomo | Tajpuria | Koiri |  |  | Thakuri |
|  |  | Jirel | Tharu | Kumar |  |  |  |
|  |  | Kusunda |  | Kumhar |  |  |  |
|  |  | Kuuumal |  | Kurmi |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lepcha |  | Lodhar |  |  |  |
|  |  | Limbu |  | Lohar |  |  |  |
|  |  | Magar |  | Mali |  |  |  |
|  |  | Majhi |  | Mallah |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pahari |  | Nuniya |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rai |  | Rajba |  |  |  |
|  |  | Raji |  | Sonar |  |  |  |
|  |  | Raute |  | Sudhi |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sherpa |  | Teli |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sunar |  | Yadav |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tamang |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Thami |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Walung |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Yakkah |  |  |  |  |  |

# Tobacco <br> 1a-Smoked tobacco products 

Manufactured cigarettes

## Tobacco

1b-Smokeless tobacco products

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Betel nut, Quid | Chewing tobacco |
|  |  |
| Betel leaves with tobacco (Jarda pan) | Gutkha, Surti, Khaini |
|  |  |
| Snuff available in wet and dry form | Snuff, by mouth, Snuff, by nose |

## Tobacco

1c - Electronic cigarette


## Alcohol

2a-Alcohol products


## 2b - standard drink



## 2c - Homebrewed alcohol

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Jaad | Chyang |
|  |  |
| - Raksi | Aila |
|  | ba |

## Calculation of standard drink



Diet (a typical fruit and vegetables and serving sizes) 3a - Fruits


## 3b - Fruit serving size

Serving size: One standard serving $=80$ grams

Fruit
Apple, banana, orange
Chopped, cooked or canned fruit
Fruit juice


1 Serving size
1 medium size piece
$1 / 2$ cup
$1 / 2$ cup juice from fruit, not artificially flavoured


## 3c - Vegetables



## 3d - Vegetable serving size



## Dietary Salt

4a - Table Salt


4b - Salty sauce or soya sauces


## 4c - Processed food high in salt

Examples - Chau chau, salty buscuits, lays, kur kure, nimkeen, chips, titura, bhujiya), pappad canned salty food including aachar and preservatives, salty food prepared at a fast food restaurant, cheese, processed meat, dried fish, salty fish etc.i


## Physical Activity

## 5a - Vigorous Physical Activity at Work

Make you breathe much harder than normal


## 5b - Moderate Physical Activity at Work

Make you breathe somewhat harder than normal


## 5c - Vigorous Physical Activity during Leisure Time

Make you breathe much harder than normal


Playing Football


Running

5d - Moderate Physical Activity during Leisure Time


## 5e - Sedentary behaviour

Examples: Sitting at a desk, sitting with friends, travelling in car or bus, reading, playing cards or watching television


| 0¢I | 8 | d | 6 | 5 | in | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | in | in | in | in | in | in | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | 子 | 4 | ヶ | 寸 | \％ | \％ | F | f | ले | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | ¢ | ¢ | m | m | ¢ | ふ | ल | ल | ल |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| zI | d | I | 8 | in | in | in | 云 | ก | 动 | in | ช | ¢ | \％ | ヶ | \＃ | \％ | フ | F | ¢ | ¢ | ले | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | － | \％ | m | m | ¢ | ¢ | ल | ल | 么 | ल | － |
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| 02 I | $\overline{0}$ | 8 | ～ | in | in | in | in | 的 | 子 | $\stackrel{\circ}{+}$ | F | \％ | そ | 寸 | \％ | フ | Э | \％ | m | ¢ | ¢ | \％ | m | m | － | ल | m | ल | м | м | － | ते | 入े |
| s＇LII | 8 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | is | in | 云 | ～ | 动 | in | ¢ | F | $\bigcirc$ | ヶ | 寸 | \％ | フ | F | of | ले | ¢ | ¢ | \％ | \％ | m | m | ल | ल | ल | 么 | м | － | ते | 2 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| sII | in | is | in | 尔 | n | 的 | is | $\stackrel{\infty}{+}$ | 子 | \％ | ヶ | 寸 | \％ | \％ | F | ¢ | m | ¢ | ¢ | $\bigcirc$ | m | m | \％ | ल | ल | ल | m | m | － | 入̀ | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ |
| s＇zII | in | i | 云 | in | 动 | in | ช | F | \％ | な | 寸 | \％ | \％ | F | ¢ | ले | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ¢ | ¢ | ¢ | m | － | $\ldots$ | ल | ¢ | м | ल | ¢ | ते | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ה |
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| s＇zoI | in | 的 | ヲ | $\stackrel{\infty}{+}$ | F | ¢ | 寸 | \％ | テ | 7 | \％ | \％ | $\infty$ | ¢ | ¢ | n | m | m | m | ¢ | ल | ल | － | － | ล̀ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | त | त | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | \％ | ถ | ๕ |
| 001 | 动 | in | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | ¢ | $\bigcirc$ | 寸 | \％ | 7 | 7 | \％ | m | m | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | m | m | ¢ | m | $\cdots$ | ～ | m | － | － | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | त | त | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | － | べ | त | － |
| S゙L6 | in | － | F | \％ | ケ | \％ | テ | F | \％ | ¢ | ¢ | － | 8 | ๕ | ๕ | ¢ | ल | ¢ | м | м | － | ते | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | त | c | ¢ | シ | そ | a | d | ત |
| S6 | ¢ | 子 | \％ | ケ | \％ | 7 | F | \％ | m | ¢ | －m | \％ | m | d | m | ल | ल | ल | m | 앙 | ते | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | त | त | － | － | ๕ | へ | － | － | त | ત |
| s＇z6 | F | ¢ | そ | \％ | テ | F | \％ | ¢ | ¢ | ¢ | ¢ | m | ¢ | ¢ | ल | ¢ | м | ल | － | ते | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | त | え | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | そ | そ | － | － | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ® }}$ | ก | ส |
| 06 | \％ | \％ | ๆ | フ | F | q | m | ¢ | ल | m | m | d | m | ल | m | ल | － | － | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | त | ล | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | へ | へ | － | ＋ | ત | สิ | へ | ส | ส |
| S＇L8 | ヶ | 笚 | フ | F | ¢ | ले | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | ल | $\cdots$ | m | m | ल | ๓ | ® | м | ¢ | ¢ | ล̀ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ล | 2 | $\stackrel{\text { a }}{ }$ | へ | へ | さ | － | त | ๙ | ส | ส | え | へ |
| ¢8 | \％ | フ | F | ¢ | \％ | ¢ | － | \％ | n | ¢ | ल | m | m | м | ¢ | त | त | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ล̀ | त | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ | \％ | そ | ล | む | 耑 | ก | ๙ | ส | ส | へ | त | 8 |
| s＇z8 | フ | 戸 | \％ | ल | ¢ | ¢ | \％ | m | m | m | ले | м | m | － | ते | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | त | ત | $\stackrel{\sim}{\text { N }}$ | त | え | d | － | ก | へ | ส | ה | へ | त | へ | － | － |
| 08 | F | \％ | m | ¢ | － | \％ | m | d | m | m | m | － | 8 | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ® | त | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 2 | ล | ๙ | － | 先 | ヘ | N | ส | ส | へ | 入 | － | 8 | － | － |
| S＇LL | ¢ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | ¢ | \％ | m | m | d | m | ल | м | － | \％ | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | त | त | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | ジ | － | 示 | กิ | กิ | ส | ส | त | え | त | त | ते | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ |
| SL | － | त | \％ | m | m | ल | ת | d | $\bar{m}$ | ¢ | ते | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | त | へ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ® | n | － | － | ๙ | ก | ส | ス | च | त | ¢ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{-}$ |
| S＂ZL | － | ¢ | m | m | ल | ल | м | m | － | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | त | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | へิ | へ | む | กิ | ก | ส | ส | 入 | त | ล | त | 8 | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\propto$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | $\wedge$ |
| 02 | － | m | m | ल | ल | м | \％ | ¢ | ते | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | त | ล | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | へ | そ | － | － | ก | त | ส | ส | त | え | त | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | の | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | ニ | $\simeq$ |
| S＇L9 | － | m | ल | ल | ल | \％ | ते | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | む | ¿ | － | べ | － | 先 | สิ | กิ | ส | הิ | त | 入̀ | ते | त | ते | $\bigcirc$ | の | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ニ | へ | 二 | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ |
| S9 | m | m | $\bar{m}$ | \％ | ¢ | － | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | む | त | \％ | ત | へ | a | a | \％ | ส | ส | त | त | त | 2 | त | － | 9 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ミ | ニ | こ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| S＇z9 | ल | m | － | ते | ते | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | त | \％ | \％ | へ | － | － | ※ | ๕ | ส | ส | え | त | ते | त | － | の | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | こ | $\wedge$ | こ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{ }{\sim}$ | n |
| 09 | м | ¢ | ते | N | त | ล̀ | \％ | そ | へ | む | ๙ | ๙ | ה | ה | 入 | त | ते | ते | の | の | の | $\propto$ | $\propto$ | ニ | ニ | ニ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | に | $\because$ | に | $\pm$ |
| S＇LS | ते | ते | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | व | $\bigcirc$ | \％ | へ | 㟧 | d | ก | ส | ส | 入 | え | ते | 8 | の | の | の | $\propto$ | $\propto$ | ニ | ニ | ᄃ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | に | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| ss | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ | त | त | i | へ | － | － | त | へ | ה | ন | ন | त | त | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ニ | ニ | ᄃ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\because$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ |
| s＇zs | त | \％ | a | ત | － | กi | ก | ส | ส | त | त | － | $\stackrel{\text { त }}{ }$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $=$ | へ | $=$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\sim$ |
| OS | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { a }}$ | へ | ～ | ก | ก | สิ | ส | 入 | त | ते | त | － | の | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | ᄃ | $\wedge$ | ᄃ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ニ | $\simeq$ |
| S＇Lt | d | － | a | ส | ส | ন | त | \％ | \％ | － | － | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | $\pm$ | $\wedge$ | ＝ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | に | $\because$ | に | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ¢ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $=$ |
| St | ก | ส | ส | त | 入 | \％ | の | － | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | $\propto$ | － | ᄃ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | に | $\sim$ | に | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ |
| s＇zt | ה | त | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | こ | － | こ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\because$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | 工 | $\simeq$ | च | $=$ | こ | ＝ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| $0 \downarrow$ | ते | ते | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | － | へ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $\sim$ | ＝ | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | 앙 |
| S゙LE | の | $\bigcirc$ | $\propto$ | $\cdots$ | ニ | ニ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | a | a | $\checkmark$ |
| sع | $\cdots$ | へ | ニ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\because$ | に | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $=$ | 二 | 二 | 二 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | の | a | の | $\bigcirc$ | の | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ |
| ¢＇ż | こ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | $=$ | च | 二 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | の | a | a | a | a | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| $0 \varepsilon$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\simeq$ | $\simeq$ | ฯ | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ | こ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | a | a | の | の | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\wedge$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 雨 | 근 | 士 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ | － | $\stackrel{\text { in }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | 学 | $\stackrel{\circ}{9}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\text {－}}{ }$ | त्ف－ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | － | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | N | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | N | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ¢ | तิ | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ |

## ANNEX 4 : PROVINCIAL FACTSHEETS



नेपालमा नसर्ने रोग सम्बन्धि जोखिम तत्वको सर्वेक्षण (STEPS सर्वेक्षण) फेब्रुअरी देखि मे २०१९ सम्म गरिएको थियो । यस सर्वेक्षणमा जनसांख्यिक र बानीव्यहोरा सम्बन्धी (सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ, मदिरा, आहार, शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप) विवरणहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो। मोटोपन र उच्च रक्तचापको व्यापकता पत्ता लगाउन उचाइ, तौल र रक्तचाप जस्ता शारिरीक मापन गरिएको थियो । त्यसै गरी रगतमा चिनी र कोलेस्ट्रोलको मात्रा पत्ता लाउन बायोकेमिकल (biochemical) मापनहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो ।

यो सर्वेक्षण १४-६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहका वयस्कहरु को जनसंख्यामा आधारित छ। उक्त उमेर समुहको प्रतिनिधित्व गर्न multistage sample design को प्रयोग गरिएको थियो । यस सर्वेक्षणमा पूप९३ जना वयस्कहरु सहभागी भएका थिए र समग्रमा, सहभागिता ६६.४\% थियो। २०२४ मा STEPS सर्वेक्षण पुन: गर्ने योजना रहेको छ।

| १४-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन (Tobacco Use) |  |
| हाल सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ (धुम्रपान वा धुँवारहित) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २२ ち |
| हाल धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | 90 ३ |
| हाल दैनिक धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | $\bigcirc 9$ |
| हाल चुरोट (उत्पादन गरिएको चुरोट वा हातले बेरेको चुरोट) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | $\rho \rho$ |
| हाल धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १६ ६ |
| हाल दैनिक धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १३ ९ |
| दैनिक धुम्रपान गर्ने मध्य, पहिलो पटक धुम्रपान गर्न शुरु गर्दाको औसत उमेर | १७ ¢ |
| मद्यपान सेवन (Alcohol Consumption) |  |
| जीवनमा कहिल्यै मद्यपान सेवन नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ६९ ६ |
| बिगतमा मद्यपान सेवन गर्ने गरेको तर १२ महिना भित्र नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | 4 2 |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको १२ महिना भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | २2 २ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | २३ 9 |
| बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा अत्याधिक मद्यपान सेवन (६ वा ६ भन्दा बढी स्टान्डर्ड ड्रिंक्स) गर्नेको प्रतिशत (कुल जनसंख्या) |  |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन (बितेको ३० दिनमा) गर्ने मध्य, बितेको ७ दिन भित्रमा छिमेकी देश/अन्य देशबाट किनेको वा पिउनलाई अयोग्य वा कर नतिरेको मादक पदार्थ पिउनेको प्रतिशत | ६૪ ૪ |
| आहार (Diet) |  |
| औसतमा $१$ दिनमा खाने गरेको फलफुल र/वा तरकारीको सर्भिङको औसत संख्या (9 सर्भिङ = Б० ग्राम) | 20 |
| औसतमा 9 दिनमा $\psi$ सर्भिङ भन्दा थोरै फलफुल र/वा तरकारी खानेको प्रतिशत | ९६ ૪ |
| नुन (Salt) |  |
| खाना खानु अघि वा खाइरहँदा खानामा नुन वा नुनीलो सस् सधैं वा प्राय थपेर खानेको प्रतिशत | 99 २ |
| नुन बढि मात्रामा हालिएको तयारी खानेकुरा (जंक फुड)सधैं वा प्रायजसो खानेको प्रतिशत | २१ १ |


| १४－६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes नुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| खानामा नुनको मात्रा नियन्त्रण गर्न सधैं जसो केहि उपाय अपनाउनेको प्रतिशत（जस्तै तयारी खानेकुरा कम मात्रामा खाने वा खादैं नखाने，घर बाहिरको खाना नखाने आदि） |  |
| औसत नुन सेवन प्रतिदिन（ग्राममा）（स्पट युरिन परिक्षणमा आधारित）＊ | 9 P |
| शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप（Physical Activity） |  |
| अपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविध्धि गर्नेको प्रतिशत（प्रति हप्ता १थ० मिनेट भन्दा कम समय मध्यम परिश्रम पर्ने वा सो सरहको गतिविधि भनेर परिभाषित गरिएको）＊＊ | ₹ ई |
| प्रति दिन शारीरिक गतिविधिमा खर्च हुने औसत समयको मध्यक（मध्यम परिश्रम मिनेटमा） （इन्टर क्वार्टाइल रेन्जमा प्रस्तुत गरिएका） | २४० ० |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको स्क्रीनिङ（३०－४९ वर्ष उमेरको महिला）（Cervical Cancer Screening（women 30－49 years of age） |  |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको（सर्विकल क्यान्सर）को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | ₹ ६ |
| वितेको 4 बर्ष भिन्रमा पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको（सर्विकल क्यान्सर）को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | ३ 9 |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य（Oral Health） |  |
| दिनमा एक पटक वा बढी दाँत सफा गर्नेको प्रतिशत |  |
| दाँत，मुख वा गिजाको समस्या（ दुख्ने，सुन्निने，रगत आउने वा असजिलो हुने）हुने को प्रतिशत | 90 ९ |
| बिगत १२ महिना भित्र दन्त चिकित्सक संग स्वास्थ्य जाँच गर्नेको प्रतिशत | 9 ¢ |
| दुर्घटना，हिंसा तथा चोटपटक（Violence and injuries） |  |
| बिगत १२ महिनामा，सडक दुर्घटनामा पर्नेको प्रतिशत | 9 ¢ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，कुनै पनि सवारी साधन चलाउँदा वा सवारी साधनमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं सिट बेल्टको प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २ $=$ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，मोटरसाइकल वा स्कुटरमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं हेल्मेट प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | 「ち 5 |
| मानसिक स्वास्थ्य（Mental Health） |  |
| केहि वा धैरै मात्रामा काम तथा व्यवसाय सम्बन्चि तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | 2¢ 2 |
| केहि वा धिरै मात्रामा घरमा हुने सामान्य तनावको प्रतिशत | 2丂 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| बिगतका वर्षहरुमा तनावपुर्ण घटनाहरु को कारण धेरै तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | 99 ३ |
| बिगत बाह महिनामा जोर्नी तथा ढाडको दुखाइ（Joint and back pain in last 12 months） |  |
| बिगत बाह् महिनामा，दुर्घटनाबाहेक अरु कारणले एक महिना भन्दा बढ़ी समय सम्म जोर्नीमा वा जोर्नीको वरिपरी दुखाइ，अह्रोरोपन वा सुजन हुनेको प्रतिशत |  |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，ढाडमा दुखाई भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | 94 ३ |
| विगत ३० दिनमा，गम्भिर रुपमा टाउको दुख्ने समस्या भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | १२ २ |


| १૫－६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| बडी मास इन्डेक्स र मोटोपन（BMI and Obesity） |  |
| औसत Body mass index－BMI（kg／m²） | २२ ९ |
| अधिक वजन र मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\left.\quad M २ y \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | २२ ९ |
| मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ ३० $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ） | ३ ¢ |
| उच्च रक्तचाप，रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा र कोलेस्टेरोल（Hypertension，Diabetes and raised cholesterol levels） |  |
| रक्तचाप बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत १४० र／वा DBP ९० mmHg वा हाल उच्च रक्तचापको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको） | २६ ६ |
| रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत（फास्टिङ ब्लड ग्लुकोज १२६ mg／dl ）वा हाल रगतमा बढी मात्रामा चिनी भएको कारण औषधी खाइरहेको）＊＊＊ | $\gamma \quad \gamma$ |
| जम्मा कोलेस्टेरोल（रगतमा चिल्लोपना）को मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत $乡 . ० \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ वा १९० $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ वा हाल कोलेस्टेरोलको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको） | 9૪ 乞 |
| मुटु रोगको जोखिम（Cardiovascular disease（CVD）risk） |  |
| ४०－६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहको लागी १० बर्ष भित्र मुटु रोगको जोखिम ३०\％वा ३०\％भन्दा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत वा हाल मुटु रोग भएकाको प्रतिशत＊＊＊＊ | 29 |
| स्वास्थ्य प्रणाली（Health system） |  |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रक्तचाप नाप्नेको प्रतिशत（४०－६९ बर्ष भित्रको） | ६ち ३ |
| स्वास्थिकर्मीबाट रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा नाप्नेको प्रतिशत（४०－६९ बर्ष भित्रको） | २2 $\gamma$ |
| रक्तचाप मापन गर्दा उच्च रक्तचाप पाइएको र／वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | 90 y |
| रगत परिक्षण गर्दा रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी पाइएको र／वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | २弓 こ |
| स्वास्थ्य बीमा कार्यक्रमा सदस्यता भएकाको प्रतिशत | २ $¢$ |
| उच्च रक्तचापको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था／सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | y幺 9 |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य सम्बन्धि समस्याको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था／सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | प६ $৩$ |

＊दक्षिण युरोपको इन्टर－साल्ट समिकारण मा आधारित：
Male：$\left(20.861+0.45 \times 0.45 \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{l}}\right)\right)-3.09 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{l}}\right)+4.16 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+0.22 \times$ Age $($ year $)$
Female：$\left(21.98+0.33 \times 0.45\right.$ Naspot $\left.\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{l}\right)\right)-2.44 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{l}\right)+2.42 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+2.34 \times$ Age $($ year $)-0.03 \times$ Age ${ }^{2}(y e a r)$
＊＊पपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधिको पूर्ण परिभाषा को लागी，GPAQ विश्लेषण गाईड हेन्नुहोस्
（http：／／www．who．int／chp／steps／GPAQ／en／index．html）or to the WHO Global recommendations on physical activity for health（http：／／www．who．int／di－ etphysicalactivity／factsheet＿recommendations／en／index．html）

＊＊＊＊१० बर्ष मुटु रोग जोखिम $\geq ३ ० \%$ लाई उमेर，लिड़，रक्तचाप，धूम्रपान स्थिति（हाल धूम्रपान गर्नेहरू वा परिक्षण भन्दा १ बर्ष भन्दा कम समय अघि भुम्रपान छोड्नेहरु），जम्मा कोलेस्ट्रोल र मधुमेह（पहिले निदान गरिएको वा फास्टिङ प्लाज्मा ग्लूकोजको मात्रा＞ง． $.0 \mathrm{mmol/l}(१ २ ६ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dll})$ को आधारमा परिभाषित गरिएको छ।

# केपाल STEPS सर्वेक्षणण, २०१९ संध्धिप्त नतिजा 

प्रदेश 2
नेपालमा नसर्ने रोग सम्बन्धि जोखिम तत्वको सर्वेक्षण (STEPS सर्वेक्षण) फेब्रुअरी देखि मे २०१९ सम्म गरिएको थियो । यस सर्वेक्षणमा जनसांख्यिक र बानीब्यहोरा सम्बन्धी (सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ, मदिरा, आहार, शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप) विवरणहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो। मोटोपन र उच्च रक्तचापको व्यापकता पत्ता लगाउन उचाइ, तौल र रक्तचाप जस्ता शारिरीक मापन गरिएको थियो । त्यसै गरी रगतमा चिनी र कोलेस्ट्रोलको मात्रा पत्ता लाउन बायोकेमिकल (biochemical) मापनहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो ।

यो सर्वेक्षण $१ ४-६ ९$ बर्ष उमेर समुहका वयस्कहरुको जनसंख्यामा आधारित छ। उक्त उमेर समुहको प्रतिनिधित्व गर्न multistage sample design को प्रयोग गरिएको थियो। यस सर्वेक्षणमा पू乡९३ जना वयस्कहरु सहभागी भएका थिए र समग्रमा, सहभागिता ६६. ४\% थियो। २०२૪ मा STEPS सर्वेक्षण पुन: गर्ने योजना रहेको छ।

| १४-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन (Tobacco Use) |  |
| हाल सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ (धुम्रपान वा धुँवारहित) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २९.ง |
| हाल धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १३.Б |
| हाल दैनिक धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १२.६ |
| हाल चुरोट (उत्पादन गरिएको चुरोट वा हातले बेरेको चुरोट) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १२.२ |
| हाल धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २३.३ |
| हाल दैनिक धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | 99.9 |
| दैनिक धुम्रपान गर्ने मध्य, पहिलो पटक धुम्रपान गर्न शुरु गर्दाको औसत उमेर | १ง.९ |
| मद्यपान सेवन (Alcohol Consumption) |  |
| जीवनमा कहिल्यै मद्यपान सेवन नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ち६.२ |
| बिगतमा मद्यपान सेवन गर्ने गरेको तर १२ महिना भित्र नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | २.३ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको १२ महिना भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | 99.2 |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | १०.३ |
| बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा अत्याधिक मद्यपान सेवन (६ वा ६ भन्दा बढी स्टान्डर्ड ड़िंक्स) गर्नेको प्रतिशत (कुल जनसंख्या) | ३. ७ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन (बितेको ३० दिनमा) गर्ने मध्य, बितेको ७ दिन भित्रमा छिमेकी देश/अन्य देशबाट किनेको वा पिउनलाई अयोग्य वा कर नतिरेको मादक पदार्थ पिउनेको प्रतिशत | ७६.૪ |
| आहार (Diet) |  |
| औसतमा 9 दिनमा खाने गरेको फलफुल र/वा तरकारीको सर्भिङको औसत संख्या (9 सर्भिङ = ६० ग्राम) | २.३ |
| औसतमा 9 दिनमा $y$ सर्भिङ भन्दा थौरै फलफुल र/वा तरकारी खानेको प्रतिशत | ९६.૪ |
| नुन (Salt) |  |
| खाना खानु अधि वा खाइरहँदा खानामा नुन वा नुनीलो सस् सधैँ वा प्राय थपेर खानेको प्रतिशत | 2. 2 |
| नुन बढि मात्रामा हालिएको तयारी खानेकुरा (जंक फुड)सधैं वा प्रायजसो खानेको प्रतिशत | १४.३ |

[^62]| १૫-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| खानामा नुनको मात्रा नियन्त्रण गर्न सधैं जसो केहि उपाय अपनाउनेको प्रतिशत (जस्तै तयारी खानेकरा कम मात्रामा खाने वा खादैं नखाने, घर बाहिरको खाना नखाने आदि) | 0.9 |
| औसत नुन सेवन प्रतिदिन (ग्राममा)(स्पट युरिन परिक्षणमा आधारित)* | 5.9 |
| शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप (Physical Activity) |  |
| अपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधि गर्नेको प्रतिशत (प्रति हप्ता १४० मिनेट भन्दा कम समय मध्यम परिश्रम पर्ने वा सो सरहको गतिविधि भनेर परिभाषित गरिएको) ** | 5.2 |
| प्रति दिन शारीरिक गतिविधिमा खर्च हुने औसत समयको मध्यक (मध्यम परिश्रम मिनेटमा) (इन्टर क्वार्टाइल रेन्जमा प्रस्तुत गरिएका) | १७१.〉 |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको स्क्रीनिङ (३० -४९ वर्ष उमेरको महिला) (Cervical Cancer Screening (women 30-49 years of age) |  |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको (सर्विकल क्यान्सर) को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | ६.३ |
| बितेको $y$ बर्ष भित्रमा पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको (सर्विकल क्यान्सर) को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | ૪.9 |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य (Oral Health) |  |
| दिनमा एक पटक वा बढी दाँत सफा गर्नेको प्रतिशत | こ¢.9 |
| दाँत, मुख वा गिजाको समस्या( दुख्जे, सुन्निने, रगत आउने वा असजिलो हुने) हुने को प्रतिशत | 99. ४ |
| बिगत १२ महिना भित्र दन्त चिकित्सक संग स्वास्थ्य जाँच गर्नेको प्रतिशत | 9.9 |
| दुर्घटना, हिँसा तथा चोटपटक (Violence and injuries) |  |
| बिगत १२ महिनामा, सडक दुर्घटनामा पर्नेको प्रतिशत | 9.2 |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा, कुनै पनि सवारी साधन चलाउंदा वा सवारी साधनमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं सिट बेल्टको प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २.७ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा, मोटरसाइकल वा स्कुटरमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं हेल्मेट प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ३०.० |
| मानसिक स्वास्थ्य (Mental Health) |  |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा काम तथा व्यवसाय सम्बन्धि तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | ६४.9 |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा घरमा हुने सामान्य तनावको प्रतिशत | ६૪.६ |
| बिगतका वर्षहरुमा तनावपुर्ण घटनाहरु को कारण बेरै तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | 90.६ |
| बिगत बाह्न महिनामा जोर्नी तथा ढाडको दुखाइ (Joint and back pain in last 12 months) |  |
| बिगत बाह महिनामा, दुर्घटनाबाहेक अरु कारणले एक महिना भन्दा बढी समय सम्म जोर्नीमा वा जोर्नीको वरिपरी दुखाइ,अह्रोरोपन वा सुजन हुनेको प्रतिशत | 9२. 2 |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा, ढाडमा दुखाई भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | १६.३ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा, गम्भिर रुपमा टाउको दुख्ने समस्या भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | 90.9 |


| १४-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| बडी मास इन्डेक्स र मोटोपन (BMI and Obesity) |  |
| औसत Body mass index-BMI (kg/m²) | २२.३ |
| अधिक वजन र मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ २乡 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $9 ९ .9$ |
| मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ ३० $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | २.७ |
| उच्च रक्तचाप, रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा र कोलेस्टेरोल (Hypertension, Diabetes and raised cholesterol levels) |  |
| रक्तचाप बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत १४० र/वा DBP ९० mmHg वा हाल उच्च रक्तचापको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको) | 9ち. ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत (फास्टिङ ब्लड ग्लुकोज १२६ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) वा हाल रगतमा बढ़ी मात्रामा चिनी भएको कारण औषधी खाइरहेको) *** | $99 . ३$ |
| जम्मा कोलेस्टेरोल (रगतमा चिल्लोपना) को मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत ५.० mmol/L वा १९० $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ वा हाल कोलेस्टेरोलको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको) | 99.2 |
| मुटु रोगको जोखिम (Cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk) |  |
| ૪०-६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहको लागी १० बर्ष भित्र मुटु रोगको जोखिम ३०\% वा ३०\% भन्दा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत वा हाल मुटु रोग भएकाको प्रतिशत **** | २.६ |
| स्वास्थ्य प्रणाली (Health system) |  |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रक्तचाप नाप्नेको प्रतिशत (४०-६९ बर्ष भित्रको) | уெ. $\gamma$ |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा नाप्नेको प्रतिशत (४०-६९ बर्ष भित्रको) | १९.२ |
| रक्तचाप मापन गर्दा उच्च रक्तचाप पाइएको र/वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | १२.६ |
| रगत परिक्षण गर्दा रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी पाइएको र/वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | १६.२ |
| स्वास्थ्य बीमा कार्यक्रमा सदस्यता भएकाको प्रतिशत | २.६ |
| उच्च रक्तचापको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था/सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | 9९.६ |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य सम्बन्धि समस्याको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था/सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | 90.2 |

* दक्षिण युरोपको इन्टर-साल्ट समिकारण मा आधारित:

Male: $\left(20.861+0.45 \times 0.45 \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)\right)-3.09 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{l}\right)+4.16 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+0.22 \times$ Age $($ year $)$

Female: $\left(21.98+0.33 \times 0.45 \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)\right)-2.44 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)+2.42 \times B M I\left(\frac{k g}{m^{2}}\right)+2.34 \times$ Age $(y e a r)-0.03 \times$ Age ${ }^{2}(y e a r)$
**अपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधिको पूर्ण परिभाषा को लागी, GPAQ विश्लेषण गाईड हेर्नुहोस्
(http://www.who.int/chp/steps/GPAQ/en/index.html) or to the WHO Global recommendations on physical activity for health (http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/factsheet_recommendations/en/index.html)
*** https welizwaived.com web items pdf 17 lucose estholental 10 file1.pdf
 भुम्रपान छोड्नेहरु), जम्मा कोलेस्ट्रोल र मधुमेह (पहिले निदान गरिएको वा फास्टिङ प्लाज्मा ग्लूकोजको मात्रा>ง. $.0 \mathrm{mmol/l}(१ २ ६ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ ) को आधारमा परिभाषित गरिएको छ।

# केपाल STEPS सर्वेक्षण, २०१९ संध्धिप्त नतिजा 

## प्रदेश 3

नेपालमा नसर्ने रोग सम्बन्धि जोखिम तत्वको सर्वेक्षण (STEPS सर्वेक्षण) फेब्रुअरी देखि मे २०१९ सम्म गरिएको थियो । यस सर्वेक्षणमा जनसांख्यिक र बानीब्यहोरा सम्बन्धी (सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ, मदिरा, आहार, शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप) विवरणहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो। मोटोपन र उच्च रक्तचापको व्यापकता पत्ता लगाउन उचाइ, तौल र रक्तचाप जस्ता शारिरीक मापन गरिएको थियो । त्यसै गरी रगतमा चिनी र कोलेस्ट्रोलको मात्रा पत्ता लाउन बायोकेमिकल (biochemical) मापनहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो ।

यो सर्वेक्षण १४-६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहका वयस्कहरुको जनसंख्यामा आधारित छ। उक्त उमेर समुहको प्रतिनिधित्व गर्न multistage sample design को प्रयोग गरिएको थियो। यस सर्वेक्षणमा पू९३ जना वयस्कहरु सहभागी भएका थिए र समग्रमा, सहभागिता ६६.४\% थियो। २०२४ मा STEPS सर्वेक्षण पुन: गर्ने योजना रहेको छ।

| १૫-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन (Tobacco Use) |  |
| हाल सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ (धुम्रपान वा धुँवारहित) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २२.२ |
| हाल धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १५.२ |
| हाल दैनिक धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १६.० |
| हाल चुरोट (उत्पादन गरिएको चुरोट/हातले बेरेको चुरोट) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १७.१ |
| हाल धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ¢. 9 |
| हाल दैनिक धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ७.० |
| दैनिक धुम्रपान गर्ने मध्य, पहिलो पटक धुम्रपान गर्न शुरु गर्दाको औसत उमेर | 95.9 |
| मद्यपान सेवन (Alcohol Consumption) |  |
| जीवनमा कहिल्यै मद्यपान सेवन नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ६३.७ |
| बिगतमा मद्यपान सेवन गर्ने गरेको तर १२ महिना भित्र नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ३. 9 |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको १२ महिना भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | ३३.२ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | २७.乡 |
| बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा अत्याधिक मद्यपान सेवन (६ वा ६ भन्दा बढी स्टान्डर्ड ड्रिंक्स) गर्नेको प्रतिशत (कुल जनसंख्या) | ¢. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन (बितेको ३० दिनमा) गर्ने मध्य, बितेको ७ दिन भित्रमा छिमेकी देश/अन्य देशबाट किनेको वा पिउनलाई अयोग्य वा कर नतिरेको मादक पदार्थ पिडनेको प्रतिशत | ७४.९ |
| आहार (Diet) |  |
| औसतमा $१$ दिनमा खाने गरेको फलफुल र/वा तरकारीको सर्भिङको औसत संख्या (१ सर्भिङ = द० ग्राम) | २.० |
| औसतमा १ दिनमा $y$ सर्भिङ भन्दा थोरै फलफुल र/वा तरकारी खानेको प्रतिशत | ९७.२ |
| नुन (Salt) |  |
| खाना खानु अघि वा खाइरहँदा खानामा नुन वा नुनीलो सस् सधैं वा प्राय थपेर खानेको प्रतिशत | ७.9 |
| नुन बढढ मात्रामा हालिएको तयारी खानेकुरा (जंक फुड)सधैं वा प्रायजसो खानेको प्रतिशत | २२.० |


| १४－६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| खानामा नुनको मात्रा नियन्त्रण गर्न सधैं जसो केहि उपाय अपनाउनेको प्रतिशत（जस्तै तयारी खानेकरा कम मात्रामा खाने वा खादैं नखाने，घर बाहिरको खाना नखाने आदि） | 9．६ |
| औसत नुन सेवन प्रतिदिन（ग्राममा）（स्पट युरिन परिक्षणमा आधारित）＊ | ९．३ |
| शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप（Physical Activity） |  |
| अपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधि गर्नेको प्रतिशत（प्रति हप्ता १४० मिनेट भन्दा कम समय मध्यम परिश्रम पर्ने वा सो सरहको गतिविधि भनेर परिभाषित गरिएको）＊＊ | १०．३ |
| प्रति दिन शारीरिक गतिविधिमा खर्च हुने औसत समयको मध्यक（मध्यम परिश्रम मिनेटमा） （इन्टर क्वार्टाइल रेन्जमा प्रस्तुत गरिएका） | 950.0 |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको स्कीनिङ（३०－४९ वर्ष उमेरको महिला）（Cervical Cancer Screening（women 30－49 years of age） |  |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको（सर्विकल क्यान्सर）को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | ¢．६ |
| बितेको $y$ बर्ष भित्रमा पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको（सर्विकल क्यान्सर）को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | ૪．३ |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य（Oral Health） |  |
| दिनमा एक पटक वा बढी दाँत सफा गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ち६．२ |
| दाँत，मुख वा गिजाको समस्या（ दुख्ने，सुन्निने，रगत आउने वा असजिलो हुने）हुने को प्रतिशत | १२．२ |
| बिगत १२ महिना भित्र दन्त चिकित्सक संग स्वास्थ्य जाँच गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ३．२ |
| दुर्घटना，हिँसा तथा चोटपटक（Violence and injuries） |  |
| बिगत १२ महिनामा，सडक दुर्घटनामा पर्नेको प्रतिशत | $२ .9$ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，कुनै पनि सवारी साधन चलाउँदा वा सवारी साधनमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं सिट बेल्टको प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ¢．३ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，मोटरसाइकल वा स्कुटरमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं हेल्मेट प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ц३． 9 |
| मानसिक स्वास्थ्य（Mental Health） |  |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा काम तथा व्यवसाय सम्बन्धि तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | ६ц．ち |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा घरमा हुने सामान्य तनावको प्रतिशत | ६ฯ． 2 |
| बिगतका वर्षहरुमा तनावपुर्ण घटनाहरु को कारण धेरै तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | १३．૪ |
| बिगत बाह्न महिनामा जोर्नी तथा ढाडको दुखाइ（Joint and back pain in last $\mathbf{1 2}$ months） |  |
| बिगत बाह महिनामा，दुर्घटनाबाहेक अरु कारणले एक महिना भन्दा बढी समय सम्म जोर्नीमा वा जोर्नीको वरिपरी दुखाइ，अह्रोरोपन वा सुजन हुनेको प्रतिशत | १२．३ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，ढाडमा दुखाई भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | १७．〉 |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，गम्भिर रुपमा टाउको दुख्ेे समस्या भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | १३．Б |


| १૫－६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| बडी मास इन्डेक्स र मोटोपन（BMI and Obesity） |  |
| औसत Body mass index－BMI（kg／m²） | २४．३ |
| अधिक वजन र मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ २\％ $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ） | ૪२．६ |
| मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ ३० $\left.\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | ¢．$\%$ |
| उच्च रक्तचाप，रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा र कोलेस्टेरोल（Hypertension，Diabetes and raised cholesterol levels） |  |
| रक्तचाप बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत १४० र／वा DBP ९० mmHg वा हाल उच्च रक्तचापको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको） | २ム．२ |
| रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत（फास्टिङ ब्लड ग्लुकोज १२६ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ）वा हाल रगतमा बढ़ी मात्रामा चिनी भएको कारण औषधी खाइरहेको）＊＊＊ | ४．9 |
| जम्मा कोलेस्टेरोल（रगतमा चिल्लोपना）को मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत $4.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ वा १९० $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ वा हाल कोलेस्टेरोलको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको） | ¢． 2 |
| मुटु रोगको जोखिम（Cardiovascular disease（CVD）risk） |  |
| ४०－६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहको लागी १० बर्ष भित्र मुटु रोगको जोखिम ३०\％वा ३०\％भन्दा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत वा हाल मुटु रोग भएकाको प्रतिशत＊＊＊＊ | २．४ |
| स्वास्थ्य प्रणाली（Health system） |  |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रक्तचाप नाप्तेको प्रतिशत（४०－६९ बर्ष भित्रको） | ६п．O |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा नाप्नेको प्रतिशत（४०－६९ बर्ष भित्रको） | २૪．ち |
| रक्तचाप मापन गर्दा उच्च रक्तचाप पाइएको र／वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | १३．久 |
| रगत परिक्षण गर्दा रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी पाइएको र／वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | ३०．३ |
| स्वास्थ्य बीमा कार्यकममा सदस्यता भएकाको प्रतिशत | २．४ |
| उच्च रक्तचापको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था／सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | २७．ち |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य सम्बन्धि समस्याको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था／सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | २२．้ |

＊दक्षिण युरोपको इन्टर－साल्ट समिकारण मा आधारित：
Male：$\left(20.861+0.45 \times 0.45 \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{l}}\right)\right)-3.09 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{l}}\right)+4.16 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+0.22 \times$ Age $($ year $)$

Female：$\left(21.98+0.33 \times 0.45 \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)\right)-2.44 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)+2.42 \times B M I\left(\frac{k g}{m^{2}}\right)+2.34 \times$ Age $($ year $)-0.03 \times$ Age ${ }^{2}(y e a r)$
＊＊पपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधिको पूर्ण परिभाषा को लागी，GPAQ विश्लेषण गाईड हेन्नुहोस्
（http：／／www．who．int／chp／steps／GPAQ／en／index．html）or to the WHO Global recommendations on physical activity for health（http：／／www．who．int／di－ etphysicalactivity／factsheet＿recommendations／en／index．html）

＊＊＊＊१० बर्ष मुटु रोग जोखिम $\geq ३ ० \%$ लाई उमेर，लिड़，रक्तचाप，धूम्रपान स्थिति（हाल धूम्रपान गर्नेहरू वा परिक्षण भन्दा १ बर्ष भन्दा कम समय अघि भुम्रपान छोड्नेहरु），जम्मा कोलेस्ट्रोल र मधुमेह（पहिले निदान गरिएको वा फास्टिङ प्लाज्मा ग्लूकोजको मात्रा＞ง． $.0 \mathrm{mmol/l}(१ २ ६ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dll})$ को आधारमा परिभाषित गरिएको छ।

# नेपाल STEPS सर्वेक्षण, २०१९ संध्धिप्त नतिजा <br> गण्डकी प्रदेश 

नेपालमा नसर्ने रोग सम्बन्धि जोखिम तत्वको सर्वेक्षण (STEPS सर्वेक्षण) फेब्रुअरी देखि मे २०१९ सम्म गरिएको थियो । यस सर्वेक्षणमा जनसांख्यिक र बानीब्यहोरा सम्बन्धी (सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ, मदिरा, आहार, शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप) विवरणहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो। मोटोपन र उच्च रक्तचापको व्यापकता पत्ता लगाउन उचाइ, तौल र रक्तचाप जस्ता शारिरीक मापन गरिएको थियो । त्यसै गरी रगतमा चिनी र कोलेस्ट्रोलको मात्रा पत्ता लाउन बायोकेमिकल (biochemical) मापनहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो ।

यो सर्वेक्षण १४-६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहका वयस्कहरुको जनसंख्यामा आधारित छ। उक्त उमेर समुहको प्रतिनिधित्व गर्न multistage sample design को प्रयोग गरिएको थियो । यस सर्वेक्षणमा पू้९३ जना वयस्कहरु सहभागी भएका थिए र समग्रमा, सहभागिता ६६.४\% थियो। २०२૪ मा STEPS सर्वेक्षण पुन: गर्ने योजना रहेको छ।

| १५-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन (Tobacco Use) |  |
| हाल सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ (धुम्रपान वा धुँवारहित) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २4.9 |
| हाल धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १П.६ |
| हाल दैनिक धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १६.२ |
| हाल चुरोट (उत्पादन गरिएको चुरोट/हातले बेरेको चुरोट) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १७.२ |
| हाल धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | 99.9 |
| हाल दैनिक धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | $\bigcirc .9$ |
| दैनिक धुम्रपान गर्ने मध्य, पहिलो पटक धुम्रपान गर्न शुरु गर्दाको औसत उमेर | १ง.६ |
| मद्यपान सेवन (Alcohol Consumption) |  |
| जीवनमा कहिल्यै मद्यपान सेवन नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ६६.६. |
| बिगतमा मद्यपान सेवन गर्ने गरेको तर १२ महिना भित्र नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ૪.२ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको १२ महिना भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | २९.२ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | २४. 9 |
| बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा अत्याधिक मद्यपान सेवन (६ वा ६ भन्दा बढी स्टान्डर्ड ड्रिंक्स) गर्नेको प्रतिशत (कुल जनसंख्या) | 5.2 |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन (बितेको ३० दिनमा) गर्ने मध्य, बितेको ७ दिन भित्रमा छिमेकी देश/अन्य देशबाट किनेको वा पिउनलाई अयोग्य वा कर नतिरेको मादक पदार्थ पिउनेको प्रतिशत | ६२.६ |
| आहार (Diet) |  |
| औसतमा $१$ दिनमा खाने गरेको फलफुल र/वा तरकारीको सर्भिङको औसत संख्या (१ सर्भिङ = Б० ग्राम) | 9.9 |
| औसतमा १ दिनमा $y$ सर्भिङ भन्दा थोरै फलफुल र/वा तरकारी खानेको प्रतिशत | 99.0 |
| नुन (Salt) |  |
| खाना खानु अधि वा खाइरहंदा खानामा नुन वा नुनीलो सस् सधैं वा प्राय थपेर खानेको प्रतिशत | 5.5 |
| नुन बढि मात्रामा हालिएको तयारी खानेकुरा (जंक फुड) सधैं वा प्रायजसो खानेको प्रतिशत | 92.9 |


| १૫－६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| खानामा नुनको मात्रा नियन्त्रण गर्न सधैं जसो केहि उपाय अपनाउनेको प्रतिशत（जस्तै तयारी खानेकरा कम मात्रामा खाने वा खादैं नखाने，घर बाहिरको खाना नखाने आदि） | ३．¢ |
| औसत नुन सेवन प्रतिदिन（ग्राममा）（स्पट युरिन परिक्षणमा आधारित）＊ | $\bigcirc . २$ |
| शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप（Physical Activity） |  |
| अपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधि गर्नेको प्रतिशत（प्रति हप्ता १थ० मिनेट भन्दा कम समय मध्यम परिश्रम पर्ने वा सो सरहको गतिविधि भनेर परिभाषित गरिएको）＊＊ | 90.9 |
| प्रति दिन शारीरिक गतिविधिमा खर्च हुने औसत समयको मध्यक（मध्यम परिश्रम मिनेटमा） （इन्टर क्वार्टाइल रेन्जमा प्रस्तुत गरिएका） | २४०．० |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको स्कीनिङ（३०－४९ वर्ष उमेरको महिला）（Cervical Cancer Screening（women 30－49 years of age） |  |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको（सर्विकल क्यान्सर）को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | १२．६ |
| बितेको $y$ बर्ष भित्रमा पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको（सर्विकल क्यान्सर）को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | Б．३ |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य（Oral Health） |  |
| दिनमा एक पटक वा बढी दाँत सफा गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ९२．१ |
| दाँत，मुख वा गिजाको समस्या（ दुख्ने，सुन्निने，रगत आउने वा असजिलो हुने）हुने को प्रतिशत | 94．9 |
| बिगत १२ महिना भित्र दन्त चिकित्सक संग स्वास्थ्य जाँच गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ६．弓 |
| दुर्घटना，हिँसा तथा चोटपटक（Violence and injuries） |  |
| बिगत १२ महिनामा，सडक दुर्घटनामा पर्नेको प्रतिशत | ૪．२ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，कुनै पनि सवारी साधन चलाउँदा वा सवारी साधनमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं सिट बेल्टको प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ३． 2 |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，मोटरसाइकल वा स्कुटरमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं हेल्मेट प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ३૪．૪ |
| मानसिक स्वास्थ्य（Mental Health） |  |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा काम तथा व्यवसाय सम्बन्धि तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | ७9．ち |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा घरमा हुने सामान्य तनावको प्रतिशत | ६久．३ |
| बिगतका वर्षहरुमा तनावपुर्ण घटनाहरु को कारण धेरै तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | 99.2 |
| बिगत बाह्न महिनामा जोर्नी तथा ढाडको दुखाइ（Joint and back pain in last 12 months） |  |
| बिगत बाह्न महिनामा，दुर्घटनाबाहेक अरु कारणले एक महिना भन्दा बढी समय सम्म जोर्नीमा वा जोर्नीको वरिपरी दुखाइ，अह्नोरोपन वा सुजन हुनेको प्रतिशत | १६．६ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，ढाडमा दुखाई भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | 9¢．६． |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，गभ्भिर रुपमा टाउको दुख्ने समस्या भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | 9२．9 |


| १४-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| बडी मास इन्डेक्स र मोटोपन (BMI and Obesity) |  |
| औसत Body mass index-BMI (kg/m²) | २४.० |
| अधिक वजन र मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ २乡 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | ३४.६ |
| मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ ३० $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | ¢. 0 |
| उच्च रक्तचाप, रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा र कोलेस्टेरोल (Hypertension, Diabetes and raised cholesterol levels) |  |
| रक्तचाप बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत लागि औषधी खाइरहेको) | २९.९ |
| रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत (फास्टिङ ब्लड ग्लुकोज १२६ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) वा हाल रगतमा बढी मात्रामा चिनी भएको कारण औषधी खाइरहेको) *** | ३. २ |
| जम्मा कोलेस्टेरोल (रगतमा चिल्लोपना) को मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत र.० mmol/L वा १९० $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ वा हाल कोलेस्टेरोलको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको) | १२.9 |
| मुटु रोगको जोखिम (Cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk) |  |
| ૪०-६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहको लागी १० बर्ष भित्र मुटु रोगको जोखिम ३०\% वा ३०\% भन्दा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत वा हाल मुटु रोग भएकाको प्रतिशत **** | ३. $¢$ |
| स्वास्थ्य प्रणाली (Health system) |  |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रक्तचाप नाप्नेको प्रतिशत (४०-६९ बर्ष भित्रको) | ७४.९ |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा नाप्नेको प्रतिशत (४०-६९ बर्ष भित्रको) | २३.१ |
| रक्तचाप मापन गर्दा उच्च रक्तचाप पाइएको र/वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | १२.७ |
| रगत परिक्षण गर्दा रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी पाइएको र/वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | ३१.३ |
| स्वास्थ्य बीमा कार्यक्रमा सदस्यता भएकाको प्रतिशत | ३. $९$ |
| उच्च रक्तचापको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था/सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | प२.६ |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य सम्बन्धि समस्याको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था/सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | २६.弓 |

* दक्षिण युरोपको इन्टर-साल्ट समिकारण मा आधारित:

Male: $\left(20.861+0.45 \times 0.45 \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)\right)-3.09 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{l}\right)+4.16 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+0.22 \times$ Age $($ year $)$

Female: $\left(21.98+0.33 \times 0.45 \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)\right)-2.44 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)+2.42 \times B M I\left(\frac{k g}{m^{2}}\right)+2.34 \times$ Age $(y e a r)-0.03 \times$ Age ${ }^{2}(y e a r)$
**अपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधिको पूर्ण परिभाषा को लागी, GPAQ विश्लेषण गाईड हेर्नुहोस्
(http://www.who.int/chp/steps/GPAQ/en/index.html) or to the WHO Global recommendations on physical activity for health (http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/factsheet_recommendations/en/index.html)
*** https welizwaived.com web items pdf 17 lucose estholental 10 file1.pdf
 भुम्रपान छोड्नेहरु), जम्मा कोलेस्ट्रोल र मधुमेह (पहिले निदान गरिएको वा फास्टिङ प्लाज्मा ग्लूकोजको मात्रा>ง. $.0 \mathrm{mmol/l}(१ २ ६ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ ) को आधारमा परिभाषित गरिएको छ।

# नेपाल STEPS सर्वेद्षणण, २०१९ संभ्षिप्त वतिजा <br> प्रदेश प 

नेपालमा नसर्ने रोग सम्बन्धि जोखिम तत्वको सर्वेक्षण (STEPS सर्वेक्षण) फेब्रुअरी देखि मे २०१९ सम्म गरिएको थियो । यस सर्वेक्षणमा जनसांख्यिक र बानीब्यहोरा सम्बन्धी (सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ, मदिरा, आहार, शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप) विवरणहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो। मोटोपन र उच्च रक्तचापको व्यापकता पत्ता लगाउन उचाइ, तौल र रक्तचाप जस्ता शारिरीक मापन गरिएको थियो। त्यसै गरी रगतमा चिनी र कोलेस्ट्रोलको मात्रा पत्ता लाउन बायोकेमिकल (biochemical) मापनहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो ।

यो सर्वेक्षण १६-६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहका वयस्कहरुको जनसंख्यामा आधारित छ। उक्त उमेर समुहको प्रतिनिधित्व गर्न multistage sample design को प्रयोग गरिएको थियो। यस सर्वेक्षणमा ूप९३ जना वयस्कहरु सहभागी भएका थिए र समग्रमा, सहभागिता ६६. ४\% थियो। २०२૪ मा STEPS सर्वेक्षण पुन: गर्ने योजना रहेको छ।

| १थ-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन (Tobacco Use) |  |
| हाल सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ (धुम्रपान वा धुँवारहित) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ३६.६ |
| हाल धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १७.३ |
| हाल दैनिक धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १२.९ |
| हाल चुरोट (उत्पादन गरिएको चुरोट/हातले बेरेको चुरोट) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १४.७ |
| हाल धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २६.९ |
| हाल दैनिक धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २१.७ |
| दैनिक धुम्रपान गर्ने मध्य, पहिलो पटक धुम्रपान गर्न शुरु गर्दाको औसत उमेर | १п. $\gamma$ |
| मद्यपान सेवन (Alcohol Consumption) |  |
| जीवनमा कहिल्यै मद्यपान सेवन नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ७४. $\%$ |
| बिगतमा मद्यपान सेवन गर्ने गरेको तर १२ महिना भित्र नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ૪.弓 |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको १२ महिना भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | २०.७ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | 99.9 |
| बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा अत्याधिक मद्यपान सेवन (६ वा ६ भन्दा बढी स्टान्डर्ड ड़िंक्स) गर्नेको प्रतिशत (कुल जनसंख्या) | ७. 5 |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन (बितेको ३० दिनमा) गर्ने मध्य, बितेको ७ दिन भित्रमा छिमेकी देश/अन्य देशबाट किनेको वा पिउनलाई अयोग्य वा कर नतिरेको मादक पदार्थ पिउनेको प्रतिशत | ७०.乞 |
| आहार (Diet) |  |
| औसतमा 9 दिनमा खाने गरेको फलफुल र/वा तरकारीको सर्भिङको औसत संख्या (१ सर्भिङ = ६० ग्राम) | २.० |
| औसतमा 9 दिनमा $y$ सर्भिङ भन्दा थोरै फलफुल र/वा तरकारी खानेको प्रतिशत | ९૪.૪ |
| नुन (Salt) |  |
| खाना खानु अधि वा खाइरहँदा खानामा नुन वा नुनीलो सस् सधैँ वा प्राय थपेर खानेको प्रतिशत | 9.9 |
| नुन बढि मात्रामा हालिएको तयारी खानेकुरा (जंक फुड)सधैं वा प्रायजसो खानेको प्रतिशत | २2. ६ |


| १૫－६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| खानामा नुनको मात्रा नियन्त्रण गर्न सधैं जसो केहि उपाय अपनाउनेको प्रतिशत（जस्तै तयारी खानेकरा कम मात्रामा खाने वा खादैं नखाने，घर बाहिरको खाना नखाने आदि） | १．७ |
| औसत नुन सेवन प्रतिदिन（ग्राममा）（स्पट युरिन परिक्षणमा आधारित）＊ | $5 . ७$ |
| शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप（Physical Activity） |  |
| अपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधि गर्नेको प्रतिशत（प्रति हप्ता १४० मिनेट भन्दा कम समय मध्यम परिश्रम पर्ने वा सो सरहको गतिविधि भनेर परिभाषित गरिएको）＊＊ | ७．२ |
| प्रति दिन शारीरिक गतिविधिमा खर्च हुने औसत समयको मध्यक（मध्यम परिश्रम मिनेटमा） （इन्टर क्वार्टाइल रेन्जमा प्रस्तुत गरिएका） | २१०．० |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको स्क्रीनिङ（३०－४९ वर्ष उमेरको महिला）（Cervical Cancer Screening（women 30－49 years of age） |  |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको（सर्विकल क्यान्सर）को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | $9 . \square$ |
| बितेको $y$ बर्ष भित्रमा पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको（सर्विकल क्यान्सर）को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | ¢． 0 |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य（Oral Health） |  |
| दिनमा एक पटक वा बढी दाँत सफा गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ち९．२ |
| दाँत，मुख वा गिजाको समस्या（ दुख्ने，सुन्निने，रगत आउने वा असजिलो हुने）हुने को प्रतिशत | १४．ち |
| बिगत १२ महिना भित्र दन्त चिकित्सक संग स्वास्थ्य जाँच गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १．७ |
| दुर्घटना，हिँसा तथा चोटपटक（Violence and injuries） |  |
| बिगत १२ महिनामा，सडक दुर्घटनामा पर्नेको प्रतिशत | 4.9 |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，कुनै पनि सवारी साधन चलाउँदा वा सवारी साधनमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं सिट बेल्टको प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २．७ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，मोटरसाइकल वा स्कुटरमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं हेल्मेट प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ३३．2 |
| मानसिक स्वास्थ्य（Mental Health） |  |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा काम तथा व्यवसाय सम्बन्धि तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | 2п． 9 |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा घरमा हुने सामान्य तनावको प्रतिशत | ६०． 2 |
| बिगतका वर्षहरुमा तनावपुर्ण घटनाहरु को कारण धेरै तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | $9 . 弓$ |
| बिगत बाह्न महिनामा जोर्नी तथा ढाडको दुखाइ（Joint and back pain in last 12 months） |  |
| बिगत बाह महिनामा，दुर्घटनाबाहेक अरु कारणले एक महिना भन्दा बढी समय सम्म जोर्नीमा वा जोर्नीको वरिपरी दुखाइ，अह्रोरोपन वा सुजन हुनेको प्रतिशत | 95．9 |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，ढाडमा दुखाई भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | २०．२ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，गम्भिर रुपमा टाउको दुख्ेे समस्या भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | १७．२ |


| १४-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| बडी मास इन्डेक्स र मोटोपन (BMI and Obesity) |  |
| औसत Body mass index - BMI (kg/m²) | २२.२ |
| अधिक वजन र मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ २乡 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 99.2 |
| मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ ३० $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | ३.६ |
| उच्च रक्तचाप, रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा र कोलेस्टेरोल (Hypertension, Diabetes and raised cholesterol levels) |  |
| रक्तचाप बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत १४० र/वा DBP ९० mmHg वा हाल उच्च रक्तचापको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको) | २Б.२ |
| रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत (फास्टिङ ब्लड ग्लुकोज १२६ mg/dl )वा हाल रगतमा बढी मात्रामा चिनी भएको कारण औषधी खाइरहेको) *** | ६. ४ |
| जम्मा कोलेस्टेरोल (रगतमा चिल्लोपना) को मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत प.० mmol/L वा १९० $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ वा हाल कोलेस्टेरोलको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको) | $99 . ६$ |
| मुटु रोगको जोखिम (Cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk) |  |
| ४०-६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहको लागी १० बर्ष भित्र मुटु रोगको जोखिम ३०\% वा ३०\% भन्दा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत वा हाल मुटु रोग भएकाको प्रतिशत **** | २.१ |
| स्वास्थ्य प्रणाली (Health system) |  |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रक्तचाप नाप्नेको प्रतिशत (४०-६९ बर्ष भित्रको) | 49.9 |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा नाप्नेको प्रतिशत (४०-६९ बर्ष भित्रको) | २०.९ |
| रक्तचाप मापन गर्दा उच्च रक्तचाप पाइएको र/वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | ฯ.३ |
| रगत परिक्षण गर्दा रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी पाइएको र/वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | २१.४ |
| स्वास्थ्य बीमा कार्यकममा सदस्यता भएकाको प्रतिशत | $२ .9$ |
| उच्च रक्तचापको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था/सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | ३७.९ |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य सम्बन्धि समस्याको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था/सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | २०.२ |

* दक्षिण युरोपको इन्टर-साल्ट समिकारण मा आधारित:

Male: $\left(20.861+0.45 \times 0.45 \mathrm{Naspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{l}\right)\right)-3.09 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{l}\right)+4.16 \times$ BMI $\left(\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{m}^{2}}\right)+0.22 \times$ Age $($ year $)$

Female: $\left(21.98+0.33 \times 0.45\right.$ Naspot $\left.\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{l}}\right)\right)-2.44 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{l}}\right)+2.42 \times$ BMI $\left(\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{m}^{2}}\right)+2.34 \times$ Age $(y e a r)-0.03 \times$ Age ${ }^{2}(y e a r)$
**अपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधिको पूर्ण परिभाषा को लागी, GPAQ विश्लेषण गाईड हेर्नुहोस्
(http://www.who.int/chp/steps/GPAQ/en/index.html) or to the WHO Global recommendations on physical activity for health (http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/factsheet_recommendations/en/index.html)
*** https weliawaived.com web items pdf 17 lucose estholesend 10 file1.pdf
*** $१ ०$ बर्ष मुटु रोग जोखिम $\geq ३ ० \%$ लाई उमेर, लिड्ज, रक्तचाप, धूम्रपान स्थिति (हाल धूम्रपान गर्नेहरू वा परिक्षण भन्दा $१$ बर्ष भन्दा कम समय अघि भुम्रपान छोड्नेहरु), जम्मा कोलेस्ट्रोल र मधुमेह (पहिले निदान गरिएको वा फास्टिङ प्लाज्मा ग्लूकोजको मात्रा>ง. $.0 \mathrm{mmol/I}(9 २ \xi \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ ) को आधारमा परिभाषित गरिएको छ।

# नेपाल STEPS सर्वेक्षण, २०१९ संभ्धिप्त चतिजा <br> कर्णाली प्रदेश 

नेपालमा नसर्ने रोग सम्बन्धि जोखिम तत्वको सर्वेक्षण (STEPS सर्वेक्षण) फेब्रुअरी देखि मे २०१९ सम्म गरिएको थियो । यस सर्वेक्षणमा जनसांख्यिक र बानीब्यहोरा सम्बन्धी (सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ, मदिरा, आहार, शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप) विवरणहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो। मोटोपन र उच्च रक्तचापको व्यापकता पत्ता लगाउन उचाइ, तौल र रक्तचाप जस्ता शारिरीक मापन गरिएको थियो । त्यसै गरी रगतमा चिनी र कोलेस्ट्रोलको मात्रा पत्ता लाउन बायोकेमिकल (biochemical) मापनहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो ।

यो सर्वेक्षण १४-६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहका वयस्कहरुको जनसंख्यामा आधारित छ। उक्त उमेर समुहको प्रतिनिधित्व गर्न multistage sample design को प्रयोग गरिएको थियो। यस सर्वेक्षणमा पू९९३ जना वयस्कहरु सहभागी भएका थिए र समग्रमा, सहभागिता ६६.४\% थियो । २०२૪ मा STEPS सर्वेक्षण पुन: गर्ने योजना रहेको छ।

| १४-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन (Tobacco Use) |  |
| हाल सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ (धुम्रपान वा धुँवारहित) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २९.७ |
| हाल धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २०.६ |
| हाल दैनिक धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १६.३ |
| हाल चुरोट (उत्पादन गरिएको चुरोट/हातले बेरेको चुरोट) सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २०.३ |
| हाल धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १७.२ |
| हाल दैनिक धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १४.९ |
| दैनिक धुम्रपान गर्ने मध्य, पहिलो पटक धुम्रपान गर्न शुरु गर्दाको औसत उमेर | १ง.६ |
| मद्यपान सेवन (Alcohol Consumption) |  |
| जीवनमा कहिल्यै मद्यपान सेवन नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ७२.१ |
| बिगतमा मद्यपान सेवन गर्ने गरेको तर १२ महिना भित्र नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ૪.¢ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको १२ महिना भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | २३ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत (बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको) | 9९.६ |
| बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा अत्याधिक मद्यपान सेवन (६ वा ६ भन्दा बढी स्टान्डर्ड ड्रिंक्स) गर्नेको प्रतिशत (कुल जनसंख्या) | ち.弓 |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन (बितेको ३० दिनमा) गर्ने मध्य, बितेको ७ दिन भित्रमा छिमेकी देश/अन्य देशबाट किनेको वा पिउनलाई अयोग्य वा कर नतिरेको मादक पदार्थ पिउनेको प्रतिशत | ६७.७ |
| आहार (Diet) |  |
| औसतमा 9 दिनमा खाने गरेको फलफुल र/वा तरकारीको सर्भिङको औसत संख्या (१ सर्भिङ = Б० ग्राम) | 9.9 |
| औसतमा 9 दिनमा $y$ सर्भिङ भन्दा थोरै फलफुल र/वा तरकारी खानेको प्रतिशत | ९६.९ |
| नुन (Salt) |  |
| खाना खानु अधि वा खाइरहँदा खानामा नुन वा नुनीलो सस् सधैं वा प्राय थपेर खानेको प्रतिशत | १२.० |
| नुन बढि मात्रामा हालिएको तयारी खानेकुरा (जंक फुड)सधैं वा प्रायजसो खानेको प्रतिशत | २१.२ |


| १४－६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| खानामा नुनको मात्रा नियन्त्रण गर्न सधैं जसो केहि उपाय अपनाउनेको प्रतिशत（जस्तै तयारी खानेकरा कम मात्रामा खाने वा खादैं नखाने，घर बाहिरको खाना नखाने आदि） | २．६ |
| औसत नुन सेवन प्रतिदिन（ग्राममा）（स्पट युरिन परिक्षणमा आधारित）＊ | 9.2 |
| शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप（Physical Activity） |  |
| अपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधि गर्नेको प्रतिशत（प्रति हप्ता १थ० मिनेट भन्दा कम समय मध्यम परिश्रम पर्ने वा सो सरहको गतिविधि भनेर परिभाषित गरिएको）＊＊ | ४．२ |
| प्रति दिन शारीरिक गतिविधिमा खर्च हुने औसत समयको मध्यक（मध्यम परिश्रम मिनेटमा） （इन्टर क्वार्टाइल रेन्जमा प्रस्तुत गरिएका） | ३००．० |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको स्कीनिङ（३०－४९ वर्ष उमेरको महिला）（Cervical Cancer Screening（women 30－49 years of age） |  |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको（सर्विकल क्यान्सर）को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | 92．5 |
| बितेको $y$ बर्ष भित्रमा पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको（सर्विकल क्यान्सर）को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | $99 . ६$ |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य（Oral Health） |  |
| दिनमा एक पटक वा बढी दाँत सफा गर्नेको प्रतिशत | られ．६ |
| दाँत，मुख वा गिजाको समस्या（ दुख्ने，सुन्निने，रगत आउने वा असजिलो हुने）हुने को प्रतिशत | २१．९ |
| बिगत १२ महिना भित्र दन्त चिकित्सक संग स्वास्थ्य जाँच गर्नेको प्रतिशत | $२ . ९$ |
| दुर्घटना，हिँसा तथा चोटपटक（Violence and injuries） |  |
| बिगत १२ महिनामा，सडक दुर्घटनामा पर्नेको प्रतिशत | ૪． 2 |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，कुनै पनि सवारी साधन चलाउँदा वा सवारी साधनमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैँ वा कहिलेकाहिं सिट बेल्टको प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ६．२ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，मोटरसाइकल वा स्कुटरमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं हेल्मेट प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २१．३ |
| मानसिक स्वास्थ्य（Mental Health） |  |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा काम तथा व्यवसाय सम्बन्धि तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | 49.2 |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा घरमा हुने सामान्य तनावको प्रतिशत | ६३．久 |
| बिगतका वर्षहरुमा तनावपुर्ण घटनाहरु को कारण धेरै तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | १३．้ |
| बिगत बाह्न महिनामा जोर्नी तथा ढाडको दुखाइ（Joint and back pain in last $\mathbf{1 2}$ months） |  |
| बिगत बाह महिनामा，दुर्घटनाबाहेक अरु कारणले एक महिना भन्दा बढी समय सम्म जोर्नीमा वा जोर्नीको वरिपरी दुखाइ，अह्नोरोपन वा सुजन हुनेको प्रतिशत | 24．9 |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，ढाडमा दुखाई भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | २३．६． |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा，गम्भिर रुपमा टाउको दुख्े समस्या भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | २२．७ |


| १४-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| बडी मास इन्डेक्स र मोटोपन (BMI and Obesity) |  |
| औसत Body mass index - BMI (kg/m²) | २१.૪ |
| अधिक वजन र मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ २乡 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | ११.३ |
| मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ ३० $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 9.६ |
| उच्च रक्तचाप, रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा र कोलेस्टेरोल (Hypertension, Diabetes and raised cholesterol levels) |  |
| रक्तचाप बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत १४० र/वा DBP ९० mmHg वा हाल उच्च रक्तचापको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको) | २१.४ |
| रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत (फास्टिङ ब्लड ग्लुकोज १२६ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ )वा हाल रगतमा बढ़ी मात्रामा चिनी भएको कारण औषधी खाइरहेको) *** | $\bigcirc . ७$ |
| जम्मा कोलेस्टेरोल (रगतमा चिल्लोपना) को मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत ४.० mmol/L वा १९० $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ वा हाल कोलेस्टेरोलको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको) | \%. 0 |
| मुटु रोगको जोखिम (Cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk) |  |
| ૪०-६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहको लागी १० बर्ष भित्र मुटु रोगको जोखिम ३०\% वा ३०\% भन्दा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत वा हाल मुटु रोग भएकाको प्रतिशत **** | ३. ७ |
| स्वास्थ्य प्रणाली (Health system) |  |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रक्तचाप नाप्नेको प्रतिशत (४०-६९ बर्ष भित्रको) | ૪३.○ |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा नाप्नेको प्रतिशत (४०-६९ बर्ष भित्रको) | ९.३ |
| रक्तचाप मापन गर्दा उच्च रक्तचाप पाइएको र/वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | ¢. 9 |
| रगत परिक्षण गर्दा रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी पाइएको र/वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | ६७.० |
| स्वास्थ्य बीमा कार्यक्रमा सदस्यता भएकाको प्रतिशत | ३. ७ |
| उच्च रक्तचापको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था/सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | ४६.० |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य सम्बन्धि समस्याको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था/सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | ૪२.้ |

* दक्षिण युरोपको इन्टर-साल्ट समिकारण मा आधारित:

Male: $\left(20.861+0.45 \times 0.45 \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)\right)-3.09 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{l}\right)+4.16 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+0.22 \times$ Age $($ year $)$

Female: $\left(21.98+0.33 \times 0.45 \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)\right)-2.44 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)+2.42 \times B M I\left(\frac{k g}{m^{2}}\right)+2.34 \times$ Age $(y e a r)-0.03 \times$ Age ${ }^{2}(y e a r)$
**अपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधिको पूर्ण परिभाषा को लागी, GPAQ विश्लेषण गाईड हेर्नुहोस्
(http://www.who.int/chp/steps/GPAQ/en/index.html) or to the WHO Global recommendations on physical activity for health (http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/factsheet_recommendations/en/index.html)
*** https welizwaived.com web items pdf 17 lucose estholental 10 file1.pdf
 भुम्रपान छोड्नेहरु), जम्मा कोलेस्ट्रोल र मधुमेह (पहिले निदान गरिएको वा फास्टिङ प्लाज्मा ग्लूकोजको मात्रा>ง. $.0 \mathrm{mmol/l}(१ २ ६ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ ) को आधारमा परिभाषित गरिएको छ।

# ठेपाल STEPS सर्वेक्षण，२०१९ <br> संभ्धिप्त नतिजा 

## सुदूरपश्चिम प्रदेश

नेपालमा नसर्ने रोग सम्बन्धि जोखिम तत्वको सर्वेक्षण（STEPS सर्वेक्षण）फेब्रुअरी देखि मे २०१९ सम्म गरिएको थियो । यस सर्वेक्षणमा जनसांख्यिक र बानीब्यहोरा सम्बन्धी（सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ，मदिरा，आहार，शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप）विवरणहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो। मोटोपन र उच्च रक्तचापको व्यापकता पत्ता लगाउन उचाइ，तौल र रक्तचाप जस्ता शारिरीक मापन गरिएको थियो। त्यसै गरी रगतमा चिनी र कोलेस्ट्रोलको मात्रा पत्ता लाउन बायोकेमिकल（biochemical）मापनहरु संकलन गरिएको थियो ।

यो सर्वेक्षण १थ－६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहका वयस्कहरुको जनसंख्यामा आधारित छ। उक्त उमेर समुहको प्रतिनिधित्व गर्न multistage sample design को प्रयोग गरिएको थियो। यस सर्वेक्षणमा ूू९३ जना वयस्कहरु सहभागी भएका थिए र समग्रमा，सहभागिता ६६．४\％थियो। २०२४ मा STEPS सर्वेक्षण पुनः गर्ने योजना रहेको छ।

| १४－६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन（Tobacco Use） |  |
| हाल सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ（धुम्रपान वा धुँवारहित）सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ३३．¢ |
| हाल धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | २६．६． |
| हाल दैनिक धुम्रपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १п．३ |
| हाल चुरोट（उत्पादन गरिएको चुरोट वा हातले बेरेको चुरोट）सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | 99．ち |
| हाल धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १६．ち |
| हाल दैनिक धुँवारहित सुर्तिजन्य पदार्थ सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत | १३．७ |
| दैनिक धुम्रपान गर्ने मध्य，पहिलो पटक धुम्रपान गर्न शुरु गर्दाको औसत उमेर | १७．० |
| मद्यपान सेवन（Alcohol Consumption） |  |
| जीवनमा कहिल्यै मद्यपान सेवन नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ६४．$૪$ |
| बिगतमा मद्यपान सेवन गर्ने गरेको तर १२ महिना भित्र नगर्नेको प्रतिशत | ३．$¢$ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत（बितेको १२ महिना भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको） | $३ . ७$ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन गर्नेको प्रतिशत（बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा मद्यपान सेवन गरेको） | २७．० |
| बितेको ३० दिन भित्रमा अत्याधिक मद्यपान सेवन（६ वा ६ भन्दा बढी स्टान्डर्ड ड़िंक्स）गर्नेको प्रतिशत（कुल जनसंख्या） | $\xi .9$ |
| हाल मद्यपान सेवन（बितेको ३० दिनमा）गर्ने मध्य，बितेको ७ दिन भित्रमा छिमेकी देश／अन्य देशबाट किनेको वा पिडनलाई अयोग्य वा कर नतिरेको मादक पदार्थ पिउनेको प्रतिशत | ६०．९ |
| आहार（Diet） |  |
| औसतमा 9 दिनमा खाने गरेको फलफुल र／वा तरकारीको सर्भिङको औसत संख्या （१ सर्भिङ＝६० ग्राम） | 9．६ |
| औसतमा 9 दिनमा $y$ सर्भिङ भन्दा थौरै फलफुल र／वा तरकारी खानेको प्रतिशत | ९ち．ち |
| नुन（Salt） |  |
| खाना खानु अघि वा खाइरहँदा खानामा नुन वा नुनीलो सस् सधैँ वा प्राय थपेर खानेको प्रतिशत | १३．Б |
| नुन बढि मात्रामा हालिएको तयारी खानेकुरा（जंक फुड）सधैं वा प्रायजसो खानेको प्रतिशत | १३．७ |
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| १५-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| खानामा नुनको मात्रा नियन्त्रण गर्न सधैं जसो केहि उपाय अपनाउनेको प्रतिशत (जस्तै तयारी खानेकरा कम मात्रामा खाने वा खादैं नखाने, घर बाहिरको खाना नखाने आदि) | ६.9 |
| औसत नुन सेवन प्रतिदिन (ग्राममा)(स्पट युरिन परिक्षणमा आधारित)* | 9.9 |
| शारीरिक क्रियाकलाप (Physical Activity) |  |
| अपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधि गर्नेको प्रतिशत (प्रति हप्ता १५० मिनेट भन्दा कम समय मध्यम परिश्रम पर्ने वा सो सरहको गतिविधि भनेर परिभाषित गरिएको) ** | ९.४ |
| प्रति दिन शारीरिक गतिविधिमा खर्च हुने औसत समयको मध्यक (मध्यम परिश्रम मिनेटमा) (इन्टर क्वार्टाइल रेन्जमा प्रस्तुत गरिएका) | २२२.९ |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको स्कीनिङ (३०-४९ वर्ष उमेरको महिला) (Cervical Cancer Screening (women 30-49 years of age) |  |
| पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको (सर्विकल क्यान्सर) को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | ち.ち |
| बितेको $y$ बर्ष भित्रमा पाठेघरको मुखको क्यान्सरको (सर्विकल क्यान्सर) को परिक्षण गराउनेको प्रतिशत | ¢. 0 |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य (Oral Health) |  |
| दिनमा एक पटक वा बढी दाँत सफा गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ९9.६ |
| दाँत, मुख वा गिजाको समस्या( दुख्ने, सुन्निने, रगत आउने वा असजिलो हुने) हुने को प्रतिशत | २१.६ |
| बिगत १२ महिना भित्र दन्त चिकित्सक संग स्वास्य जाँच गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ฯ.२ |
| दुर्घटना, हिँसा तथा चोटपटक (Violence and injuries) |  |
| बिगत १२ महिनामा, सडक दुर्घटनामा पर्नेको प्रतिशत | ७. २ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा, कुनै पनि सवारी साधन चलाउँदा वा सवारी साधनमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं सिट बेल्टको प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | ૪.३ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा, मोटरसाइकल वा स्कुटरमा यात्रा गर्दा सधैं वा कहिलेकाहिं हेल्मेट प्रयोग गर्नेको प्रतिशत | 99.6 |
| मानसिक स्वास्थ्य (Mental Health) |  |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा काम तथा व्यवसाय सम्बन्धि तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | ४९.२ |
| केहि वा धेरै मात्रामा घरमा हुने सामान्य तनावको प्रतिशत | ६9.० |
| बिगतका वर्षहरुमा तनावपुर्ण घटनाहरु को कारण धेरै तनाव हुनेको प्रतिशत | ११.३ |
| बिगत बाह्न महिनामा जोर्नी तथा ढाडको दुखाइ (Joint and back pain in last 12 months) |  |
| बिगत बाह्न महिनामा, दुर्घटनाबाहेक अरु कारणले एक महिना भन्दा बढी समय सम्म जोर्नीमा वा जोर्नीको वरिपरी दुखाइ,अह्रोरोपन वा सुजन हुनेको प्रतिशत | २2.६ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा, ढाडमा दुखाई भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | २६.७ |
| बिगत ३० दिनमा, गस्भिर रुपमा टाउको दुख्ने समस्या भएको कारणले गर्दा घरायसी काम गर्न अथवा काममा जान समस्या पर्नेको प्रतिशत | २३. ૪ |

[^63]| १૫-६९ वर्ष उमेरका सहभागिहरूको परिणामहरू | Both Sexes दुबैमा |
| :---: | :---: |
| बडी मास इन्डेक्स र मोटोपन (BMI and Obesity) |  |
| औसत Body mass index-BMI (kg/m²) | २१. ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| अधिक वजन र मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ २\% kg/m²) | 99.2 |
| मोटोपन हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad M$ ३० $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 9.5 |
| उच्च रक्तचाप, रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा र कोलेस्टेरोल (Hypertension, Diabetes and raised cholesterol levels) |  |
| रक्तचाप बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत १४० र/वा DBP ९० mmHg वा हाल उच्च रक्तचापको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको) | २१.० |
| रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत (फास्टिङ ब्लड ग्लुकोज १२६ mg/dl )वा हाल रगतमा बढ़ी मात्रामा चिनी भएको कारण औषधी खाइरहेको) *** | ३.९ |
| जम्मा कोलेस्टेरोल (रगतमा चिल्लोपना) को मात्रा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत $\quad 4.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ वा १९० $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ वा हाल कोलेस्टेरोलको लागि औषधी खाइरहेको) | 90.0 |
| मुटु रोगको जोखिम (Cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk) |  |
| ४०-६९ बर्ष उमेर समुहको लागी १० बर्ष भित्र मुटु रोगको जोखिम ३०\% वा ३०\% भन्दा बढी हुनेको प्रतिशत वा हाल मुटु रोग भएकाको प्रतिशत **** | $9 . 弓$ |
| स्वास्थ्य प्रणाली (Health system) |  |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रक्तचाप नाप्तेको प्रतिशत (४०-६९ बर्ष भित्रको) | 49.4 |
| स्वास्थ्यकर्मीबाट रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा नाप्नेको प्रतिशत (४०-६९ बर्ष भित्रको) | 9૪. 2 |
| रक्तचाप मापन गर्दा उच्च रक्तचाप पाइएको र/वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | ३.६ |
| रगत परिक्षण गर्दा रगतमा चिनीको मात्रा बढी पाइएको र/वा औषधी खाइरहेको पाइएको को प्रतिशत | ६. $૪$ |
| स्वास्थ्य बीमा कार्यकममा सदस्यता भएकाको प्रतिशत | 9.5 |
| उच्च रक्तचापको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था/सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | ३२.० |
| मुख स्वास्थ्य सम्बन्धि समस्याको लागि सामान्यतया सरकारी संस्था/सेवा प्रदायकको मा जानेको प्रतिशत | ४९.२ |

* दक्षिण युरोपको इन्टर-साल्ट समिकारण मा आधारित:

Male: $\left(20.861+0.45 \times 0.45 \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{l}}\right)\right)-3.09 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{l}}\right)+4.16 \times B M I\left(\frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+0.22 \times$ Age $($ year $)$

Female: $\left(21.98+0.33 \times 0.45 \operatorname{Naspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)\right)-2.44 \times \operatorname{Crspot}\left(\frac{m m o l}{l}\right)+2.42 \times B M I\left(\frac{k g}{m^{2}}\right)+2.34 \times$ Age $($ year $)-0.03 \times$ Age ${ }^{2}(y e a r)$
**पपर्याप्त शारीरिक गतिविधिको पूर्ण परिभाषा को लागी, GPAQ विश्लेषण गाईड हेन्नुहोस्
(http://www.who.int/chp/steps/GPAQ/en/index.html) or to the WHO Global recommendations on physical activity for health (http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/factsheet_recommendations/en/index.html)

**** १० बर्ष मुटु रोग जोखिम $\geq ३ ० \%$ लाई उमेर, लिड़, रक्तचाप, धूम्रपान स्थिति (हाल धूम्रपान गर्नेहरू वा परिक्षण भन्दा १ बर्ष भन्दा कम समय अघि भुम्रपान छोड्नेहरु), जम्मा कोलेस्ट्रोल र मधुमेह (पहिले निदान गरिएको वा फास्टिङ प्लाज्मा ग्लूकोजको मात्रा>ง. $.0 \mathrm{mmol/l}(१ २ ६ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dll})$ को आधारमा परिभाषित गरिएको छ।
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